

The reference documentation for this policy change was not available.

A summary of the policy change and ramifications are:

---

---

SPECIFIC ACTIONS AMENDED:

Title 4, Chapter 18 Tuition waivers for dependents of Professional Staff

SPECIFIC ACTIONS BEING RECOMMENDED OR REQUESTED:

Two Handbook revisions are being proposed. The first is intended to remove a conflicting provision in Title 4, Chapter 18, Section 5 (grants-in-aid). This provision exempts any qualifying dependent of a professional staff member from tuition charges. Title 4, Chapter 15, Section 3, subsection 3 (residency and tuition charges), on the other hand, limits the tuition payment exemption to only qualifying dependent children of professional staff. Under Board tuition policy, as set forth in Title 4, Chapter 15, Section 2, subsection 6, a “dependent” is one who is not financially independent and who also is claimed as an exemption on the federal income tax returns of the qualified staff. The Board, therefore, meant to limit the exemption from tuition, as a benefit of employment, to dependent children, as defined above. Without the proposed revision, any dependent of a professional staff member, regardless of the person’s age, may be exempt from tuition charges. Under Section 152(a)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC), a dependent who is over 24 years of age is not a qualifying child who can be claimed on his/her parent’s income tax returns.

The second proposed revision will delete from Title 4, Chapter 18, Section 5, subsection 5 the requirement that, in addition to being less than 24 years of age, a “financially dependent child” must not be married in

SPECIFIC ACTIONS BEING RECOMMENDED OR REQUESTED:

<p>To ensure consistency in Board policy on residency and tuition charges, the last sentence in Title 4, Chapter 18, Section 5, subsection 4 (grants-in-aid), which reads “Professional staff members, their spouse and <u>dependents</u> are considered Nevada residents for the purpose of assessing out-of-state tuition” (emphasis added), should be deleted. The inclusion of this provision in the grants-in-aid section is an error. Second, the clause “and is not married,” in subsection 5 of the same Section 5 (after the age of 24), as an eligibility requirement for a grant-in-aid, should be deleted. This is a non-Code revision and does not require two readings.</p>
---