

# UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes November 20-21, 1970

11-20-1970

Pages 59-92

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

November 20-21, 1970

The Board of Regents met on the above dates in the Donald C.

Moyer Campus Student Union, University of Nevada, Las Vegas.

Members present: Mr. Thomas G. Bell

Mr. James H. Bilbray

Mr. Procter Hug, Jr.

Mr. Harold Jacobsen

Mrs. Molly Knudtsen

Louis Lombardi, M. D.

Mr. R. J. Ronzone

Mr. Albert Seeliger

Members absent: Fred M. Anderson, M. D.

Mr. Archie C. Grant

Dr. Juanita White

Others present: Chancellor Neil D. Humphrey

President N. Edd Miller (UNR)

President R. J. Zorn (UNLV)

Director Charles R. Donnelly (CCD)

Director John M. Ward (DRI)

Vice President Donald H. Baepler (UNLV)

Acting Vice President James T. Anderson (UNR)

Mr. Herman Westfall, Business Manager (UNLV)

Mr. Edward Olsen, Director of Information (UNR)

Mr. Mark Hughes, Director of Information (UNLV)

Dr. Edmund Barmettler, Faculty Senate Chairman  
(UNR)

Dr. Don Fowler, Faculty Senate Chairman (DRI)

Dr. John Vergiels, Faculty Senate Chairman  
(UNLV)

Miss Frankie Sue Del Papa, ASUN President

Mr. Richard Myers, CSUN President

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Hug at 10:40 A.M.

1. Approval of Minutes

Upon motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, the minutes of the regular meeting of October 9-10, 1970, were approved as distributed.

## 2. Supplemental Agenda

Upon motion by Mr. Bell, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, 5 additional items were added to the agenda.

## 3. Report of Gifts and Grants

President Zorn submitted a report covering gifts and grants received by UNLV during fiscal year 1969-70, totaling \$1,493,892 (report filed with permanent minutes).

President Miller submitted a similar report for UNR totaling \$2,301,880 (report filed with permanent minutes).

Gifts and grants were recommended for acceptance as indicated in Ref. C, filed with permanent minutes.

Motion by Mr. Bell, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the gifts and grants be accepted as presented.

#### 4. Report of Personnel Actions

The following appointments were recommended by the appropriate officers:

UNLV

COLLEGE OF HUMANITIES

Georgianna H. Perazzo, Graduate Assistant, 9/1/70-6/30/71 -

\$1875 (full time Fall semester, 1/2 time Spring semester)

James R. Earl, Graduate Assistant, 9/1/70-6/30/71 - \$3000

STUDENT UNION

Mary Louise Shadley, Graduate Assistant, 10/1/70-6/30/71 -

\$2250 (base for academic year \$2500)

UNR

COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE

Jerome C. Johnson, Lecturer, Regional Training Officer for  
Headstart, 9/1/70-6/30/71 - \$12,300 annual rate (new,  
temporary position)

#### COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

David L. Aalbers, Graduate Teaching Fellow in Chemistry,  
1/8 time, Fall semester 1970 - \$337.50 (temporary position)

Steven James Fox, Graduate Teaching Fellow in Anthropology,  
10/1/70-1/31/71 - \$1000 (temporary position)

Lucinda Lee Guemsey, Graduate Teaching Fellow in Mathe-  
matics, 11/1/70-1/31/71 - \$750 (replacement for Anthony  
Pontonero)

Stephen E. Loveless, Graduate Teaching Fellow in Psychology,  
9/16/70-6/30/71 - \$1187.50 (replacement for Joan Lahren)

William M. Ransom, Graduate Teaching Fellow in English,  
Fall semester 1970 - \$1250 (temporary position)

Peter R. Reams, Graduate Teaching Fellow in Political Sci-  
ence, 1/4 time, 10/1/70-1/31/71 - \$500 (replacement for

William Stephenson)

Bill Ticky Toth, Graduate Teaching Fellow in English, 1/4

time, Fall semester 1970 - \$675 (temporary position)

#### COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Arthie E. Blake, Graduate Research Fellow in B. B. & E. R.,

10/1/70-6/30/71 - \$1125 (replacement for Daniel Culbert)

#### MACKAY SCHOOL OF MINES

Daniel E. Collins, Graduate Research Fellow in Nevada

Bureau of Mines, academic year 1970-71 - \$2750 (temporary

position)

#### SCHOOL OF MEDICAL SCIENCES

Kamal S. Yackzan, Visiting Lecturer in Anatomy, 9/1/70-

1/31/71 - \$6900 (temporary appointment)

#### PHYSICAL PLANT

Berger B. Nelson, Electrical Engineer, 1/18-6/30/71 -

\$14,100 annual rate (vacant position)

Motion by Mr. Bell, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that the above personnel actions be approved as recommended.

5. Petition on Behalf of Dr. Paul Adamian

Mr. Brady Keresey, UNR student, presented a petition, which he stated contained 1100 signatures on behalf of Dr. Paul S. Adamian. The petition read as follows:

The good and usefulness of the University has been placed in danger. If one member of this community can be placed in jeopardy for the actions of many, then this University is defeating its purpose.

