Public comment submitted through NSHE Online form

Public Comment for Board of Regents Special Meeting, June 30, 2022

Email: terresa.mettler@csn.edu
Name: Terresa Mettler
Address: 
Phone Number: 
Representing someone other than yourself?: 
Meeting: Board of Regents Special Meeting
Meeting Date: June 30, 2022
Agenda Item: Item 6: Favor full repeal and oppose the resolution
In Favor / Opposed / Other: In Opposition
Comment:

Item 6: I'm in favor of fully repealing item 6 of the agenda. I find the submitted resolution to be flawed on many levels but to be specific, I find the language contradictory throughout the document. In one area the word "guideline" will be used but items later in the document use the word "mandate". Also, there's a multitude of entities being given jurisdiction over students, faculty and staff but it's not made clear who has the ultimate authority and that brings into question why people aren't allowed to have the final say in their own care and body. Anyone paying attention would see that these resolutions could continue to be used to limit the freedom of choice to all, hence, my opposition.
Also, the term vaccine is not accurate per the pre-pandemic definition which was conveniently changed during the crisis. I also question the omitted items from discussion which would be item 1 and items 3-15 of the original emergency decree.

Agreed that all the information above is true and accurate: Yes

--
This e-mail was sent from a contact form on Nevada System of Higher Education
Public comment submitted through NSHE Online form

Public Comment for Board of Regents Special Meeting, June 30, 2022

Email: jcarpineta@msn.com

Name: Jon Carpineta

Address:

Phone Number:

Representing someone other than yourself?:

Meeting: Board of Regents Special Meeting

Meeting Date: June 30, 2022

Agenda Item: Agenda Item 6- Full Repeal of Title 2 Chapter 12

In Favor / Opposed / Other: In Favor

Comment:

CDC's definition of vaccine prior to September 01, 2021: A product that stimulates a person's immune system to produce immunity to a specific disease, protecting that person from that disease. When it becomes apparent that these injections overpromised and underdelivered the CDC changes the definition to: "A preparation that is used to stimulate the body's immune response against diseases." The CDC's definition of immunity didn't change: "Protection from an infectious disease. If you are immune to a disease you can be exposed to it without becoming infected." The Board of Health voted on an Emergency Regulation Amendment of NAC441A.755 relating to students providing proof of immunity to certain communicable diseases, including COVID-19. By CDC definition, that was already unapplicable since "vaccinated" individuals could still get and transmit COVID-19. Contrast and compare NAC441A.140 what "proof of immunity" included for MMR, Hepatitis B, Tetanus, Diphtheria. Dec 21, 2021 the Interim Legislative Commission had a 6-6 tie vote and item R069-21 failed to pass, effectively eliminating the legal basis for student vaccines to be a requirement for registration at NSHE institutions. That vote also eliminated the Governor's vax or test for State Employees. All of this was established before the BOR vote on Dec 30, 2021 that ended up as a 6-6 tie. Regent Tarkanian was not present, but would have voted "no"
based on her previous votes. If this was an emergency amendment, why was a majority vote not needed? Where is it stated in the NSHE or BOR handbook that a tie vote can make it permanent. The Governor, the Board of Health get overruled and on Jan 13, 2022 the Supreme Court rules against nationwide vaccine and testing for large businesses and against OSHA's Emergency Temporary Standard regarding vaccinate or test. Does NSHE claim to have more legal authority than the Governor, the Board of Health, and OSHA? Remove this atrocity that is Title 2 Chapter 12. Thank you.

Agreed that all the information above is true and accurate: Yes

--

This e-mail was sent from a contact form on Nevada System of Higher Education (https://nshe.nevada.edu)
Public comment submitted through NSHE Online form

Public Comment for Board of Regents Special Meeting, June 30, 2022

Email: glynlaw@cox.net
Name: Glynda White
Address:
Phone Number:
Representing someone other than yourself?:
Meeting: Board of Regents Special Meeting
Meeting Date: June 30, 2022
Agenda Item: Appointment, Chief of Staff and Special Counsel to BOR
In Favor / Opposed / Other: In Opposition
Comment:

Appointing Richard Hinckley would be costly to the Board of Regents. At CSN, his adversarial style in dealing with faculty led to time consuming delay in policy development and completing the first collective bargaining agreement, administrator's time, and therefore NSHE resources were dedicated to fixing problems caused by Mr. Hinckley. That money would have been better spent actually running CSN.

Agreed that all the information above is true and accurate: Yes

--
This e-mail was sent from a contact form on Nevada System of Higher Education (https://nshe.nevada.edu)
Public comment submitted through NSHE Online form

Public Comment for Board of Regents Special Meeting, June 30, 2022

Email: Angelanevada1@gmail.com
Name: Angela Ash
Address: 
Phone Number: 
Representing someone other than yourself?:
Meeting: Board of Regents Special Meeting
Meeting Date: June 30, 2022
Agenda Item: Agenda item 6. CODE revision COVID-19 MANDATE
In Favor / Opposed / Other: In Opposition
Comment:

Please eliminate the mandate today. I oppose NSHE mandating health care decisions to current and prospective employees. The vaccine mandate should be eliminated immediately. The products have publicly failed to prove effective, at best hooovering in the 20% efficacy range. Such a policy is discriminatory. Vaccinated individuals transmit the virus at the same rate as unvaccinated individuals. This is common knowledge, evidenced by science. It is irrational and discriminatory for NSHE to perpetuate this failed theory of immunity and dictating critical personal health decisions to employees. The mandate is stagnating and diminishing our staff recruitment pools. NSHE has no way of measuring how many applicants the mandate deters from applying, but it is evidenced in our inability to secure new staff. I have watched our staff recruitments drop over all areas by over 60% in applicants. Our division must regularly repost two and three times over many weeks and months to try and get at least 5-10 candidates who may or may not even qualify. UNLV has money to burn but very few individuals are interested in applying under the mandate terms. NSHE is creating unnecessary problems requiring a failed vaccine and dictating health care decisions where it has no business doing so. Eliminate the mandate today!
Agreed that all the information above is true and accurate: Yes

--
This e-mail was sent from a contact form on Nevada System of Higher Education
(https://nshe.nevada.edu)