BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

May 8-9, 1970

The Board of Regents met on the above date at the Elko Community College, Elko, Nevada.

Members present: Fred M. Anderson, M. D.

   Mr. James H. Bilbray
   Mr. Procter Hug, Jr.
   Mr. Harold Jacobsen
   Mrs. Molly Knudtsen
   Louis Lombardi, M. D.
   Mr. R. J. Ronzone
   Mr. Albert Seeliger
   Dr. Juanita White

Members absent: Mr. Thomas G. Bell
The meeting was called to order by Chairman Hug at 9:30 A.M.

1. Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting

Upon motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, the minutes of the regular meeting of April 10-11, 1970 were approved as distributed.

2. Acceptance of Gifts
President Miller reported the following gifts and grants received by UNR:

**UNR Library**

Professor Allen Belkind, Reno - 1 book, "Jean-Paul Sartre", valued at $5.50.

Mr. Daniel Boone, Reno - 2 books, "Tudor History of Painting" and "Edition d'Art Albert Skira".

Mr. Clay Carpenter, Reno - 6 issues of "Spectrum" and 1 issue of "Illuminating Engineering", valued at $4.

Delta Zeta Sorority, Reno - $175 for the purchase of library books pertaining to hearing defects and related subjects.


Ferris & Erskine, Reno - 38 Engineering books and 1 paper-
back valued at $65.

Mr. Marcel E. Godinat, Reno - 5 German books; 1 "World's Sailplanes"; 3 text books; 11 periodicals; 34 periodicals ("Soaring"), valued at $40.

Guild, Guild & Cunningham, Reno - $10 in memory of Mrs. Augusta Juniper, $10 in memory of Mrs. Delone Bernard, $10 in memory of Mrs. T. W. Heany.


Professor Lowell L. Jones, Reno Campus - 41 books on the subject of Biology, valued at $170, 41 books second gift, valued at $225.

Mr. David Magee, San Francisco, California - 1 book, "Victoria, R. I.", valued at $7.50.

Mr. Lawrence G. Means, Reno - 4 books and 2 paperbacks, valued at $8.

Mrs. Gwendolyn Overton, Reno - 53 items, valued at $95.
Mr. Earl T. Ross, Reno - miscellaneous items, valued at $10.

Mr. Silas E. Ross, Reno - 2 books, valued at $20.

Dr. Lawrence Russell, Reno - assorted Medical journals, valued at $141.

Mrs. Rhoda Shedd, Carson City - Nevada Artists Association records.

Jose L. Varela-Ibarra, UNR - 1 book, "Al Filo del Agua", by Agustin Yanez.

Dick Bankofier Memorial Fund, Reno - $1500 in memory of Dick Bankofier.

Mr. and Mrs. Forrest W. Farmer, Reno - $25 in memory of Davis W. Yee.

Chester E. Lonkey, Larkspur, California - $250 as a memorial to his wife, Irene H. Lonkey.

Medical Sciences
Mrs. Lou Fuller, Reno - miscellaneous pieces of equipment, value unknown.

President N. Edd Miller, UNR - $58 to the School of Medical Sciences.

Contributions to the Raymond E. Stone Memorial Fund:

J. Malcolm Edmiston, M. D., Reno - $25
Morse Littel, M. D., Reno - $25
Francine P. Manix, M. D., Reno - $25
Donald I. Mohler, M. D., and Frank V. Rueckl, M. D., Reno - $25
Robert J. Morelli, M. D., and Ernest W. Mack, M. D., Reno - $25
Mr. Carroll W. Ogren, Reno - $25
Donald E. Pickering, M. D., Reno - $10
Carl L. Sauls, M. D., and Gordon L. Nitz, M. D., Reno - $25
Louise B. Tyrer, M. D., Reno - $25
W. J. Keenan, M. D., Owen Bolstad, M. D., Don Schieve, M. D., Reno - $100
Scholarships

Anonymous - $500 to the Political Sciences Scholarship Fund.

Colonel Thomas W. Miller, Reno - $100 to the Thomas W.
Miller ROTC Scholarship Fund.

Dr. Donald L. Woodward, Jr., Sparks - $150 to the Sparks
Tribune Scholarship Fund.

Nevada State Press Association, UNR - $250 to the Nevada
State Press Association Scholarship for scholarships to be
awarded to students in Journalism.

Nevada Society of Certified Public Accountants, Reno - $200
scholarship to be awarded to a student majoring in Account-
ing.

American Legion, Department of Nevada, Reno - $200 to the
American Legion ROTC Scholarship to cover two $100 awards.

Mr. and Mrs. George M. Wisham, Bakersfield, California -
$500 to George Wisham, Jr., Leadership and Fellowship Award.
Grants

Public Health Service, HEW, National Institutes of Health,

Bureau of Health Professions, Education and Manpower Training - $4896 to Orvis School of Nursing for Nursing Scholarship Funds for fiscal year 1970.

Department of HEW, Office of Education - $22,624 supplemental allocation for National Defense Student Loan Fund for the fiscal period July 1, 1969 through June 20, 1970.

National Science Foundation - $58,100 grant for research entitled, "Acquisition of Sign Language by an Infrahuman Primate", under the direction of R. Allen Gardner, effective June 1, 1970 for approximately one year.

Elks National Foundation - $750 Emergency Educational Grant for Jan Steven Sarras (student).

Miscellaneous

Alumni Association - $6655 from 342 members of the Alumni Association, contributions from December 31, 1969 through April 9, 1970.
International Society for the Protection of Mustangs and Burros, Reno - $1500 to the University of Nevada Fund for Wild Horse and Burro Studies to be used for wild horse studies under the direction of Dr. Michael J. Pontrelli.

National Agriculture Chemicals Association, Washington, D. C. - $1000 to the Agricultural Experiment Station for studies on Parathion.

William M. Pelter, M. D., Saratoga, California - $6 in memory of Willis Pressell toward the purchase of material in the field of communications. $6 in memory of Bill Moffit toward the purchase of books for use in the Medical Sciences Library.

Mr. Charles R. Poppe, Sacramento, California - $25 to the Civil Engineering Department Discretionary Fund.

Union Carbide Corporation, Napa, California - $600 to the Experiment Station Program on the chemical control of insects in alfalfa.

Dean Robert C. Weems, Jr., UNR - $10 in memory of Kerwin
Foley to be used to purchase books in the field of Accounting and Auditing.

FMC Corporation, Niagara Chemical Division, Richmond, California - $500 as grant-in-aid in support of Dr. R. A. Evans' research program in weed control.

President Zorn reported the following gifts and grants received by UNLV:

UNLV

Library

Mr. and Mrs. Charles W. Adams, Las Vegas - $14.40

Mr. and Mrs. Jack A. Richardson, Las Vegas - $7.50 in memory of Mrs. Dave Holly.

Department of Commerce, Real Estate Division, Carson City - books, valued at $300.

Library Staff, UNLV - $45 in memory of Mrs. Emil Erickson.
Dr. Robert O. Boord, Las Vegas - $10 in memory of Mrs. Emil Erickson.

Mr. and Mrs. James Cashman, Jr., and Mr. and Mrs. Charles H. Siefert, Las Vegas - $50 in memory of Mr. Austin Bowler.

Mr. and Mrs. Garland J. Ronnow, Las Vegas - $10 in memory of Mr. Austin Bowler.

Performing Arts:

Sahara-Nevada Corporation, Las Vegas - $2000

Mrs. Judith Bayley, Las Vegas - $1000

Mr. and Mrs. A. W. Ham, Jr., Las Vegas - $601.92

Mr. and Mrs. Angelo Manzi, Las Vegas - $250

Close, Bilbray & Kaufman, Las Vegas - $200

Scholarships

Economic Laboratory, Inc., New York, New York - second 18 shares of stock, valued at $0, result of stock split.

Mrs. Russ Morgan, Las Vegas - $20 in memory of Mrs. Ella Bender to the Musicians Memorial Scholarship Fund.
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania - $800

The Joseph and Margaret Friedenthal Special Education Scholarship, Las Vegas - $100

Nevada Society of Certified Public Accountants, Reno - $200

Austin H. Bowler Memorial Scholarship Fund:

Santa Anita Turf & Sports, Las Vegas - $50
Mrs. Sydnee Mc Allister, Las Vegas - $10

Clark County Appliance, Inc., Las Vegas - $25
Mr. Noel Clark, Carson City - $5
Mr. Reese Taylor, Carson City - $5
Mr. Evo Granata, Carson City - $5

Foley Brothers, Las Vegas - $25
Mr. and Mrs. Paul Mc Dermott, Las Vegas - $25
Mr. and Mrs. Radford L. Cox, Las Vegas - $10

Boxing Hall of Fame, Las Vegas - $100
Dr. and Mrs. Thomas S. White, Boulder City - $100
Dr. John A. Leitch, Las Vegas - $25

Mr. and Mrs. Arthur J. Koeb, Las Vegas - $10
Mr. and Mrs. Michael Drakulich, Las Vegas - $10
Mr. and Mrs. Willis Ireland, Las Vegas - $10
Mr. David Pearl, Las Vegas - $20
Las Vegas Host Lions Club, Las Vegas - $25
Mr. William Morris, Las Vegas - $25
Mr. and Mrs. James H. Down, Las Vegas - $10
First National Bank, Las Vegas - $25

Music-Concert Series:

Mrs. Sylvia O. Upwall, Las Vegas - $25
Mr. and Mrs. Charles L. Adams, Las Vegas - $25
Nevada State Council on the Arts, Reno - $1500

Rebels Club, Athletic Grants-in-Aid:

Parraguirre, Rose, Pico & Norwood, Ltd., Las Vegas - $50
Mr. Warren E. Portenier, Las Vegas - $50
Mrs. Pamela Baker, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. Procter Hug, Jr., Reno - $10
Mr. Harry D. Harvey, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. and Mrs. Frank C. Di Luzio, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. Irving Rappaport, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. D. C. Hill, Tonopah - $50
Raven Gallery, Las Vegas - $50
Mrs. Gail N. Kelly, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. Morton Stone, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. and Mrs. Howard W. Williams, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. Neil D. Humphrey, Reno - $50
George Crockett, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. Robert Krauthamer, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. and Mrs. A. H. Watkins, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. George Katz, Las Vegas - $50
Mr. Don Griffith, Las Vegas - $50
Tropicaire Apartments, Las Vegas - $100
J. J. Parker Co., Las Vegas - $100
St. John Greek Orthodox Church, Las Vegas - $100
Mr. and Mrs. Richard A. Davis, Las Vegas - $100
Mr. and Mrs. Jeremiah J. Kelly, Las Vegas - $100
Mr. Bruce Beckley, Las Vegas - $100
Pink Panther Cocktail Lounge, Las Vegas - $100
El Portal Luggage, Las Vegas - $100
Jungle Club, Las Vegas - $100
B. Mahlon Brown and M. Gene Matteucci, Las Vegas - $100
Dick William Realty, Las Vegas - $100
Title Insurance and Trust Co., Las Vegas - $100
Mr. and Mrs. Richard D. Colquitt, Las Vegas - $100
Dr. Don L. Christensen, Las Vegas - $100
Dr. John M. Kelly, Las Vegas - $100