Unwarranted and reactionary pressure has caused turmoil throughout the University. We, the undersigned, wish to express our deep concern and pledge our full support to Dr. Paul Adamian. We urge you to stand up for our University and reinstate Dr. Adamian with full rights and privileges due a University professor.

Mr. Hug received the petition on behalf of the Board and noted since this was a personnel matter, discussion would be confined to the Executive Session.

#### 6. Kenneth M. de Vos Scholarships

President Zorn reported the receipt of \$140,000 from the Kenneth de Vos Charitable Remainder Trust "for the purpose of establishing the Kenneth M. de Vos Scholarship Fund with the income to be used to provide scholarships for worthy students to be selected by the Scholarship Committee" of UNLV. Dr. Zorn also reported that the de Vos Trust Agreement provided that after the distribution of specific requests, UNLV will receive 9/16 residue of a related trust; the Mary Alice de Vos Trust. The trust document specifies that: "It is the desire of the Grantors that the distributions made from the trust to educational institutions be used to provide scholarships to colored students having dark skins (negroid or others) who meet the standards for entrance into the respective institutions. This, however, is to be regarded as merely an expression of desire and shall not act as a limitation upon said gifts."

Dr. Zorn noted that the exact value of this second trust



grant has not been determined, but it appears it will be in excess of \$1 million. He requested that the University, in accepting the gift, acknowledge the part played by Mrs. Mildred P. Ulmer, who had suggested the gift to the donors and has been credited by them as influencing their decision.

President Zorn recommended that the Board accept the \$140,000 as an endowment fund for the purpose specified by the trust instrument to be administered in accordance with the following guidelines as approved by the trustees:

The de Vos Charitable Remainder Trust has provided an endowment of \$140,000 which generates income for scholarships to enable College opportunities for disadvantaged ethnic minorities. Ordinarily, 6 to 8 scholarships, each providing a stipend of \$1000 are available each year. 2 or more grants are made to Freshmen, and renewals are possible for a maximum of 9 semesters. Special consideration is given to Afro-American students having an aptitude for College success.

Applications will be received and ranked by the Financial Aids Officer, with final award made by the

University Scholarship Committee. Motivation for academic success and financial need shall be the prime qualifications for initial selection; renewals will be made on a semester-to-semester basis, provided academic achievement meets minimum University standards.

Chancellor Humphrey endorsed the above recommendation and further recommended that Dr. Zorn be requested to develop a proposal for the employment of the additional monies to be received to be presented to the Board not later than the time of distribution of the residual of the estate.

Motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

#### 7. National Defense Student Loan Program

President Zorn requested \$12,175 to meet the matching requirements of the National Defense Student Loan Program.

He noted that no UNLV student loan monies are available for this purpose.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that \$25,000 had been provided by the Legislature to the System for this purpose for the

1969-71 biennium; however, this appropriation had been totally expended during the 1969-70 fiscal year. He recommended the following monies under the control of the Board of Regents be made available to UNLV for the required 1/9 matching funds:

Miscellaneous Endowment Income	\$ 386.35
Alice Dimmitt Endowment Income	5,453.06
Lowell Daniels Endowment Income	387.05
Hayden Ellicott Endowment Income	2,086.52
Anine B. Christensen Endowment Income	2,359.51
Board of Regents Special Projects	1,502.51

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

#### 8. American International Academy

President Zorn endorsed a recommendation by Vice President Baepler and the Academic Council, as follows:

The Academic Council recommends the affiliation of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, with the American International Academy. Through the American Interna-

tional Academy our University will, at no financial risk, be able to take advantage of overseas education for our students.

Basically, our students will be eligible to take courses already in existence offered by the American International Academy and, additionally, we would be able to list selected courses which would be promoted by the American International Academy but which would be taught by our own faculty members during the Summer in various parts of the world.

Affiliation with this Academy, in essence, gives them permission to use our University as an accreditation source for their course offerings. It is my understanding that a good many Colleges and Universities around the country will be affiliating with this organization.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

## 9. College of Education Building, UNLV

President Zorn reported that the bids for this project were opened October 20, 1970 and the low bid of J. A. Tiberti was as follows:

Base Bid	\$2,967,000
----------	-------------

### Deductive Alternates:

- |                                   |          |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| A. Air distribution system change | 38,000-  |
| B. Leave 3rd floor unfinished     | 107,000- |

### Additive Alternates:

- |  |          |
|--|----------|
| C. Separate lecture building             | 144,000+ |
| C.1 Separate mental retardation building | 122,000+ |
| D. Light and plumbing fixture change     | 6,000+   |
| E. Cabinets, rooms 2-69                  | 33,000+  |
| F. Audio test booth                      | 34,000+  |
| G. Projection screen                     | 4,000+   |
| H. Paving tile deck                      | 14,000+  |
| I. Directories                           | 4,500+   |
| J. Two pools and fountains               | No bid   |
| K. Hardware and door change              | 10,000+  |
| L. Art work                              | 27,000+  |
| M. Landscaping                           | 45,000+  |
| N. 6" concrete walks                     | 8,000+   |

President Zorn also reviewed the summary of the project budget as approved by the Board:

Construction	\$2,714,000
Contingency	70,800
Furnishings	200,000
Sub Total	\$2,984,800
Miscellaneous Fixed Costs	208,200
Total	\$3,193,000

(Detail of project budget and scope was included with agenda as is filed with permanent minutes, identified as Ref. G, Pages 3 and 4.)

President Zorn reviewed the various alternatives which the University has considered in attempting to reach a resolution which would permit the construction to proceed. He noted that Mr. William Hancock, Manager of the State Planning Board, had proposed several alternatives which had been discussed with the Chancellor and had been considered by the College of Education Building Committee. (Hancock alternatives contained in letter dated November 4, 1970 and filed with permanent minutes identified as Ref. G,

Pages 5, 6 and 7.)

President Zorn recommended the following course of action:

Base Bid	\$2,967,000
Less: Negotiated reduction in base bid	
items	73,000-
Deductive alternates A and B	145,000-
Sub total	\$2,749,000
Add: Parts of additive alternates E and G	10,000+
Additive alternate C	144,000+
Total	\$2,903,000

(All figures rounded to nearest thousand)

Chancellor Humphrey endorsed the above recommendation, noting that this would leave approximately \$82,000 available for contingency and furnishings and would leave one wing of the 3rd floor partially unfinished. A supplemental appropriation of \$200,000, less the residual of the \$82,000 contingency fund, would then be necessary to complete the furnishings of the building. He further noted that if this procedure is approved, and a supplemental appropriation of approximately \$200,000 is requested, it is assumed that a

request for a supplemental appropriation for the UNR College of Education might also be made.

Mr. Jacobsen asked if projections for classroom and office space were keeping pace with the enrollment projections.

Dr. Zorn stated that when the Humanities building and the College of Education building were completed, there will be enough classroom and office space to erase the deficiency which now exists and to allow for growth for at least 3 bienna (or until 1979). He pointed out that the needs during the next biennium will be for Physical Education facilities, after which the most acute need will be in the science area and then library expansion.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

#### 10. Exterior of Chemistry Building

President Zorn stated that the Chemistry building has been occupied for several months; however, the exterior of the building still does not look finished. He noted that a number of alternatives have been investigated to provide a different finishing to the exterior and it has been



determined that the best alternative would be an application of a finishing material which will give an appearance similar to that of the Student Union building. He noted that there are several such finishes on the market and rough estimates indicate a cost of approximately \$10,000 to \$15,000 which he said he believed would be an appropriate expenditure from the UNLV Capital Improvement Fee Fund. He suggested that, unless there was objection to proceeding in this manner, he would propose that bids be requested and the results reported at the next meeting. No objection was stated.

#### 11. Class A Actions, UNR

President Miller presented the following 3 Class A Actions by the UNR Faculty Senate with his recommendation for approval:

##### A. Undergraduate Council and Faculty Senate Representation for School of Medical Sciences

That the Faculty Senate and Undergraduate Council membership temporarily be increased by one (1) by the addition of a duly elected member from the

School of Medical Sciences.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval, effective immediately.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

B. Substitution of Health Education Courses for Physical Education Courses

That students in the College of Education be permitted to substitute 2 credits (as devised by the College of Education) in Health Education for 2 of the all-University required Physical Education courses.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval, effective Spring semester, 1971.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent, that the above recommendation be approved.

C. Requiring ACT for Admission

That ACT be required for admission to all Baccalau-  
reate and Associate Programs at the University of  
Nevada, Reno. New transfer students may be excused  
by the Dean of the College if the Mathematics and  
English requirements have been satisfied.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval, effective Fall  
semester, 1971.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried  
without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

## 12. College of Education Building, UNR

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that at the October meeting  
the Board had authorized the Administration to proceed  
with negotiations and other necessary work to sell \$1.2  
million of revenue bonds for this project. At this time,  
Chancellor Humphrey stated, it appears that it would be  
advisable to go to public sale of the bonds rather than  
to try a negotiated sale. Accordingly, he recommended  
adoption of the following resolutions to authorize the  
issuance of revenue bonds in the amount of \$1.2 million

and authorizing the public sale of such securities with

bids to be received January 8, 1970.

#### RESOLUTION #70-8

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ISSUANCE BY THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA OF THE UNIVERSITY'S OBLIGATIONS DESIGNATED AS THE "UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FEE REVENUE BONDS, SERIES NOVEMBER 1, 1970", IN PRINCIPAL AMOUNT OF \$1,200,000, FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEFRAYING WHOLLY OR IN PART THE COST OF CONSTRUCTING AND OTHERWISE ACQUIRING FOR THE UNIVERSITY A COLLEGE OF EDUCATION BUILDING ON THE CAMPUS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, AND ACQUIRING EQUIPMENT AND FURNISHINGS THEREFOR, AND STRUCTURES, IMPROVEMENTS AND OTHER APPURTENANCES RELATING THERETO; PROVIDING THE FORM, TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF THE BONDS, THE MANNER OF THEIR EXECUTION, THE METHOD OF THEIR PAYMENT AND THE SECURITY THEREFOR; PROVIDING FOR THE DISPOSITION OF REVENUES DERIVED FROM CERTAIN FEES AND OTHER CHARGES FROM STUDENTS ATTENDING THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, RENO, AND FROM OTHER FACILITIES OF THE UNIVERSITY: PRESCRIBING OTHER DETAILS CONCERNING SUCH BONDS AND SUCH FACILITIES, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO COVENANTS AND AGREE-

MENTS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; RATIFYING ACTION HERETO-  
FORE TAKEN TOWARD ACQUIRING SUCH COLLEGE OF EDUCATION  
BUILDING FACILITIES AND ISSUING SUCH BONDS; PROVIDING  
OTHER DETAILS RELATING THERETO; AND REPEALING ALL RESO-  
LUTIONS IN CONFLICT HEREWITH.