Pete Findley Oldsmobile, Las Vegas - $100

Kona Kai Inn, Las Vegas - $100

Avis Rent-a-Car System, Las Vegas - $100

Hanes-Thomas New Era Printers, Las Vegas - $100

Mr. and Mrs. Virgil Poole, Las Vegas - $100

KLUC Radio, Las Vegas - $100

Conway, Moe & Hibbs, Las Vegas - $100

Las Vegas Transit System, Inc., Las Vegas - $100

Gray Line Sight-Seeing Tours, Las Vegas - $100

Midas Muffler Shops, Las Vegas - $100

Ideal Staple Co., Las Vegas - $100

Mr. and Mrs. Ned H. Bortman, Las Vegas - $100

Mr. A. J. Edwards, Las Vegas - $100

Mr. and Mrs. Robert Fielden, Las Vegas - $100

Patricia V. Hurd, Las Vegas - $100

George Enomoto, Las Vegas - $100

Allied Electronics, Arcadia, California - $100

Dr. Dwight Meierhenry, Las Vegas - $100

Frances Zicari, Las Vegas - $100

Southwest Gas Corporation, Las Vegas - $100

Keltner, Milam & Johnson, Las Vegas - $100

KSHO-TV, Las Vegas - $100

Western Laundry & Linen Rental Co., Las Vegas - $100
Mr. and Mrs. W. J. Williams, Las Vegas - $100
Mr. George Von Tobel, Las Vegas - $100
Mr. and Mrs. O. M. Gann, Las Vegas - $100
Coulter Trailer Sales, Inc., Las Vegas - $100
Mr. and Mrs. Doyle E. Jordan, Las Vegas - $100
Mr. Earl S. Evenson, Las Vegas - $100
UNLV Dance Club, UNLV - $100
Dr. and Mrs. William T. White, Las Vegas - $100
Sahara Luggage and Gift Shop, Las Vegas - $125
Mr. Stanley Hyman, Las Vegas - $150
Blue Heaven, Inc., Las Vegas - $150
Mr. Joseph S. Pavikowski, Las Vegas - $150
Guardian Realty Corp, Las Vegas - $175
Carter and Snavely, Ltd., Las Vegas - $200
Young American Homes, Las Vegas - $200
First National Bank, Las Vegas - $200
Nevada Power Company, Las Vegas - $200
Frank's Saratoga Club, Las Vegas - $200
Sherman W. Miller, Las Vegas - $200
Dr. and Mrs. Richard H. Baker, Las Vegas - $200
Mr. C. R. Cavanaugh, Las Vegas - $200
Las Vegas Sun, Las Vegas - $200
Clover Underwriters General Agency, Las Vegas - $200
Marlee, Inc., dba: Carousel Casino, Las Vegas - $200
Central Telephone Co., Las Vegas - $200

La Concha Motel, Las Vegas - $200

Southwest Gas Corporation, Las Vegas - $250

Fletcher Jones Chevrolet, Las Vegas - $375

Albert H. Purdue, Las Vegas - $375

Cuzzens, Inc., Las Vegas - $375

Special Service Supply Co., Inc., Las Vegas - $375

First Western Savings, Las Vegas - $375

American Auto Lease, Inc., Las Vegas - $375

Advance Air Conditioning, Inc., Las Vegas - $375

Mr. and Mrs. Myron E. Leavitt, Las Vegas - $375

Twin Lakes Texaco, Las Vegas - $375

Dr. Robert A. Lysgaard, Las Vegas - $375

Gifts Galore, Las Vegas - $375

Mr. and Mrs. Byron P. Warner, Las Vegas - $375

Mrs. Patricia Deverell, Las Vegas - $375

A-1 Sports Center, Las Vegas - $375

Henderson Rexall Drug, Henderson - $375

W. W. Vending and Sutton Vending, Las Vegas - $375

Las Vegas Laundry & Cleaners, Inc., Las Vegas - $375

Ideal Supply Co., Inc., Las Vegas - $375

Southern Nevada TBA Supply, Inc., Las Vegas - $375

Mr. Carl M. Belding, Las Vegas - $375

Mr. William Coulthard, Las Vegas - $375
Mr. and Mrs. Murton Strimling, Las Vegas - $375

Dr. Hugh C. Follmer, Las Vegas - $375

Rocky Mountain Section PGA's, Nevada Chapter, Las Vegas

- $375

TV Electronics Co., Las Vegas - $375

Nevada Southern Title, Inc., Las Vegas - $375

Mr. and Mrs. L. D. Speer, Las Vegas - $375

Davy's Locker, Las Vegas - $375

Estate of Albert Edmunds Cahlan, Las Vegas - $375

The Keg Room, Las Vegas - $375

Mr. Philip Cohan, Las Vegas - $375

Charles Teel, Las Vegas - $375

Sam Krug Chrysler-Plymouth, Inc., Las Vegas - $375

Mr. Robert Peccole, Las Vegas - $375

Mr. Sy's, Las Vegas - $187.50

L. W., Inc., Las Vegas - $187.50

Dr. William H. Wilson, Las Vegas - $375

Flame, Inc., Las Vegas - $475

Las Vegas Convention Service Co., Las Vegas - $500

Fame Operating Co., Inc., dba: Village Pub, Las Vegas

- $500

Spring Mountain Youth Camp, Las Vegas - $500

Churchill Downs, Las Vegas - $500

Reynolds Electrical & Engineering Co., Inc., Las Vegas
- $500

Browning, Holmes, Mc Beath, Ltd., Las Vegas - $500

Dazey Travel Service, Inc., Las Vegas - $575

Dr. Russell F. Miller, Las Vegas - $600

Cragin & Pike, Las Vegas - $750

Colonial Properties, Las Vegas - $750

Milton Jaffe, Las Vegas - $750

Cartania, Inc., Las Vegas - $750

Jack Entratter, Las Vegas - $750

Moffitt and Mc Daniel, Ltd., Las Vegas - $750

Taylor of Nevada, Inc., Las Vegas - $750

M. J. Di Biase, Las Vegas - $750

Alstate Coin Machine Co., Inc., Las Vegas - $750

Dr. and Mrs. James Barger, Las Vegas - $750

Dr. John H. Payne, Las Vegas - $750

Michelle Silvagni, Las Vegas - $750

William W. Morris, Las Vegas - $750

Walter F. Zick, Harry P. Sharp, Las Vegas - $750

Shetakis Wholesalers, Inc., Las Vegas - $750

De Luca Importing Co., Inc., Las Vegas - $750

Clark County Wholesale Mercantile Co., Las Vegas - $750

Dr. Armond J. Scully, Las Vegas - $750

Mr. Ed Fike, Las Vegas - $750

Young Electric Sign Co., Las Vegas - $1500
Hotel Tropicana, Las Vegas - $1500

Mr. Edward J. Barrick, Las Vegas - $1500

Mr. and Mrs. John Hughes, Las Vegas - $1500

Showboat, Inc., Las Vegas - $1500

Mr. and Mrs. John H. Meier, Las Vegas - $1500

Mr. and Mrs. Cecil Simmons, Las Vegas - $1500

Mr. Jay J. Sarno, Las Vegas - $1500

Anderson Dairy, Inc., Las Vegas - $1500

Saveway Super Service Stations, Inc., Las Vegas - $1500

Mr. Chester Simms, Las Vegas - $1500

United Coin Machine Co., Las Vegas - $1500

The Grace Corp., Las Vegas - $1500

Caesars Palace, Las Vegas - $1500

Casino Operations, Inc., Las Vegas - $1500

Sons of Erin, Las Vegas - $1500

Bank of Las Vegas, Las Vegas - $1500

Bank of Nevada, Las Vegas - $1500

Flamingo Hotel, Las Vegas - $1500

International Hotel, Las Vegas - $1500

Bally Sales Corp. of Nevada, Las Vegas - $1500

Mr. Yale Cohen, Las Vegas - $1500

M. B. Dalitz, Las Vegas - $1500

Desert Inn Hotel, Las Vegas - $1500

Frontier Hotel, Las Vegas - $1500
J. K. Houssels, Sr., Las Vegas - $1500

Landmark Hotel, Las Vegas - $1500

Nevada State Bank, Las Vegas - $1500

Riviera Hotel, Las Vegas - $1500

Sands Hotel, Las Vegas - $1500

Endowment Fund

Mr. Sebastian Mikulich, Las Vegas - $10,000

Acting Director Warburton reported the following gifts and grants received by DRI:

DRI

National Aeronautics & Space Administration - $21,000 one year contract for "Propagation and Mode Coupling of Plasma Waves in Inhomogeneous Plasma, with Special Emphasis on Problems in Solar Physics", under the direction of F. Winterberg.

Max C. Fleischmann Foundation - $25,000, 3rd quarter reimbursement for Personnel, Travel and Programs.
National Science Foundation - $57,200 two year contract for "Adaptation in Mammals and Man to Desert and Mountains", under the direction of D. B. Dill.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the gifts and grants be accepted as reported and the Secretary be requested to send notes of thanks to the donors.

3. Report of Personnel Actions

Approval of the following personnel appointments was recommended by the appropriate Officers:

UNR

COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

Alex Max Bempong, Graduate Assistant in Biology, academic year 1970-71 - $2900 (foreign student) (replacement for S. Pellegrini)

Jerry L. Conners, Graduate Assistant in Biology, academic year 1970-71 - $2500 (replacement for James Kelleher)
William R. Gamboni, Lecturer in Psychology, part-time,
Spring semester, 1970 - $926 (temporary appointment; partial
replacement for Paul Secord on Sabbatical)

Marcia K. Harrington, Lecturer in Psychology, part-time,
Spring semester, 1970 - $996 (temporary appointment; partial
replacement for Paul Secord on Sabbatical)

John Henry Nelson, Assistant Professor of Chemistry, aca-
demic year 1970-71 - $10,250 (new position)

Sandra J. Olsen, Student Assistant in Anthropology, 4-1-70
to 6-30-70 - $315 (replacement for Amy Dansie)

Barbara H. Peegers, Lecturer in Psychology, part-time,
Spring semester, 1970 - $926 (temporary appointment; partial
replacement for Paul Secord on Sabbatical)

Gerald W. Peterson, Assistant Professor of Foreign Languages
& Literature, academic year 1970-71 - $11,750 (replacement
for Jose Varela-Ibarra)

Diana M. Petersdorf, Graduate Assistant in Mathematics,
academic year 1970-71 - $2500 (replacement for Jerry Blain)

David A. Redfield, Graduate Assistant in Chemistry, academic year 1970-71 - $2500 (replacement for Dean Evans)

James W. Riley, Lecturer in Speech & Drama, academic year 1970-71 - $9125 (temporary appointment; replacement for Fred Whited on leave of absence)

Rebecca S. Vreeland, Associate Professor of Sociology, academic year 1970-71 - $13,375 (vacant position)

William Paul Wallace, Associate Professor of Psychology, academic year 1970-71 - $12,525 (replacement for Robert Gardner on Sabbatical)

BUSINESS MANAGER/PHYSICAL PLANT

John R. Smolley, Personnel Analyst, fiscal year 1970-71 - $11,850 (transfer from technical position)

MACKAY SCHOOL OF MINES

Larie K. Richardson, Graduate Assistant in Geology/Geogra-
Motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried without dissent that the personnel appointments be approved as recommended.

4. UNR Film

At the request of Miss Del Papa, ASUN President, a film about the UNR environment was shown. Miss Del Papa noted that the film was prepared by Paul Basta, ASUN Vice President, together with other students in a UNR Art class and depicted certain aspects of the Campus which in the opinion of the film makers need improvement.

5. Approval of Additional Adjunct Faculty Category

President Miller presented a request from the School of Medical Sciences and the Orvis School of Nursing, with his recommendation for approval, that clinical, academic titles be used for adjunct faculty in these two units. The policy statement governing such appointments, as proposed by the two faculties, is as follows:
POLICY STATEMENT

UTILIZATION OF CLINICAL ACADEMIC TITLES AND CRITERIA
FOR THE APPOINTMENT AND PROMOTION OF THE CLINICAL
FACULTY IN THE SCHOOL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES AND SCHOOL
OF NURSING, UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO

I. General Principles to be Used as Bases for Appointment

A. Clinical titles should be meaningful and represent
genuine commitment to and involvement in the teaching and/or research programs. The Chairman of the
respective Division shall be responsible for recommending appointments to the Dean and Executive Committee. He should be reasonably certain that each appointee’s professional background is such that
he may be expected to make a positive contribution
to the teaching and/or research program of the
School. The candidate should be apprised of his
responsibilities and signify his willingness to
accept them.

B. Clinical titles should not be used as honorary
degrees, political instruments, or recognition for
financial contribution.

C. Clinical appointments should be made annually re-
   newable upon the recommendation of the Division
   Chairman with approval of the Dean and Executive
   Committee.

D. Clinical appointments will usually be without sal-
   ary.

E. Appointments shall be at academic levels. No
   "courtesy" appointments will be recommended or made
   under any circumstances.

1. Objectives:

   Clinical titles constitute a valuable mechanism
   for utilizing the skills and interests of pri-
   vate practitioners on a part-time basis to aug-
   ment and complement full-time faculty in the
   areas of teaching, patient care and research.
   These appointments afford an effective mechan-
   ism for exchange of information between the
   practicing community and professional schools.
For the practitioner-teacher and the academician
this represents a form of continuing education
along with the satisfaction derived from the
exchange of information and experiences.

2. Qualification of Appointment:

Appointments should be based on the candidate's
clinical and teaching competence. Close consid­
eration must be given to such factors as
previous professional training, Speciality
Board certification, professional activities,
years and record of practice, previous teaching
experience, and willingness to be available.

Where there are questions as to clinical compe­
tence and previous experience the appointee's
record should be reviewed by the Professional
Education Committee of the Nevada State Medical
Association or the County Medical Society or the
Board of Nursing, whichever is appropriate.

3. Numbers:
The number of appointments to any Division will be based on the judgment of the Chairman, in consultation with the Dean, and shall reflect the requirements of the particular teaching, research and/or patient care program.

4. Reimbursement:

As a general rule, clinical titles represent a volunteer function and are without monetary compensation. In certain instances, such as when an individual has been assigned a heavier than usual teaching or research schedule, or administrative responsibilities, it may be appropriate for compensation to be made. Such appointments will be at the discretion of the Department or Division Chairman and the Dean, subject to the approval of the President of the University.

5. Teaching Time Requirements:

There will be a minimum requirement of teaching hours for each clinical faculty member; subse-
quent renewal of appointment will require evidence of maintenance of this requirement. A suggested minimum is 25 hours of student contact per semester, or one hour per week. Some flexibility in this requirement is necessary and the ultimate responsibility for evaluation of performance rests with the Division Chairman. The determination of minimum teaching or research hours will be made in consultation with the President, Dean and Executive Committees of the respective Schools.

6. Levels of Appointment:

For the present, the range of titles will be from Clinical Instructor to Clinical Professor. Appointments will follow the University Code regulations.

7. Mechanisms of Appointment:

a) Clinical appointments will originate in a letter of recommendation, with appropriate supporting documents, submitted by the
Division Chairman to the Dean. The Dean will present the application to the Executive Committee for review. Recommended candidates’ credentials will be submitted to the President for approval.

b) Annual review of all appointments will be made by the Chairman and his recommendations will be submitted to the Dean. Reappointments will be approved by the Dean and forwarded to the President.

c) Promotion, which should be based on performance, will be subject to the same review as initial appointments. The recommendations will be made in writing to the Chairman, submitted to the Dean and Executive Committee and forwarded to the President for approval.

8. Fringe Benefits:

University-supported fringe benefits will include parking permits, Life and Health Library
privileges and passes to University athletic
and cultural events such as are allowed other
faculty.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the proposed category
be approved in principle and the UNR section of the revised
University Code accommodate this change.

Motion by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried
without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

6. New Graduate Student Category

President Miller submitted, with his recommendation for
approval, a proposal by the Graduate Council of UNR that in
Master's programs a new category of Provisional Standing be
approved as follows:

"Provisional Standing: A student with an overall gradepoint
average of less than 2.50 may apply for admission to a Mas-
ter's program with provisional standing and the Graduate
Dean may grant the request upon approval of the Chairman of
the Department concerned. Provisional standing may be
changed to full standing upon successful completion of one
semester of full-time Graduate study (*) as certified by
the major Department. A student may not remain on provi-
sional standing for more than one semester (**). Advance
work undertaken while on provisional status is fully appli-
cable towards the Master's degree. (**) Twelve weeks of
full-time Graduate study in a single Summer session may be
regarded as equivalent; (9 course credits would constitute
an acceptable full load during the Summer.) (**) A single
twelve week Summer session may be regarded as equivalent.)

"Certification may be made by the Department prior to the
close of the next late registration period. Failure to do
this shall result in denial of admission to Graduate stand-
ing through the provisional standing procedure. The GRE
route, however, shall still be open."

There was discussion of the earlier concerns expressed that
the GRE not be used as an evaluation tool in awarding a
Graduate degree. President Miller pointed out that this
category merely provides an additional route to admission
to Graduate standing and has no relation to the GRE. He
reaffirmed his earlier statement that the function and use
of the Graduate Record Examination is under study.
Mr. Hug agreed that the proposal for provisional standing category was not related to the Graduate Record Examination but stated that he wanted to make sure it was understood that the GRE, even though it is required of all Graduate students, will not be used as a tool in determining whether a Graduate degree will be awarded.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval of the provisional standing category at UNR effective July 1, 1970.

Motion by Mrs. Knudtsen, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

7. Approval of Fund Transfer

President Miller requested approval of the following budget transfer:

#352  $3039.32 from Contingency Reserve, UNR, to Admissions and Records, Classified Salary, to provide funds for balance of year. Several positions in this office were reclassified by the State Personnel Division and funds are inadequate to provide salaries for the balance of the year.
Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

8. Approval of Purchases in Excess of $5000

A. Mr. Pine reported that bids were opened April 15, 1970 for a Differential Scanning Calorimeter and accessory items for the Chemistry Department, College of Arts and Science. One bid was received from Perkin-Elmer Corp. of California for $7392. Mr. Pine noted that this system is to be used mainly in Graduate research to monitor the course of solid phase reactions and the study of the chemical behavior of solids.

President Miller recommended the award be made to Perkin-Elmer Corp. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried without dissent, that the above recommendation be approved.
B. Mr. Pine reported that bids were opened April 30, 1970 for Library, office and classroom furniture for the Chemistry building. He noted that 27 items had been bid and recommended awards be made as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armanko Office Supply, Reno</td>
<td>$28,806.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virco Mfg. Corp., Los Angeles</td>
<td>710.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E &amp; I, La Mirada, California</td>
<td>311.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morrill &amp; Machabee, Reno</td>
<td>265.74</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$30,093.98

President Miller and Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Seeliger, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

9. Buildings and Grounds, UNR

President Miller recommended that $10,665 be allocated by the Board from the UNR Capital Improvement Fee Fund for the following remodeling projects:

First floor of Chemistry-Physics Annex for
multipurpose Anatomy Laboratory $8,065

Second floor of Chemistry-Physics Annex for
office space and door modification 1,500

Modification of passageway connecting
Chemistry-Physics Annex with Mechanical Arts
building 1,000

$10,665

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval, noting that completion of this project will obviate an expenditure of $46,000 previously planned for the Mechanical Arts building.

Motion by Dr. Lombrdi, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

10. National College of State Trial Judges Building

Mr. Pine reported that the following bids were received on April 22, 1970, for the construction of the Law Library for the National College of State Trial Judges:

Johnson-Boscovich Base Bid $1,189,900
Alternate A 10,870
Alternate B 5,000-
Total Project with Alternates $1,195,770

Mc Kenzie Construction  Base Bid $1,189,400
Alternate A 12,000
Alternate B 5,000-
Total Project with Alternates $1,195,900

J. A. Tiberti  Base Bid $1,208,400
Alternate A 13,000
Alternate B 5,000-
Total Project with Alternates $1,216,000

Walker Boudwin  Base Bid $1,213,900
Alternate A 12,588
Alternate B 5,250-
Total Project with Alternates $1,221,238

Jacobsen Construction  Base Bid $1,219,800
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Base Bid</th>
<th>Total Project with Alternates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Savini Construction</td>
<td>$1,223,000</td>
<td>$1,230,915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate A</td>
<td>12,915</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate B</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capriotti-Lemon</td>
<td>$1,225,000</td>
<td>$1,235,329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate A</td>
<td>15,829</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate B</td>
<td>5,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johnson-Mapes</td>
<td>$1,234,500</td>
<td>$1,244,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate A</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate B</td>
<td>5,300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brunzell Construction</td>
<td>$1,241,500</td>
<td>$1,228,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate A</td>
<td>13,490</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alternate B</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
He noted that if all alternates are accepted, Johnson-Boscovich is low; if only the base bid or base bid plus the deductive alternate is accepted, Mc Kenzie Construction is low. Mr. Pine reported that the bids are now being reviewed by the National College and the Fleischmann Foundation and the contract will be awarded following a decision by those two organizations. It was noted that this grant did not require Board of Regents concurrence in the bid award.