RESOLUTION #70-9

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE PUBLIC SALE OF THE SECURI-  
TIES OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA DESIGNATED AS THE  
"UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT FEE REVENUE  
BONDS, SERIES NOVEMBER 1, 1970", IN THE PRINCIPAL AMOUNT  
OF \$1,200,000; PROVIDING THE FORMS OF THE NOTICES OF  
SUCH SALE; PROVIDING FOR GIVING NOTICE OF THE SALE AND  
OTHER DETAILS IN CONNECTION THEREWITH; AND REPEALING  
ALL RESOLUTIONS IN CONFLICT HEREWITH.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried  
without dissent that the above resolutions be adopted and  
bids be received January 8, 1971.

Chairman Hug introduced Regent-Elect William Morris and welcomed  
him to the meeting.

### 13. Approval of Preliminary Plans for a Large Animal Facility

Dean Bohmont presented the preliminary plans for a large animal facility to be constructed at the Main Station Farm. He noted that the building will have an approximate area of 2000 square feet and will have facilities for X-ray and surgery of large animals. Dean Bohmont noted that this project was originally approved as a capital improvement item by the Board in November 1969, to be constructed from non-State appropriated funds and was estimated at that time at \$50,000. However, he stated, the University has received a gift of X-ray equipment and the project has been expanded to a facility estimated at \$80,000 to house this rather substantial piece of equipment. Plans and specifications have been prepared by the Department of Physical Plant and funds will be provided from the following sources:

Federal Research Facility Funds, P. L. 88-74 \$ 7,000

Agricultural Experiment Station Research

Facilities Fund (Acct. #1-1-78-3018) 73,000

\$80,000

President Miller recommended approval of the preliminary plans as presented. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Seeliger, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

#### 14. Utility Extension, UNR

Chancellor Humphrey reported that as an integral part of the College of Education building and the National College of State Trial Judges building, utilities (i. e., heat trench and underground power distribution) must be extended to service these facilities. Inasmuch as approximately 1/4 of the total trench extension passes through the area to be excavated for the Physics building, Phase II of the Physical Science Complex, it is economically feasible to extend the utilities to the Education building and the State Trial Judges building at the time the excavation is underway for the Physics building. To not do so at that time would require re-digging at least 1/4 of the trench after the Physics building excavation has been backfilled, resulting in a duplication of effort and a corresponding increase in cost.

Chancellor Humphrey endorsed a request from President Miller to negotiate a change order with Mc Kenzie Construction Co.,

contractor for the Physics building, to extend the utilities to the Education building and State Trial Judges building at the time of the Physics building excavation. He also requested approval to spend \$100,000 from the University of Nevada, Reno Capital Improvement Fee Fund to accomplish this utility extension.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that expenditure of this amount would totally commit the UNR Capital Improvement Fee Fund balance and the 1970-71 collections. If this expenditure is approved, he stated, the Senate Finance Committee and the Assembly Ways and Means Committee should be informed. He added that he did not believe this was in any way contrary to legislative intent; however, it was not part of the Education building project as originally presented.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

#### 15. Agreement with State Planning Board

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in July 1969 the Board designated Edward S. Parsons, A. I. A., as the architect for a "Health Related Facility" to be used cooperatively



by the Max C. Fleischmann College of Agriculture and the School of Medical Sciences. At that time, the Board also specified that an agreement should be developed with the State Planning Board for the involvement of that agency in the construction of this facility and that the agreement should be referred to the Board of Regents for approval.

Accordingly, a draft agreement had been developed and approved by the Attorney General, the State Planning Board and the University and was recommended for approval by the Board of Regents (agreement filed with permanent minutes, identified as Ref. L).

Motion by Mr. Seeliger, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

#### 16. Progress of University Projects

A report on the progress of UNR capital improvements projects under the supervision of the Director of Physical Plant was distributed with the agenda. A similar report from the State Planning Board was distributed at the meeting. Both reports are on file in the Chancellor's Office.

#### 17. Approval of Purchases in Excess of \$5000, UNR

President Miller reported that bids were received October 22, 1970 for the purchase of 2 vehicles:

(1) Station Wagon, 9 Passenger

International Harvester, Reno	\$3,660.44
-------------------------------	------------

Herb Hallman, Reno	3,790.77
--------------------	----------

(2) Pick-Up, 3/4 Ton

International Harvester, Reno	\$3,326.05
-------------------------------	------------

Reno Dodge, Reno	3,590.52
------------------	----------

Bartlett Ford, Reno	3,767.65
---------------------	----------

President Miller recommended award to International Harvester for both vehicles for a total purchase of \$6,986.49.

Funds are available from Car Pool Mileage receipt account.

Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Bell, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

The meeting adjourned for lunch and reconvened at 1:35 P.M.;

Chairman Hug introduced Regent-Elect Helen Thompson and welcomed her to the meeting.