11. Progress Report on University Projects

A report from the Director of Physical Plant on the status of all capital improvement projects under the supervision of UNR was transmitted with the agenda. In addition, a status report from the State Planning Board was distributed at the meeting (both reports are on file in the Chancellor's Office).
12. Alcoholic Beverage Policy

President Miller reported that after approximately a year
and one-half of intensive discussion by various University
committees and Boards, and by the ASUN Senate and the UNR
Faculty Senate, the Alcoholic Beverage Policy of the Uni-
versity was referred to a special ad hoc committee. He
noted that the committee had filed a report and recommenda-
tion for a change in the policy (proposed policy statement
on file in Chancellor’s Office).

President Miller stated that he endorsed the recommendations
of the Committee. He said he considered the proposed policy
to be a simple and direct one and one that lends itself to
proper enforcement in that it would reduce problems with
respect to the storage and use of alcoholic beverages and
would allow for proper adult freedom with respect to this
matter.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that this matter was placed on the
agenda as an information item rather than an action item at
this time in that he believed the Board of Regents should
have an opportunity for full review and consideration of
such an important policy matter. He noted also that this
needs to be reviewed by the Attorney General's Office.

Miss Del Papa stated that this proposed policy statement had the complete endorsement of the students on the Committee.

Dr. Peltier endorsed the statement on behalf of the Faculty Senate.

Dr. Anderson asked if the students, in endorsing this policy, had also accepted the penalties provided and would they expect the policy to be enforced.

Miss Del Papa said this proposed policy statement will be submitted to the ASUN Senate for approval. She noted that the students who served on the Committee were in favor of the policy and would not resist enforcement.

Mr. Seeliger asked about the responsibility for violations of the State Law in regard to minors. Would the Board of Regents be responsible for those students under 21 who live in the Dorms who may violate the State Law?

Mr. Bilbray suggested that if this policy is adopted, it should be adopted for a specific period of time.
Mr. Hug asked if there was any thought given to an election in each Dorm by its residents to determine whether they wished to permit alcohol in the rooms. He pointed out that many of the Dorm residents are required to live there and a policy such as this could be a disruptive influence of their living environment. He also suggested that since, as reported, there are not many students over 21 who live in the Dorms, this policy might be creating a number of problems for the Administration and would only serve a small group.

President Miller pointed out that approval of this policy would extend officially to the Dorm residents the privilege which is unofficially available to fraternity and sorority residents.

Dr. Zorn stated that this kind of policy is very much in the national trend; however, this proposed policy is perhaps more tightly written and more rigid than most. He said he believed it to be a step in the direction in which most Campuses are going. Dr. Zorn also stated that the present policy on alcoholic beverages has been the subject of discussion at UNLV on several occasions and reported that the CSUN has urged adoption of this proposed policy on a System-wide basis and he endorses that request.
Mr. Bilbray pointed out that the Board must recognize that liquor is present in the Dorms and suggested it would be preferable to have a policy which could be enforced.

Mr. Hug said the Board must also look at the matter from the viewpoint of the landlord and must consider students who would be annoyed by others having liquor in the Dorms.

Mr. Jacobsen asked for a report on the number and percentage of Dorm residents who are over 21.

Mr. Seeliger suggested there be a clear definition of the term "premises".

Mr. Warburton noted that there have been many occasions when DRI would have found it desirable to be able to serve alcoholic beverages and although the Institute was not involved or concerned with use by students, it would support consideration of that part of the policy which provides for alcohol to be served at special events when authorized.

Rene Arceneaux stated that the CSUN Senate has endorsed the proposed policy statement and urges its adoption on a Sys-
Mr. Hug suggested that this statement required very special attention. He agreed that there should be some differentiation between alcohol in the Dorms where students are required to live and in other areas, for example, University Village.

Mrs. Knudtsen pointed out that the policy really deals with two different problems; one as it involves students in their living areas and one as it involves the serving of alcohol at social events. She suggested that there should be two separate statements.

Mr. Hug suggested that there be some consideration given to perhaps setting aside certain living areas for students over 21.

Mr. Bilbray moved that action be taken on Paragraph 6 only, separate from the rest of the statement. Motion seconded by Dr. Anderson.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that this statement not be separated if there was an intention to consider the balance.
at the next meeting, pointing out that what is done for one
group would affect what is done for another.

Mr. Ronzone said he was not in favor of taking action,
pointing out that this was placed on the agenda as an in-
formational item to permit discussion and allow time for
consideration before action was requested. He said he was
not prepared to vote at this time.

Mr. Bilbray withdrew his motion.

The meeting recessed for lunch and reconvened at 1:35 P.M.

13. Livestock Research Demonstration Ranch

President Miller referred to a proposal by the Fleischmann
College of Agriculture requesting authorization to seek,
select and eventually purchase a new livestock research
demonstration ranch.

Dean Dale Bohmont commented on the proposal, noting that the
Citizens Advisory Committee to the College of Agriculture
had requested that the College work with a sub-committee of
their group to select a livestock research demonstration
ranch to be located in Northern Nevada. The purpose of the new ranch would be to provide information from a large demonstration cattle operation that utilizes the best management practices.

Dean Bohmont introduced Dr. Ray Ely and Mr. Norm Nichol from his staff, and Mr. Bob Thomas, Chairman of the Citizens Advisory Committee, and Mr. Les Stewart, who had served as a member of the sub-committee.

Dean Bohmont pointed out that present trends in encroachment of Experiment Station lands by sewer easements, road rights of way, urbanization and industrial development make it essential that the College select appropriate lands for the continuance of livestock research demonstration programs. He noted that the present University facilities do not provide a sufficient number of livestock to allow for a scientifically sound comparison of various new management systems now projected as necessary during the 1970's. Dean Bohmont further noted that the ranch lands at Knoll Creek now used by the College consist of one acre of owned land associated with lands leased from the Salmon River Cattle Association. The leasing agreement expires in 1971. With the changes in membership of the Salmon River Cattle Asso-
ciation, the College has been informed that the number of cattle cannot be increased beyond the present 150 head and that to continue to use the land will require considerable investment for land improvement of the Association's lands as part of any lease renewal.

Mr. Bob Thomas spoke in support of the proposal, emphasizing the need for such a research facility. He noted that the Committee recommended that the ranch property selected meet the following criteria:

(a) Adequate to handle 500-800 head of cows

(b) Adequate water

(c) Additional land for development

(d) Adequate buildings

(e) Close to schools or to transportation to schools

(f) Balanced range - inside and outside grazing

Dean Bohmont recommended that the College be authorized to
proceed with the selection of a ranch with the purchases to
be made from non-appropriated monies available from the sale
of Valley Road Farm. He proposed the non-appropriated funds
be used to make a down payment of approximately 29% of the
total price and the balance paid from ranch earnings.

In the discussion concerning the projected expense of such
a ranch operation on the income which might be expected,
Dean Bohmont pointed out this would not be an entirely new
project, rather an adjustment of the present operation at
Knoll Creek. Money now being spent for leasing in that
area will be diverted to the new ranch. He also noted that
their request to proceed carried following contingencies:

(1) Sufficient money being developed from the sale of
    Valley Road Farm right of way; and

(2) Arrival at some terms which will be self-amortizing.

President Miller recommended approval. Chancellor Humphrey
concurred, subject to: (1) receipt by the University of
sufficient money from the sale of the highway right of way
on the Valley Road Farm to meet prior commitments related
to the Animal Research Facility; and (2) presentation of a
budget projection, approved by the Citizens Advisory Committee and by President Miller, which demonstrates the ability of the ranch to amortize the note secured by deed of trust.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried without dissent that the College be authorized to proceed as outlined.

14. Revenue Bond Issue for Performing Arts Center

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that these bonds had been advertised for sale on April 10 but no bids had been received. A negotiated sale had been authorized and Wilson-White, Inc. had purchased them and sold the bulk of the issue back to six Nevada banks. He recommended that the following resolution, authorizing the sale of $930,000 of 20 year, 7% interest, revenue bonds to Wilson-White, Inc., be adopted:

RESOLUTION #70-2

A Resolution authorizing the issuance by the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada of the University's obligations designated as the "University of Nevada Student Fees Revenue Bonds, Series May 1, 1970", in the
principal amount of $930,000.00 for the purpose of de-fraying wholly or in part the cost of constructing and otherwise acquiring for the University a Performing Arts Center on the Campus of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, and acquiring equipment and furnishing therefor and other appurtenances relating thereto; providing the form, terms and conditions of the bonds, the manner of their execution, the method of their payment and the security therefor; providing for the disposition of revenues derived from fees, rentals and other charges from students using or being served by, or having the right to use or to be served by, the Student Union building facilities at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, and from other facilities of the University; prescribing other details concerning such bonds and such facilities, including but not limited to covenants and agreements in connection therewith; ratifying action heretofore taken toward acquiring such bonds; and repealing all resolutions in conflict herewith. (A complete copy of the resolution is on file in the Chancellor's Office.)

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that Resolution #70-2 be adopted.
15. Other Revenue Bond Sales

Chancellor Humphrey noted that sale of other revenue bonds
(Physical Science, Phase II, UNR - $1.9 million; College of
Education, UNR - $2 million; College of Education, UNLV -
$1.6 million) has not been attempted due to the unfavorable
bond market and the fact that these projects had been made
a part of the consitutional test case related to the Nevada
State Building Authority. Now that the Nevada Supreme Court
has declared the Building Authority unconstitutional, the
only remaining problem in the sale of the bonds is the con-
dition of the market.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that the recent successful sale of
the State General Obligation issue reflected its more favor-
able rating and the fact that it was a ten year issue with
average maturities of only five years, whereas the Univer-
sity's bonds cannot with present funding be for less than
20 years (average maturity is 15 years) and, as revenue
bonds, carry a lower rating than do the State General Obli-
gation issues.

Chancellor Humphrey stated that in view of the extremely
adverse revenue bond market and the fact that Burrows, Smith and Co. continues to advise that these bonds are not marketable at 7% or less, extraordinary measures may be justified to attempt to place these issues. He said that it might be possible to sell this issue if additional revenue were available to finance it. For example, if student fees were increased 10% it would assist the sale and perhaps some who were complaining about these bonds not being sold might wish to propose an increase in such fees. However, he stated, neither he nor President Zorn were willing to recommend such action at this time.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that he be authorized to negotiate a sale of these issues, subject to confirmation by the Board of Regents. If this is unsuccessful, as of the June meeting, a resolution could then be proposed calling for bids to be opened at the July meeting.