18. Approval of Preliminary Plans for Health Related Facility

President Miller introduced Mr. Edward Parsons, architect for the Health Related Science building, who presented preliminary plans for the building.

The following review of method of financing, project budget and scope was distributed with the agenda:

(1) Method of Financing:

Board of Regents (from non-appropriated sources)	\$ 300,000
Kellogg Fund Grant (for movable equipment)	60,000
HEW Health Professions Grant	686,010
Source to be identified prior to approval of final plans	29,990
	\$1,076,000

(2) Project Budget:

Construction	\$ 699,900
Exterior elements (architectural, mechanical and electrical)	60,500
Fixed equipment	20,000
Fees and Misc. (site, inspection and architectural)	71,600
Contingencies	14,000
Movable equipment	179,000
Access road and utilities	31,000
	\$1,076,000

(3) Project Scope:

	Assignable Sq. Feet
Offices	2,539
Laboratories	8,611
Mini-Labs	1,792
Storage	518
Self-Teaching Lab	3,075
Seminar Rooms	834
Study Rooms	2,502
Freezer Space	192
Cooler Space	210
Glass Storage Area	1,276
Animal Holding Rooms	664

22,785 (ASF)

Mr. Parsons noted that this project will be a one-story, concrete block building of approximately 24,000 square feet and is planned for construction on the northeast section of the Campus.

Mr. Bilbray asked if there had been any consideration given to eventually expanding the building to more than one level.

Mr. Parsons explained that designing the building for eventual vertical expansion would add approximately \$60,000 to the cost of the first increment. He suggested that another factor which had influenced the decision not to go more than one story had been the availability of sufficient land, noting that the site which had been selected for this building was a 30 acre undeveloped area north of the Campus. He presented a map showing his proposed development of that area.

Mr. Bilbray expressed concern that so much planning appeared to have been done without discussion with or approval by the Board. He referred to the map presented by Mr. Parsons which he noted showed a complex of approximately 10 build-

ings. President Miller pointed out that the map under discussion had no official standing; adding that he himself had not even seen it. The building for which the preliminary plans had been submitted had been approved by the Board earlier, as had the site under discussion; however, he emphasized that this was the only building under consideration.

Mr. Hug agreed that the only matter under consideration was the one building and he stated that he would not wish to be committed to any other kind of plan.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended the preliminary plans be approved for submission to the Federal government, as required by the terms of the HEW grant, and that Dean George Smith be requested to make a complete report at a later meeting on the progress of planning of all aspects of the School of Medical Sciences.

Motion by Mrs. Knudtsen, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved with the understanding that this approval extends only to the building for which preliminary plans were presented.

(Note to Minutes: In January 1968 the Board approved "a site (for the Medical School) of approximately 10 acres in the northwest section of the Campus...")

#### 19. Review of Present Student Government at UNLV

Mr. Richard Myers, President of CSUN, reported that validity of present Student Government at UNLV has been challenged, as has the recent student elections held at UNLV. He presented the following resolution, requesting consideration and approval by the Board:

WHEREAS, the current Constitution and By-Laws of CSUN has never been approved by the Board of Regents, and

WHEREAS, a functional document of authority and operation is needed for continuing performance of Student Government,

WE HEREBY request the Board of Regents to approve this Interim Document until February 1971, at the meeting of the Board of Regents, when a Constitution will be presented for your approval that has been ratified by the student body.

THEREFORE, we ask for certification of the primary elections of April 22 and 23, 1970, and the general student body elections held May 4 and 5, 1970.

The Interim Document presented for approval reads as follows:

I. Membership

All registered students of UNLV, paying CSUN (Student Government) fees, are eligible for membership.

II. Executive Board

A. Membership

A President, 1st Vice President, 2nd Vice President, Secretary and Treasurer will compose the Executive Board.

B. Authority



The President and his Executive Board shall be responsible for the administration and execution of the affairs of CSUN, and the administration and distribution of all moneys belonging to CSUN. Any question to the rights and powers of any body under control of CSUN, including the Senate, Judicial Council, or any committee thereunder, shall be decided by a majority vote of the Executive Board in an open session.

### III. Senate

A. The CSUN Senate will be presided over by the 1st Vice President, and composed of elected representatives of each class and College.

#### B. Authority

The Senate shall be empowered to initiate all such acts as are necessary to provide for the daily operation of CSUN.

C. The Senate can, by a 3/4 vote, overrule any

action of the Executive Board.

#### IV. Joint Session

##### Membership

Joint Session is a combined Executive Board and Senate meeting.

1. Authority to call such a meeting will be vested in the President of CSUN, who will also preside over the meeting.
2. 3 days' notice, either written or oral, shall be given by the CSUN President prior to the meeting of the Joint Session. Posting such notice on the CSUN bulletin board on the 3rd floor of the Student Union will constitute a written notice.
3. The Joint Session meeting can conduct any and all affairs related to CSUN.
4. The Joint Session can, by a 3/4 vote, overrule

any action of the Senate.

## V. Judicial Council

### A. Membership

The Judicial Council shall consist of a Chief Justice, an Associate Chief Justice and 3 Associate Justices.

### B. Authority

The Judicial Council is granted jurisdiction in non-academic disciplinary cases only, as provided for by the "Interim Rules and Disciplinary Procedures for Members of the University Community."