Motion by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

16. Approval of Purchase in Excess of $5000

President Zorn requested approval of the purchase of a
Monochromator-Spectrophotometer by the Physics Department, UNLV. He noted that six suppliers were solicited and the following responses were received:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supplier</th>
<th>Bid Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engis Equipment</td>
<td>$9,534.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perkin-Elmer Co.</td>
<td>5,876.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarrell-Ash Division</td>
<td>No Bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Van Water &amp; Roger Co.</td>
<td>No Bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sargent-Welch Co.</td>
<td>No Bid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Product</td>
<td>No Bid</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

President Zorn recommended the bid of Perkin-Elmer for $5876 be accepted. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Dr. White, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

17. Request for Reduced Fee

President Zorn presented a request from the College of Humanities that native speakers of Spanish be allowed to participate in Spanish Literature courses at a reduced fee. Specifically, they propose that these individuals with high school diplomas be invited to attend classes in Spanish.
Literature on the same basis that senior citizens may elect
to attend classes at UNLV; i.e., a fee of $10 per course
would be charged and no credit given. Additionally, the
Registrar and the Admissions Office would not be involved
with the individuals and no transcript would be issued.

President Zorn recommended approval. Chancellor Humphrey
concurred.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. White, carried with­
out dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

18. Angel's Peak Radar Station

Chancellor Humphrey stated that Mr. Bilbray had requested
President Zorn to report on UNLV's plans concerning the
Angel's Peak Radar Station, noting that a recent article
had appeared in the Las Vegas "Sun" referring to a possible
conflict between the University and Clark County juvenile
authorities who are seeking a replacement for the Spring
Mountain Youth Camp.

President Zorn stated that the possibility of UNLV taking
over this facility if it is abandoned has been under con-
sideration for over a year. He noted that it is located
on Mt. Charleston and would be a suitable research facility
for several of the Science Departments and perhaps a rec-
reational facility for use by the Physical Education Depart-
ment. He stated that the facility has not been declared
surplus yet and there appears to be a possibility that FAA
will exercise a first priority if it is abandoned. No
application has been made by the University. President
Zorn pointed out that although this article has appeared
in the newspaper indicating interest in the facility by
the juvenile authorities, UNLV has not been directly con-
tacted.

Mr. Hug asked how Dr. Zorn would compare the University's
need for this area with the needs of the juvenile authori-
ties. Dr. Zorn stated that UNLV would, of course, make a
sincere effort to re-evaluate the University's potential
use to determine if it would be compatible with a shared
use by the juvenile authorities.

Mr. Bilbray said he has been informed by Judge Mendoza that
the juvenile authorities are interested in this facility as
a possible replacement for the Spring Mountain Youth Camp
which was destroyed by flood; however, they (the juvenile
authorities) understand that the University has first priority).

Dr. Zorn pointed out that the Federal Government has not yet made the decision on whether the facility will be declared surplus. He also stated again that the University has not been approached by the County juvenile authorities.

Mr. Warburton reported that DRI Water Resources Research staff had been approached by the juvenile authorities concerning some water studies of an alternate site in the Spring Mountain area.

Mr. Ronzone expressed some concern that there has not been a formal approach by the juvenile authorities and suggested a determination be made of the extent of their interest.

Mr. Bilbray agreed to contact Judge Mendoza and suggest that he ask that Board to talk with President Zorn concerning their plans.

Dr. Baepler said in his opinion it would be a dangerous area for young people and would be difficult to set up as a year-round facility.
Mr. Hug stated that if the University is in a position of competing with a youth facility, it is important to make an evaluation of how the University would be using the area.

Dr. Zom asked that he be given provisional authority to apply to the Federal Government for this facility if it is declared surplus.

Chancellor Humphrey suggested that a report be brought back to the Board at its June meeting concerning a plan for the use of the facility by UNLV, an estimate of the cost of operating it, and a report of the meeting with the juvenile authorities. The Board would then be in a better position to take action.

Dr. White suggested Dr. Zom be authorized to move in immediately and apply for the facility regardless of whether any other agency wants it.

Mr. Warburton suggested this was an appropriate matter for discussion by the Chancellor's Advisory Cabinet before action is taken by the Board.
Mr. Bilbray moved that the Administration be authorized to gather more information concerning the costs of operating this facility, possible uses by the University and evaluation of other compatible uses, and possibility of retention of equipment in the event of abandonment by the present users. Motion seconded by Dr. White.

Dr. Zorn suggested that he also be permitted to inform the Federal agency that the Board of Regents is interested in something more than information. He suggested that the Board indicate that consistent with budgetary and other factors it affirms interest and directs the President to pursue possible acquisition.

Mr. Hug expressed reservations, noting that the Board had been in this trap once. Before the Board states that it wants this facility, he said, it should be determined how much it will cost to maintain it and what uses are anticipated. He suggested an expression of interest in exploring the possible uses would be appropriate. Mrs. Knudtsen agreed, stating that she would not wish to vote to authorize an application without further study.

Mr. Bilbray requested that his motion be expanded to state
that the Federal agency be informed that the Board of Regents is interested in the possible uses to which this facility might be put and would appreciate further information. Mrs. White concurred. Motion carried without dissent.

Upon motion by Mr. Ronzone, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, the following item was added to the agenda:

19. Gift of Stock

President Zorn reported that Mrs. Helen Thompson had recently given 83 shares of Electronic Data Systems stock to UNLV for support of its academic programs. Through an unusual set of circumstances, the stock was issued in the name of the Board of Regents and then sold by the broker for the amount of $5,602.50 prior to the proper stock transfer being signed. He requested appropriate action to approve the sale of the stock and to accept the proceeds for the benefit of UNLV.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended the Board authorize a stock transfer to be executed which would approve the sale of the 83 shares of Electronic Data Systems stock.
Motion by Mr. Seeliger, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the Chancellor's recommendation be approved.

20. Approval of Purchase in Excess of $5000

Mr. Warburton requested approval to purchase a computer to be used by DRI for airborne data collection and processing in the atmospheric research system. He stated that the equipment is available only through Lockheed Electronics Co., and will cost $23,005. Funds are available from the Max C. Fleischmann Foundation equipment grant.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

21. Environmental Quality Program

Mr. Warburton recalled that the DRI National Advisory Board, in its report of December 3, 1969, recommended that "a program of environmental quality, drawing on the many perti-
nent resources of all the present Centers and the rest of
the University, be initiated. An Environmental Quality
Program could be administered by the Director of the Insti-
tute, with the aid of a Program Director and the Research
Planning Committee”.

Mr. Warburton noted that in accordance with the above recom-
mendation and subsequent approval by the Board of Regents,
the DRI staff has investigated the details related to the
development of such a program and the Research Planning
Committee of the Institute has made the following recom-
mendations:

(1) That an Environmental Quality Program be developed from
existing laboratories and centers of the Institute.

(2) That a Planning Committee be formed of representatives
from the laboratories and the centers of the Institute.

(3) That a Program Director be designated to coordinate
the multi-disciplinary aspects of the program.

(4) That the Program Director be responsible to the Direc-
tor of DRI.
(5) That immediate efforts be made to obtain planning funds for the program.

Mr. Warburton suggested further that in the event such a program is established, the Program Director and the Committee should investigate the possibility of participation of UNR and UNLV and investigate the feasibility of coordination with State agencies and private foundations.

Dr. Anderson recalled that there had been some discussion earlier concerning the name of the program at which time it had been suggested that it be called Nevada Program for Environmental Studies, so that it would be identified with the State of Nevada and so that it would not be limited to environmental quality.

Mr. Warburton agreed that perhaps that would more properly describe the program. However, he pointed out that there are other agencies in Nevada which are involved in this kind of program, and care should be taken not to pre-empt other State agencies. It was suggested that the word "University" replace the word "Nevada".
Dr. Peltier pointed out that care must also be taken that
the establishment of this program at DRI does not pre-empt
UNR from establishing such a program.

President Miller recalled that in earlier discussions of
such a program it was understood that it would be a System-
wide program. However, he said, this proposal is focused
within DRI. It should be made very clear that this would
not preempt cooperative effort or individual effort.

Dr. Zorn agreed that it should be a cooperative effort be-
tween the Divisions of the System.

Mr. Warburton noted that there have been discussions at all
levels and it is the intent of the Institute to immediately
going to the Campuses to begin discussions on how they can best
join together to implement this program.

Dr. Zorn stated that the record should show this is to be a
collaborative enterprise in management and planning.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval of the DRI proposal
with the title change as suggested.
Mr. Bilbray moved that the Chancellor's recommendation be approved with the name of the program changed to "University Program for Environmental Studies". Motion seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried without dissent.

Chancellor Humphrey stated that it is specifically understood that this program will be undertaken in cooperation with the other Divisions.

22. Work Program for 1970-71

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in February the Board approved a Tentative Work Program for 1970-71, totaling $21,370,621, which enabled the Administration to proceed with professional contracts for incumbent faculty and recruiting for the new positions authorized. The Work Program has been revised to reflect all salary decisions and to accommodate to the salary increase for classified employees which had been recommended by the State Personnel Advisory Commission.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that the revised 1970-71 Work Program for the University of Nevada System, which had been forwarded with the agenda and which he recommended for
approval, totaled $21,338,721 or 8.3% more than the amount of $19,696,836 in 1969-70. The $31,900 decrease from the Tentative Work Program resulted from the following: (1) a decrease in Federal funding in the amount of $60,400 for the Agricultural Experiment Station and $2000 for the Co-operative Extension Service; and (2) an increase in the authorized expenditures for the Elko Community College in the amount of $30,500.

Chancellor Humphrey continued by stating that the Work Program had been developed with the full cooperation of the chief administrators in charge of each budget area. In all budget areas except Statewide Programs (Research & Extension), Agricultural Experiment Station, Cooperative Extension Service, and the System Computing Center sufficient funds have been reserved in the Contingency Reserve or Classified Salary Reserve to fund 2/3 of the classified and technical salary adjustment currently under consideration by the Interim Finance Committee. In the budget areas mentioned above, 2/3 of the adjustment will be funded by transfer from the operating account of the particular budget area. It has been proposed by the State Department of Administration that the additional 1/3 come from allocation by the Interim Finance Committee.
Chancellor Humphrey recommended that in adopting the 1970-71 Work Program the Board of Regents direct that all salary savings accruing after the authorized positions have been filled shall revert to the appropriate Contingency Reserve.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that the Work Program for 1970-71 be adopted as presented and the Chancellor's recommendation concerning reversion of salary savings to the appropriate Contingency Reserve be approved.

23. Approval of Purchase in Excess of $5000

Chancellor Humphrey reported that bids were opened by the University of Nevada Press on April 15, 1970, for 2000 copies of "Restless Strangers: Nevada's Immigrants and Their Interpreters", by Wilbur S. Shepperson.