### C. The Judicial Council is to determine the

validity of the signatures of any and all initiative petitions.

## VI. Initiative

A. Upon the presentation to the Executive Board  
by members of CSUN of a petition bearing the  
signatures of two hundred (200) students re-  
questing specific legislation upon any matter  
within the authority of CSUN.

B. Such initiative shall be submitted to a vote  
of the CSUN student body within 25 days of  
its presentation to the CSUN Executive Board.

C. The verification of signatures in such initi-  
ative petition shall be done by the Student  
Judicial Council within 10 days of its re-  
ceipt of said petition by the Executive Board.

## VII. Election

That an election for new Senators will be held  
prior to December 11, 1970. The provision for  
such election will be at the discretion of the  
CSUN Executive Board.

Mr. Bell proposed that the above document be amended, as  
follows:

## V. B. Authority

The Judicial Council is granted jurisdiction in non-academic disciplinary cases only, or as provided for by the Interim Rules and Disciplinary Procedures for Members of the University Community, or any amendment thereto.

Motion by Mr. Ronzone, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that the above Interim Document be approved as amended.

A question was raised as to whether it was appropriate for the Board of Regents to approve student consitutions. Mr. Walsh advised that such approval was a legal necessity.

## 20. Organization of College of Arts and Science

President Miller recalled that the Board of Regents has requested a review of the feasibility of reorganizing the College of Arts and Science and had requested a report and recommendation prior to the appointment of a Dean. In ac-

cordance with that request, President Miller stated, he had appointed a committee to conduct such a review and had asked Dr. Harold Kirkpatrick, Interim Dean of the College, and Dr. Willard Day, Department of Psychology, to comment on the report filed by that Committee. (The Committee's report contained the following 5 recommendations, proposing, in effect, continuation of the present College organization:

(1) The Committee recommends that the College of Arts and Science not reorganize itself on a divisional or other basis at the present time. It is recommended that the basic organizational structure of the College remain much as it is now, that is, as a unity of Departments encompassing the Physical and Social Sciences and Humanities, and reporting to the same chief administrative officer, an academic Dean of the College of Arts and Science. This recommendation does not imply that individual Departments may not at any time make application for separate status, for status within another College, or for a change of status within the College of Arts and Science.

(2) The Committee approves in principle the general statements of plans for reorganization submitted by the

Departments of Art, Health, Physical Education and Recreation, Military Science and Music. The Committee urges that the requests of these Departments be reviewed by them as soon as possible, and specific recommendations for implementation be submitted to the new Dean after his appointment.

(3) The Committee recommends that an Advisory Standing Committee on Organizational Change, with rotating membership, be appointed by the new Dean for the purpose of assisting the Dean and the Chairmen of Departments contemplating organization change in working out the details of any proposed reorganization. A second function of the Committee would be to assist the College Administration in being sure that the structural characteristics of the College are dynamic, rather than static; that is, it would be a part of the function of this Committee to make recommendations aimed at securing maximum flexibility and convenience for appropriate structural innovation.

(4) The Committee recommends that the Chief Administrative Officer of the College be an academic Dean, one of whose major functions is to guide the formulation of

and to implement the policy of the College. It should also be a major function of the academic Dean to maintain close control with the separate Departments, to inform himself of their needs, and to exercise the opportunities of his office for manifesting leadership in connection with the development of programs offered by the separate Departments. Provision should be made for the Dean to participate in instructional or scholarly activity.

- (5) The Committee recommends that the administration of the College be made more efficient by the appointment as soon as feasible of more professional assistants. The primary function of these assistants should be to relieve the academic Dean of as many routine responsibilities as possible, so that he may be maximally free to devote himself to the task of guiding, assisting and leading the separate Departments in the achievement of their function.

Following extensive discussion on the recommendations of the Committee and an exchange of questions and answers between the Regents and the representatives of the College and President Miller, it was moved by Dr. Lombardi, and seconded by



Mrs. Knudtsen, that the recommendations of the Committee be approved, with the understanding that the organization of the College would be under continuing study and reports would be filed at regular periods.

Motion carried by roll call vote with Regents Ronzone and Seeliger opposing.

## 21. Alcoholic Beverage Policy

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in June the Board considered a change in the policy regulating the use and possession of alcoholic beverages. One provision of the proposal was approved, that is, that "The Office of the President has the authority to designate the time and place for special events where alcoholic beverages may be served on the University Campus." The Board further instructed that "the remainder of the policy be referred back to the Administration for continuing study in anticipation that a more acceptable proposal would be forthcoming."

President Miller reported that in response to the above action by the Board, the UNR Alcoholic Beverage Policy Com-

mittee had continued its review of this matter and had submitted a subsequent report which recommended that "The relatively few students 21 years or older who live in residence halls and University approved housing should be permitted a legal right to drink in the privacy of their rooms. Clustering these students would be provided for."

(Full report of Committee was distributed with agenda, identified as Ref. P.)