Invitations to bid were sent to:

- George Banta Co., Inc., Wisconsin No Bid
- Heritage Printers, Inc., Charlotte, N. C. No Bid
- Kingsport Press, Inc., Los Altos, Ca. $6,763.77
Chancellor Humphrey recommended that award be made to Kingsport Press, Inc. Funds are available from University Press Sales Account.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

24. Edwin W. Stadtmuller Bequest

Chancellor Humphrey reported that under the terms of the will of Edwin W. Stadtmuller, of San Francisco, California, the University had received $25,000 "to be held as a separate and distinct scholarship fund designated 'The Frederick and Anna Stadtmuller Scholarship'". The will specifies that the "University of Nevada shall invest the proceeds of this bequest as it shall deem advisable, and that only the income from the bequest shall be disbursed for scholarship purposes" and "... the University of Nevada shall have full discretion to designate the recipient of the scholarship, and that it may, in its discretion, designate two or more recipients to share concurrently in the proceeds of the scholarship".
Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in November, 1968, the Board had adopted criteria to govern the future distribution of scholarship endowment earnings and within that criteria was included "... but where a donor has an obvious sentimental or emotional tie with one Campus or the other, that Campus shall receive the award. Such sentimental or emotional ties would include being an alumnus of the Campus or being closely related to an alumnus, or having been a resident near one Campus or where there is clear evidence of a special friendship with one Campus."

Mr. Humphrey reported that information in the files indicates that Mr. Stadtmuller's father had a mercantile business in Northern Nevada, in the 1800's. His brother, Frederick, graduated from the University of Nevada in 1892 and later served on its faculty as a Chemistry Professor. His sister-in-law, Clara Field Stadtmuller (widow of Frederick), left a bequest to the University in 1955, the income from which is used for the Frederick Stadtmuller Memorial Scholarships. (Her estate was valued at the time at about $30,000.) According to correspondence in the files, Mr. Edwin W. Stadtmuller was originally the sole beneficiary under his sister-in-law's will, but prevailed upon her to change the terms of the will to leave her estate to the
University of Nevada in memory of his brother, her husband.

Frederick and Anna Stadtmuller are assumed to have been the parents of Frederick and Edwin.

Based on the criteria quoted and the information in the files, Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the funds from the Edwin W. Stadtmuller bequest be used to establish a scholarship endowment at UNR.

Dr. Anderson suggested that a thorough study be made of all scholarship monies available at the University and the ways in which they are being used.

Mr. Jacobsen moved that the Chancellor’s recommendation be approved with the further provision that the funds be used to provide scholarships for married students and for re-training students who have been out of school for a period of time. Motion seconded by Dr. White.

President Miller recalled that the Board had already adopted criteria for such scholarships to be funded from the Davis Scholarship funds.

Dr. Anderson expressed opposition to the motion. Mrs.
Knudtsen agreed, stating that she believes there should be
a complete review of the entire program of scholarships and
how they are used before establishing new scholarships.

Motion was defeated with three affirmative and six negative
votes.

Dr. Anderson moved that the Chancellor's recommendation be
approved and that the Administration be instructed to make
a thorough study of scholarship monies and the ways in which
they are being used and that a report from both Campuses be
presented to the Board at a later meeting. Motion seconded
by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent.

25. Auxiliary Enterprises

Chancellor Humphrey reported that the UNR Auxiliary Enter-
prises operations currently indicate a loss for 1969-70 of
$79,000, due primarily to the low occupancy rate of the
Dormitories. The Estimative Budget provided for 90% occu-
pancy; however, the present occupancy based on 1102 spaces
is 78% and the use of the Dining Commons is at 74% of
occupancy.
Chancellor Humphrey further noted that President Miller has stated that effort is being made to reduce costs, so that the total loss may be held near $75,000. He endorsed the President's recommendation that the $75,000 due from UNLV Auxiliary Enterprises in repayment of a loan to that Campus be used to fund this operating loss.

President Zorn concurred in the above, noting that UNLV can accommodate the repayment of this loan as of June 30, 1970, by refunding the loan through the UNLV Capital Improvement Fee Fund. He did request, however, that repayment to the Capital Improvement Fee Fund of this amount be deferred until the Tonopah Hall construction bonds are fully amortized.

Dr. Anderson asked if it was necessary that this $75,000 be repaid to the Capital Improvement Fee Fund. Chancellor Humphrey stated that in his opinion this was a reasonable expenditure from this fund and need not be repaid if the Regents would wish to approve it as an expenditure.

Dr. Anderson moved that the $75,000 due from UNLV to UNR Auxiliary Enterprises be repaid from UNLV's Capital Improvement Fee Fund, without repayment obligation, and that the funds be used by UNR to fund the 1969-70 operating loss of
UNR's Auxiliary Enterprises. Motion seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent.

26. Moving Expenses for New Faculty

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that the Board had authorized an allocation from Board of Regents Special Projects Fund of $5000 to each of the Presidents to be used at his discretion for moving expenses for new faculty in 1969-70 with the stipulation that a limit of $500 be placed on the amount to be given to any one individual.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that an allocation of $2000 be made from the Board of Regents Special Projects Fund to both UNR and UNLV for this purpose for the 1970-71 academic year and $500 to the Community College Division.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

27. Orientation Program for Graduate Teaching Fellows

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that at the April Board meeting it was agreed that a report would be made to this meeting
concerning the estimated cost of a one-week orientation program for Graduate Teaching Fellows (or Assistants) to be held prior to the beginning of the Fall semester. He noted that this report is not complete and requested that it be deferred until the June meeting.

Mr. Hug again expressed concern that too much of the classroom instruction was being handled by Graduate Assistants. He suggested that a determination for budgetary purposes be made of the feasibility of changing the function of Graduate Assistants from one of teaching to one of assisting the Professor in charge of the classroom.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the report on the cost of an orientation program be deferred until June and that the study suggested by Mr. Hug be included with that report.

28. Admissions Policy for Applicants with Criminal Records or Psychiatric Problems

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in September, 1969, the Board adopted a policy statement on admission of applicants with criminal records or psychiatric problems. That state-
ment, developed jointly by Officers of UNR and UNLV was distributed as Faculty Policy Bulletin #69-8.

Mr. Humphrey noted that this policy has subsequently been reviewed by the Faculty Senates of both Universities and it is the recommendation of both Senates, endorsed by both Presidents, that Policy Bulletin #69-8 be rescinded.

In making their recommendation, both Senates reviewed statements entitled "Recommendation Regarding Admission Policy on Prospective Students with Criminal Histories" and "Justifications for Requesting the Rescinding of the Policy Requiring Screening of Students with Psychiatric Problems". (Both statements are filed with permanent minutes.)

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that Faculty Policy Bulletin #69-8 be rescinded and that an appropriately rewritten policy statement conforming to the principles expressed in the statements by the faculty be included in the Board of Regents Handbook.

Concern was expressed by several of the Regents that this appeared to depart considerably from the original purpose of such a statement, with Mrs. Knudtsen pointing out that
it was originally intended as a statement of policy which would set forth procedures designed to deal with admission of students who had been hospitalized for psychiatric treatment. There was also some question concerning the elimination of the question pertaining to prior arrests.

It appeared to be the opinion of at least some of the Regents that this was an appropriate question and should be retained.

Dr. White moved that this matter be tabled and that it be submitted to the Attorney General for legal review. Motion seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent.

29. Nepotism

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in March the Board considered the following proposed rewording of a policy statement on nepotism:

"Unless otherwise provided by State Law there shall be no nepotism constraint upon the employment or advancement of University faculty except that no one may employ, promote or otherwise advance a relative without the explicit consent of a majority of the
members of the Department, College or other appropriate University subdivision involved. The Department or College by-laws shall provide for the exact voting method to be used in conformity with this policy.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that the Board had agreed to defer action to allow time for the Division Officers to refer this proposed statement to their Faculty Senates for review. The UNLV and UNR Senates and President Zorn and Miller have reported their endorsement of the proposed rewording. The DRI recommended that the last sentence of the statement be revised to read: "The by-laws of the Department, College or appropriate sub-division shall provide for the exact voting method to be used in conformity with this policy." No exception was taken to this proposed change.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the policy statement be approved with the revision suggested by the DRI Faculty Senate.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. White, carried with Dr. Anderson, Mr. Jacobsen and Mr. Seeliger opposed, that
the above recommendation be approved.

30. Annexation by City of Reno of Northern Section of UNR Campus

Dr. Anderson reported that for Reno to legally connect certain sections of the UNR Campus to the sewers, it is necessary that the University request annexation. He suggested that the Board take action to authorize the Administration to request that annexation.

Mr. Hug suggested that the matter be referred to the staff for review before action is taken by the Board.

Chancellor Humphrey stated that he had discussed this matter with Dr. Anderson earlier but had not included it on the agenda since review had not been completed and he was not ready to make a recommendation. He requested that it be deferred until June.

There was consensus that this was desirable.

The meeting adjourned at 4:30 P.M.

The regular session of the Board of Regents reconvened at 9:20
A.M., Saturday, May 9, 1970, with Chairman Hug presiding. Members of the Regents, Administration and staff who were present on Friday were again present with the addition of Chief Deputy Attorney General Daniel Walsh.

31. Mr. Hug noted the presence of the Elko Community College Advisory Board and asked that they be introduced.

Dr. Donnelly introduced Mr. Mel Steninger, Chairman; Mrs. Connie Satterthwaite, Mr. Wesley Bowlen, Dr. Hugh Collett, Mr. Mike Marfisi, Mr. Jerry Warren, Mr. Burnell Larson and Mr. Robert Zander.

32. Mr. Hug reported that a copy of a resolution adopted by the Michigan State Legislature had been received commending Dr. Charles Donnelly and expressing the appreciation of the Legislature and the State of Michigan for his many years of service to education.

33. Elko Community College Advisory Board Recommendation

Dr. Donnelly recalled that the following resolution by the Elko Community College Advisory Board had been reported to the Board of Regents in April:
"The Advisory Board requests that the University of Nevada System modify its policy concerning admissions to allow any student graduated from Elko Community College in an Associate of Arts or Associate of Science program to be admitted to the University as a regular student in a baccalaureate level program."

Dr. Donnelly noted that this request was referred to the Presidents of UNR and UNLV for reference to their respective Faculty Senates. Review of this matter has not been completed; however, discussion had been scheduled with the Advisory Board.

Dr. Donnelly said he strongly supported this kind of policy in that it provided a way for a student who has proved himself at the Community College level to proceed into the University. Mr. Steninger agreed, noting that an important role of the Community College is to provide a way for a student to earn his way into the University.

Mr. Bilbray said he believed that any Community College student in Nevada should be allowed to transfer without problem. Dr. Anderson pointed out that there has never
been any argument that appropriate courses should be transferable. At the same time, each student should be fully aware that courses which are not acceptable toward a degree would not be transferable.

President Miller said he did not believe there is any problem with the student who has earned the Associate degree. Dr. Zorn agreed but both noted that this is being considered by the two Faculty Senates and they wished to allow them time to respond.

Mr. Seeliger asked how long it would be before the Community College could expect to have an answer to their request. He said if this does not pose a problem, there should not be a delay and asked for a date. Mr. Hug agreed that a date be set for a response before the end of the academic year.

Dr. Peltier stated that if necessary he would ask for a special meeting of the UNR Faculty Senate to review this request so that the action of the Senate could be available prior to the next meeting of the Board of Regents. President Miller agreed with this approach.

Mr. Jacobsen agreed that this is a most important item and
it should be handled quickly. He said he believed that the
segment of the student population which the Community Col-
lege is designated to serve has been neglected too long in
the State of Nevada.

Mrs. Knudtsen suggested that this be placed on the June
agenda of the Board of Regents even if it requires a special
meeting of the Faculty Senates.

Mr. Marfisi asked if it would be appropriate for the Board
to approve the policy subject to approval by the Faculty
Senates. Mr. Hug suggested it probably would not be the
best solution. Chancellor Humphrey agreed, suggesting that
the Faculty Senates be allowed to process the request and
further consideration by the Board be scheduled for the
June meeting.

34. Approval of Purchase in Excess of $5000

Dr. Donnelly reported that the Elko Community College has
requested approval of the purchase of video tapes and films
to be used in connection with the Industrial Electronics
project at the College. He noted that Scientific Engineer-
ing Corporation has agreed to process the tapes and films
and the purchase, in the amount of $5112, will be funded through the State Department of Education.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

Upon motion by Mrs. Knudtsen, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, the following item was added to the agenda:

35. Dr. Donnelly recalled that the Community College Division had been approached earlier about supervising a Skill Training Center in Las Vegas. He asked Mr. Larson to report on the present status of that program.

Mr. Larson stated that it is anticipated that $450,000 will be available for the operation of a skill training center in Las Vegas from funds available through the Manpower Training Development Act. This program should be started in September which makes the problem of a facility paramount. Mr. Larson stated that they have a facility in mind and have negotiated a lease on a building on the strength of a hoped-for continuing letter of credit. He pointed out that the
State Department of Education does not operate these programs but rather provides funds for them to be operated through a University, School District or Community College. He stated that the Clark County School District cannot accommodate the MTDA program.

Mr. Jacobsen asked if this was a proper program for a Community College. Mr. Larson said he believed it was logical and was within the scope of the Community College System. Dr. Donnelly agreed and noted that the trend in most areas is for these kinds of programs to be run by Community Colleges as a community service.

Mr. Larson also noted that there are vocational-technical funds available which can be funnelled into the Community College. He suggested that it would be logical that the Community College would take over all post high school education throughout the State of Nevada.

Chancellor Humphrey suggested that this be scheduled for further discussion at the June meeting at which time Dr. Donnelly could have more information available and possibly be in a position to make a recommendation.
Upon motion by Mrs. Knudtsen, seconded by Dr. Anderson, the following was added to the agenda:

36. Mr. Hug stated that he had received a number of telegrams which he wished to acknowledge although he would not read them aloud (telegrams were passed to the members of the Board). Mr. Hug noted that the telegrams expressed concern over the recent activities on the Campuses. He asked for a report from the two Presidents concerning activities on their respective Campuses during the previous week.

President Zorn reported that early in the week the Nevada Coalition for Peace had indicated they would demonstrate on Thursday, May 7. Their protest was against the war in Cambodia and particularly against the armed confrontation at Kent State. The Coalition consulted with members of the Administration and with faculty in refining their plans for the demonstration.

Dr. Zorn said he wished to differentiate between what happened at UNLV and the references to disruptions. He stated that the demonstration was orderly and although it was intended to shut the Campus down, that did not happen. The Campus remained open and the demonstrators were advised that
any attempts to close the school would not be tolerated and
any pre-emption of the rights of others would also not be
tolerated.

Dr. Zorn reported that nearly all classes met, although the
Faculty Senate had unanimously passed a motion, which he
had endorsed, that no sanctions would be taken against
students who missed class. He stated that there were some
tense times on Thursday, however, careful attention was
given to the entire Campus and communications were maint-
tained to the end result that the situation did not deteri-
orate. He pointed out that he did not mean to imply that
everything was perfect. There was considerable maturity
and responsibility exercised and students should be com-
mended in that they took their roles seriously. There were
problems with outsiders, he said, but the University commu-
nity met the situation well.

President Miller reported that since the events on the Reno
Campus had received considerable publicity, he would touch
only on the sequence. On Tuesday morning, the pre-deter-
mined date for Governor's Day, classes were dismissed for
the event. A group of approximately 300 assembled in
Manzanita Bowl and about 11:00 A.M., following the recep-
tion for the Governor in Travis Lounge, this group participated in halting some of the vehicles carrying the Governor and guests to the Stadium. The students then proceeded to the Stadium where they marched around the area twice before proceeding into the stands. This activity had been prearranged and authorized but was to have stopped there. There were no physical incidents at the Stadium, but the demonstrators were rude and noisy and sometimes crude during the ceremonies.

On Wednesday, there was a meeting scheduled through ASUN which was attended by 500-600 students and faculty -- a "rap session".

The meeting went well until 2:00 A.M. During this time, at 1:55 A.M., three fire bombs were thrown into Hartman Hall. The damage was not major. However, the damage to the University and what it stands for is quite severe. An investigation is underway.

Dr. Miller stated that he had been in contact with the Campus by telephone since arriving in Elko. A meeting scheduled for Thursday evening went peacefully. The noon ceremony scheduled for Friday also went quietly and in a
dignified way. Some pickets were present but there was no
disruption. The potential for a serious situation existed
but was headed off by the responsible actions of students
and faculty.

Mr. Bilbray asked if any consideration had been given to
postponing Governor's Day. President Miller said there had
been requests to postpone the event, but he did not do so
because they believed that activities should not be coerced
out of existence. Chancellor Humphrey expressed full con-
currence with that position. Dr. Anderson stated that he
supported this position very strongly.

Mr. Jacobsen asked if the demonstration at the Stadium had
been approved. President Miller reported that it had been
scheduled properly but had not proceeded as planned.

President Miller said he hoped the Regents understood that
the group which caused the problems at no time exceeded 300.
These were students and faculty but also non-students were
present. He stated that this was a very small percentage
from a total regular student enrollment of 6500 students.
He said he would not wish to see the responsible actions
of the majority of the students dismissed.
Mr. Seeliger said he agreed that there are just a few who are causing the problems. However, he said, this minority is giving the University a bad name. He said he believed there should be a definite policy concerning the destruction of property and the disruption of University activities. People of the State will not continue to support the University if this kind of action is permitted to continue. He said that members will take the action required; however, he hoped the Administration would set this up as part of their duties.

Mr. Hug said he was particularly concerned about the activities of some faculty members, noting that two faculty members were especially prominent on Governor's Day and conducted themselves in a juvenile and unprofessional manner. He said he believed that action should be taken with regard to them. Mr. Hug read a section of the University Code which relates to academic freedom:

"The faculty member is a citizen, a member of a learned profession, and a representative of this University. When he speaks or writes as a citizen, he will be free from University censorship or discipline, but his spe-
cial position in the community imposes special obligations. As a man of learning and as an educator, he knows that the public may judge his profession and this University by his utterances. At all times he strives to be accurate, to exercise appropriate restraint, to show respect for the opinion of others, and to make every effort to indicate that he is not a spokesman for the University."

Mr. Hug pointed out that he observed one Professor who actually took part in stopping the motorcade. He said this Professor encouraged the students to stop the cars and this action had two very serious effects. It was a direct violation of the section of the University Code referred to above and had endangered the lives of students.

This same Professor, he said, had, at Governor’s Day, after the President had asked the demonstrators to halt their activities, led the students in raucous and rude catcalls and had encouraged them to disrupt the ceremonies. Mr. Hug said he believed this called for two actions: (1) investigation by the faculty itself (he noted that the faculty is a professional group and has its own method of investigating unethical conduct); and (2) further action should be
taken by the President to initiate a hearing on the matter.

If this Professor's action cannot be properly and appropri-
priately explained, the man should be terminated from the
University. Mr. Hug noted that the University Code should
be followed and that due process should be provided.

Mr. Hug referred to a second violation of the following
section of the University Code:

"The faculty member is entitled to freedom in the class-
room in discussing his subject. He is, however, respon-
sible for the maintenance of appropriate standards of
scholarship, instruction and good taste. It is not the
function of the faculty member to indoctrinate his stu-
dents with ready-made conclusions on controversial sub-
jects. He is expected to teach students to think for
themselves, and to provide them access to those materi-
als that they need if they are to think intelligently.

Hence, in giving instruction upon controversial matters
the faculty member is expected to be of a fair and ju-
dicial mind, and to set forth justly, without suppres-
sion or innuendo, the differing opinions of other in-
vestigators. No faculty member may claim as his right
the privilege of discussing in his classroom topics
unrelated to his course of instruction. The faculty member is ethically and professionally bound not to take advantage of his position by introducing into the classroom discussions irrelevant to his course."

Mr. Hug stated that another faculty member who was disruptive at Governor's Day is alleged to have spent the whole next day discussing the occurrences of the previous day, the Governor, the President and the Administration in very vulgar terms, in his English classes. That Professor, he said, should be called to account by the faculty and by the President and should also be terminated from the University if he cannot appropriately explain his conduct. Mr. Hug noted that disruption of a regular scheduled University activity by faculty or students was clearly contrary to University policy.

Dr. Anderson read the following statement:

What are the purposes of and justification for support of Universities and Colleges? Are they just for persons to attend for one to several years to acquire an education or a degree that will enable the student to get a better or higher paying job? Are they to prepare the
student for a fuller and better social life fulfillment?

Who supports a University or College? To whom does it belong? Why is it maintained at such great expense to the taxpayer; such a great expense borne by our whole productive Society?

Should the student or the faculty own and run the University at all exclusively? Should either have the full and final say in how the University is operated? The student spends his one to several years there and is then absorbed into Society and the students who preceded him or the students who follow him may have entirely different ideas on what the policies and functions of the University should be and how it should be operated. How, then, could there be any real continuity and policy in purposes and objectives when changed every year or two by changing classes of students possessed as yet of little maturity in either business or education?

Certainly the students and faculty should both be listened to carefully and their concerns and thoughts for change complied with whenever desirable and feasible.
But the fallacy of allowing either of them practically complete authority has been amply demonstrated in the poor quality of education and the administrative chaos achieved in some South American and European Universities that have gone this route. Let us remember that the University is supported by all of productive society and thus is held in trust for Society by all persons concerned with its operations and support.

Our Board of Regents and our Administrators have believed for many years that the students' voices should be heard on many levels, particularly the recognized student groups and the responsible students who do constitute a vast majority of the total student population, by the Administrators, on Departmental and other appropriate committees, and before the Board of Regents. This was particularly emphasized at a Board of Regents meeting nearly two years ago, considerably before the couple of months ago that Professor Charles Ross, Chairman of the Art Department, presented student participation on Department Committees to the Reno Newspapers as his special innovation.