President Miller endorsed the above recommendation and requested approval by the Board of Regents. As indicated in the agenda, President Zorn had also endorsed the recommendation advanced by the Committee. Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Mr. Bell expressed concern over the report of the Committee, suggesting there were perhaps other objectives which the Committee should consider and respond to. He pointed out that the report provides no evidence of experience, no information from other Campuses with a similar policy. Mr. Bell stated that he believed the report is incomplete and there was not sufficient information on which to base a vote.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that this recommendation be tabled for 60 days to allow the Committee and the Administration to consider and respond to the possible objections which other residents of the Dormitories might have to such a policy and to present information concerning experience factors at other institutions which permit the possession and consumption of alcoholic beverages in University approved housing by those of legal age.

## 22. CSUN Presentation

Mr. Hug stated that, in accordance with procedure established by the Board of Regents at its October meeting, time had been set aside at this meeting to provide an opportunity to CSUN representatives to present information on problems of their own choosing which they believe should be called to the Board's attention. He emphasized, as he had done in October, that the Board would not attempt to offer solutions; rather, the session should confine itself to identification of the problems, after which the matter would be referred to the Administration for review and recommendation.

Mr. Richard Myers, President of CSUN, presented a single matter; that of a proposal that a University Senate or Committee be created to serve as a coordinating Committee among students, faculty and Administration. The Committee would be composed of 9 faculty, 1 Administrator, 4 undergraduate students and 1 graduate student.

Mr. Myers stated that this proposal has been under consideration at UNLV for approximately 1 1/2 years. He noted that such a Committee had been functioning during the previous Summer on an experimental basis, Chaired by Dr. Janet Travis, and he asked her to comment on the experience.

Dr. Travis noted that the Committee which had functioned during the Summer had developed the proposal for a coordinating Committee which was now before the Faculty Senate. The Faculty/Student Committee was composed of 9 faculty and 9 students and she stated that in her opinion it had operated very successfully. The proposal which had ultimately come from the Committee had been a series of compromises and was indicative of the spirit of cooperation which the Committee had experienced from its members.

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in adopting the Student Bill of Rights in April 1970, the Board of Regents had reserved to itself the responsibility of approving the "canons of responsible journalism, as prescribed by the Student Publications Board." Subsequently, the Board had invited the Student Publications Boards to develop statements of policy which could be referred to the Board of Regents at a future meeting.

Mr. Myers presented the following proposed statement on behalf of CSUN:

#### STATEMENT OF POLICY FOR STUDENT PUBLICATIONS

1. Confederated Students of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas, is the legally constituted student authority on the University of Nevada, Las Vegas Campus.
2. Funds for student publications are supplied from CSUN.
3. Editors of all publications are appointed by CSUN,

and serve at the pleasure of CSUN.

Noting these basic considerations, we therefore pledge; that all publications will uphold the highest standards of journalistic excellence and ethics; editors will be instructed to avoid statements which are libelous or slanderous. In addition, as there is no need to use obscenity in order to express a viewpoint, obscenity will be avoided.

In general, all publications will be published using standards of good taste.

While not censoring any publications, the officers of CSUN will endeavor to see all publications adhere to the above statements.

Miss Del Papa presented the following proposed statement on behalf of ASUN:

#### CRITERIA OF A GOOD NEWSPAPER

A good newspaper may judge its own performance -- and be judged -- by the criteria which follows:

## Accuracy

The newspaper shall:

Exert maximum effort to print the truth in all news situations.

Strive for completeness and honesty in reporting and writing.

Guard against carelessness, bias or distortion by either emphasis or omission.

Correct promptly errors of fact.

## Responsibility

The newspaper shall:

Select, edit and display news on the basis of its significance, interest and its genuine usefulness to the public.

Edit news affecting morals with candor and good taste and avoid an imbalance of a sensational, preponderantly negative or merely trivial news.

Accent when possible a reasonable amount of news which illustrates the values of compassion, self-sacrifice, heroism, good citizenship and patriotism.

Clearly define sources of news, tell the reader when competent sources cannot be identified and background with the facts, public statements which the newspaper knows to be inaccurate.

Uphold the constitutional right of free speech, respect rights of privacy and serve the public by helping to protect all rights and privileges guaranteed by law.

Instruct its staff members to conduct themselves with dignity and decorum while in service to the newspaper.

Integrity



The newspaper shall:

Honestly and fairly select and edit its news content to provide impartial treatment of disputed issues and thorough and dispassionate handling of controversial subjects.

Practice humility and tolerance in all relations with news sources and the public and respect honest conflicting opinions or disagreement.

Label as "Editorial" its own views or expressions of opinion and provide in the Editorial Page a forum for the exchange of pertinent comment and criticism, especially if it is in conflict with the newspaper's point of view.

## Leadership

The newspaper shall:

Stimulate and vigorously support public officials, private groups and individuals to increase the good works and eliminate the bad in the community.

Serve as a constructive critic of government at all levels, providing leadership for necessary reforms or innovations, and exposing any wrongdoing in office or any misuse of public power.

Oppose selfish and unwholesome interests regardless of their size or influence.