During the nearly 14 years I have served on the Board
of Regents, and despite my strong belief and efforts in listening to and trying to help work out problems at both student and faculty levels, I have come more and more to the realization that the University is not just a helpful and convenient personal property and instrument of either the student or the faculty.

It is owned and supported by Society, hopefully for the production of a better educated and better adjusted group of young people who will very soon be somewhat older and somewhat more financially responsible, and who will then constitute Society as "the establishment" or whatever they then choose to call it; and who also then probably will not wish their Universities, their political and social institutions and the conduct of their affairs generally to be dictated by violent demonstrations or bombings or arsonist acts of the following generations of students.

I believe the Regents and the Administration and the Public generally want to listen to non-violent stated opinions and even demands of students and faculty and to change or comply when reasonable and feasible. They want to involve students and faculty in the de-
cision making processes. The Regents have gone along
with all that is reasonable, but I do not believe they
will go along with what is unreasonable or what is
violent.

But when anonymous phone calls were made to several
witnesses who were to testify at a recent student ju-
dicial disciplinary hearing threatening to "kill them"
or "shoot them in the back", to threaten harm to mem-
bers of their families if they did testify or other-
wise not comply with demands, that is going too far.
The fact that these and other threats did occur I have
verified, and the fact that they haven't yet happened
does not obviate their potential seriousness.

We have had violence by beatings and threats of worse
and we have had uncontrolled demonstrations, and we
have had fire bombs.

Several of our faculty members helped to lead the re-
cent demonstration on the Reno Campus and at least one
of these led the demonstrators in a raised closed fist
zig heil and other chants during the Governor's Day
ceremonies, a unique and bizarre type of patriotism,
and later encouraged and helped demonstrators to forcibly block passage of official cars. I am told by listeners that still another faculty member at a special student meeting Wednesday evening at the Student Union building stated, "You should do your thing and if your thing is burn, then burn". Let us be thankful that only a few others have acted with similar irresponsibility.

The Regents and the University have recently been accused of racism through a series of Alice in Wonderland letters and news releases and news interviews by an attorney, Mr. Charles Springer, in attempts to distort facts, and misinform the public with untruths, half truths and innuendo. He has deliberately attempted to introduce racism as an issue in a student disciplinary action where the University and their attorney and the Student Judicial Council were scrupulously avoiding racism. Springer has attempted to ridicule the University because it was represented by Mr. Dan Walsh, Deputy Attorney General. Even Mr. Springer's short political appointment as Attorney General several years ago should have taught him that legal counsel is regularly provided to the University by the Attorney
General and his staff, and that Mr. Walsh sits in on practically every Board of Regents meeting as Legal Advisor.

I have noted a resolution recently passed by the Executive Committee of the University of Nevada, Reno, Alumni Association, and I would like to have that resolution read here and made, along with these comments, a part of the minutes of this meeting.

I wish also to comment on demands made at a recent meeting of an irregular student and faculty group calling itself the United Student Alliance Association that called for firing of Dr. Robert Mc Queen. This demand was not answered and was later repeated to at least one Administrative Officer. Professor Mc Queen has for many years been one of our finest and most dedicated faculty members and has worked beyond the line of duty and remuneration on the students' behalf as Chairman of the Committee on Scholarships and Prizes.

In submitting these comments, I move that the Board of Regents express a vote of confidence and support to both Mr. Dan Walsh and Professor Robert Mc Queen for the ex-
RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, Mr. Daniel R. Walsh, Chief Deputy Attorney General, is the Legal Advisor for the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Walsh was requested by the Board of Regents to conduct a legal study of the proposed "Student Bill of Rights" which had been submitted to the Board for adoption as a rule applicable to student-institutional relations, and

WHEREAS, the legal study was limited to describing the numerous legal problems that could arise through the application of the "Student Bill of Rights" if adopted as submitted to the Board, and

WHEREAS, such legal study was prepared by Mr. Walsh and presented by him to the Student Affairs Committee of the Board of Regents, and

WHEREAS, almost every recommendation and/or suggestion
advanced by Mr. Walsh was accepted by the Committee on Student Affairs of the Board of Regents and ultimately was accepted by the entire Board of Regents, and

WHEREAS, the legal study reflected not only exhaustive legal research but meritorious efforts including well-reasoned analysis and sound conclusions,

BE IT RESOLVED that the Executive Committee of the University of Nevada, Reno, Alumni Association, does hereby express its deepest gratitude for such outstanding legal endeavors of Mr. Walsh.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that Mr. Daniel R. Walsh be additionally commended for the exceedingly creditable manner in which this able lawyer conducted the prosecution of the case involving student Jesse Sattwhite since proceeding pertaining thereto involved extremely difficult circumstances wherein Mr. Walsh exerted an enormous amount of energy, zeal and obvious legal competence.

ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY at a regular meeting on April 21, 1970.
Dr. Lombardi said he agreed with the statement and said he believed this expressed the feelings of the entire Board.

Dr. Anderson moved that the Board of Regents formally express a vote of confidence to Mr. Dan Walsh. Motion seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent.

Dr. Anderson moved that the Board of Regents formally express a vote of confidence to Robert Mc Queen. Motion seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried with Mr. Bilbray abstaining, noting that he did not know Dr. Mc Queen and did not, therefore, have an opinion.

Mr. Seeliger moved that an expression of concern be sent to Governor Laxalt for the embarrassment caused to him on Governor's Day and that a copy of the foregoing discussion be
included. Motion seconded by Mr. Bilbray and carried without dissent.

Mr. Hug said he would like to have a formal motion to approve that action which he requested concerning the two faculty members.

Mr. Jacobsen moved that the two faculty members referred to by Mr. Hug be investigated by the faculty from the standpoint of professional ethics and that the Administration commence proceeding to determine whether action should be taken by the University.

Mr. Hug noted that the University Code contains a procedure for termination of personnel (Chapter IV, Section 1). He further noted that the faculty themselves should take some action from the standpoint of professional ethics.

Mr. Bilbray suggested that this matter be delayed a month to permit everyone to cool down.

Dr. Anderson suggested this matter would be more appropriately discussed in a personnel session. Dr. Peltier agreed that names of individuals be confined to personnel
Mr. Jacobsen said he wished to have the names of the two faculty members included in this motion. Mr. Hug agreed stating that these two faculty members had made themselves and their actions highly visible to the general public.

Mr. Jacobsen expanded his motion to direct an investigation into the activities during the past week of Professor Paul Adamian and Mr. Fred Maher, and any other faculty who may be found to have been involved in violations of the University Code, by the faculty from the standpoint of professional ethics and by the Administration. Motion seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent.

Dr. Lombardi moved that the Administration be directed to make a similar investigation of student and outside participants in any form of violence or disruption and appropriate action be taken. Motion seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent.

Mr. Seeliger asked for a statement from Dr. Peltier about the timing he would anticipate for an investigation by the faculty.
Dr. Peltier stated that the activities of the past week have been very disturbing to most of the faculty. As a group they do not sanction activities of these few. He noted that it injures the reputation of the faculty and the University. Dr. Peltier stated that there are administrative and faculty procedures for investigation of these violations and they will proceed with the greatest dispatch.

Mr. Jacobsen read a statement to the Board which he requested be considered as a policy statement.

We, the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada System, wish to commend those students, and faculty, and Administrative Officers, who helped to keep the demonstrations this past week on both the Reno and Las Vegas Campus under control.

We deplore the action of the small minority that were seeking to disrupt the Governor's Day ceremonies.

We hereby give notice that we will not tolerate mili­tant action on University property. Any faculty mem­bers involved in disruption of University activities
and classes, or who are teaching anti-American ideas and are tearing down our form of Government, will be immediately dismissed. Students involved in militant, disruptive action will be suspended.

This action in no way shall be construed as limiting the right to freedom of speech and to demonstrate. On the contrary, we recognize these rights and have demonstrated our feelings through our Administrations. We point to the many changes that have occurred in the past few years, including students and faculty participation on all levels of Campus government, abolishment of required R. O. T. C., and countless joint meetings of faculty, students, Administrators and Regents.

It is our sincere hope that a lesson had been learned these past trying days. We call upon all factions, Administration, faculty and students, to work together to bring about change and to strengthen the operation of our Nevada System of Higher Education.

We ask them to recognize the privilege and responsibility involved in obtaining the needed education, that is preparing our young people for the complicated world
Mrs. Knudtsen questioned the term "immediately dismissed".

Mr. Bilbray said he believed the Board was overreacting.

Mr. Hug suggested that the Board not depart from the University Code.

Mr. Ronzone said he believed that the people who acted reasonably should be recognized.

Mr. Bilbray moved that the Board of Regents commend President Miller and his administrative staff for their conduct and their considerable efforts in coping with this problem. He further moved that President Zorn and his administrative staff be similarly commended.

Motion seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent.

Mr. Jacobsen moved that the students and faculty who helped to keep the demonstrations on both Campuses under control during the past week be commended by the Board of Regents.

Motion seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent.

Dr. Boord stated that he believed it important not to lose
sight of the comparison between Nevada and what is happening in other States. He said he would not wish the Board of the University community to become too negative in respect to the past week’s activities.

Dr. Anderson moved that any further discussion be continued in Executive Session. Motion seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent.

37. Report of the Committee of the Whole

Mr. Hug requested that the Board approve the actions taken on the previous day by the Committee of the Whole. Actions were as follows:

(1) Approval of the initiation of the Bachelor of Arts Program in Social Science at UNLV in the Fall of 1970.

(2) Approval of the following programs for inclusion in the 1971-73 budget request:

   Bachelor of Science in Nursing, UNLV

   Doctor of Education, UNR

   Education Specialist, UNLV
Doctor of Education, UNLV

Master of Science in Computer Science, UNR

Master of Arts in Speech and Theatre Arts, UNLV

Certificate Program in Glassblowing, UNR

Bachelor of Science in Architecture, UNLV

Master of Science in Nursing, UNR

Master of Arts in Psychology, UNLV

Master of Arts and Master of Science in Speech, UNR

Doctor of Business Administration, UNLV

Ph. D. in Business Administration, UNR

Master of Arts in Anthropology/Sociology, UNLV

Bachelor of Science in Law Enforcement, UNR

Bachelor of Arts in Communication Arts, UNR

(3) Approval of the following calendar for review of new instructional programs for inclusion in the 1973-75 budget:

October, 1970 to April, 1971 - Presentation of new Phase I or refiling of Phase I presentation previously made. Presentations will be made at the convenience of the institutions.

June, 1971 - Review of all Phase I presentations and
decisions made concerning proceeding to Phase II.

July to December 1, 1971 - Phase II presentations at convenience of institutions.

February, 1972 - Tentative decision made concerning inclusion of program in 1973-75 budget, subject to reconsideration when 1973-75 budget presented to the Board.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the action of the Committee of the Whole be confirmed.

Meeting adjourned at 11:00 A.M.

Mrs. Bonnie M. Smotony

Secretary
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