Dr. Donnelly reported that the student newspaper at Elko Community College, "The Roundup", is published as part of the work in the Journalism classes at the College. Since it is an integral part of the curriculum it perhaps need not be subject to the same regulations as a newspaper which is not part of the curriculum. Dr. Donnelly noted that the primary responsibility for the operation of the newspaper rests with the faculty advisor who would be the Journalism instructor. Dr. Donnelly stated that the students at Elko Community College wish to operate under the following statement of guidelines:

Recognizing the fact that the Elko Community College is an institution of higher learning designed to serve an entire community, this newspaper will endeavor to

meet the needs of the student and non-student population. While the facility itself is located in the City of Elko, "community" will be used in its broadest sense. Thus, all the north eastern Nevada cities and towns will be within the spectrum of the College newspaper. It is not the intent of this publication to supply the latest local and national news. Coverage of College and community related subjects are the main goal. Newsworthy events from other Colleges and Universities will be presented. Frequently, special feature articles will be offered to the reader.

A truly educational atmosphere is created when one can study the various sides of a controversial issue or point. In keeping with this obligation to inform, and thus allowing the individual an opportunity for making his own decision, it will be the policy of this paper to follow an objective style of news writing. Editorial opinion will be appropriately labeled. A "by-line" will be used when a story carries the reporter's personal opinion.

Dr. Donnelly also stated that the Elko Community College student newspaper shall be bound by the Code of Ethics

adopted by the American Society of Newspaper Editors (statement filed with permanent minutes).

Mr. Seeliger noted that the CSUN statement and the one submitted by Elko Community College contained statements stipulating clearly that there is no need for the use of obscenity in their student newspapers. He suggested that perhaps the statement from ASUN should also include such a stipulation.

Miss Del Papa said she would be happy to ask the Publications Board to take this suggestion under consideration; however, she stated that she did not personally believe that inclusion of such a stipulation was necessary in that she had great faith in the responsibility of the "Sagebrush" staff.

Motion by Mr. Seeliger, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that the statements of policy for student publications proposed by CSUN and Elko Community College be approved and that the ASUN statement be referred back for consideration of the inclusion of a statement prohibiting the use of obscenity. Further consideration of the ASUN statement will occur in December.

#### 24. DRI Financial Statement, Year Ending June 30, 1970

Director Ward presented the annual financial statement for DRI for the year ending June 30, 1970, noting that it had been prepared by the System Internal Auditor, Mrs. Janet Sanford. (Statement filed with permanent minutes.)

Dr. Ward called attention to Page 7 of the document wherein a year end deficit of \$26,883 was reflected. He recalled that the Board had authorized up to \$50,000 to erase any remaining deficits in DRI at the end of the fiscal year; the actual deficit which required funding by Board of Regents accounts was \$32,313.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that the statement indicates that DRI financial matters are under control and all deficits for the year ending June 30, 1970 are cleared.

#### 25. DRI Financial Program for 1970-71

Dr. Ward submitted an Estimated Statement of Funding for the Institute for fiscal year 1970-71. He noted that the statement showed a potential deficit of \$61,191 in the

Center for Water Resources Research; however, since the preparation of the document, funds have been received to cover this anticipated deficit. (Financial Program for 70-71 on file in Chancellor's Office.)

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the estimated statement be approved with the understanding that no deficit is either anticipated or approved.

Motion by Mr. Bell, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

## 26. Preliminary Discussion of Interim Rules and Disciplinary Procedures

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that the Interim Rules were adopted in June with the understanding that they would be subject to revision at the December meeting. He suggested that preliminary discussion at this meeting might be helpful in several ways; to the Coordinating Council in its attempts to meld the recommendations from the 4 Divisions into a single document; and also to the Board of Regents so they might know in which direction the faculty is proposed to go. He distributed a document which reflected

revisions proposed by each of the Divisions. He also distributed a document prepared by Regent Bell suggesting revisions to the Interim Rules.

Mr. Ronzone reported that Mrs. White had suggested a special meeting be held in December for the purpose of reviewing the Interim Rules. Mr. Hug agreed that consideration of this matter should not be hurried and suggested that one day of the December meeting might be devoted to this matter.

Mr. Bell commented on his proposed revisions, noting that he had been reviewing the revisions which were being proposed by the faculty and students. He expressed concern that the suggested amendments do not appear to be adequate to cope effectively with Campus violators, particularly in the procedural area.

Mr. Bell noted that his proposal is a departure from the Interim Rules and from the revisions as proposed by the faculty, particularly in the procedures area. His proposal, he stated, embraces the concept of a Hearing Officer as opposed to the panel, hearing board or jury concepts. Mr. Bell went on to outline some of the provisions of his pro-

posal and why he believed the Hearing Officer would be a more effective method of dealing with disciplinary cases.

Mr. Bell also pointed out that this is a proposal which he requested be considered along with all other proposals for revisions. He emphasized that the procedure he is advocating is neither designed for, nor appropriate for, investigations of professional or academic competence, but is for use only in conduct and disciplinary cases.

Mr. Barmettler spoke of the proposed revisions which have been developed by the faculty, noting that a great deal of time and effort has been spent in the review and formulation of recommendations by those groups. He pointed out that the faculty has proceeded in good faith and he urged the Regents to give careful consideration to their recommendations. He also noted that the Hearing Officer concept had been considered by the UNR faculty but had not been adopted.

Mr. Bell pointed out that many of the rules and sanctions included in this proposal had been extracted from the documents submitted by the faculty and amended his earlier statement to note that only in the procedures area had he



departed significantly from the original document.

Mr. Hug emphasized that all proposed revisions which are submitted will be given equal consideration in the development and adoption of a final document.

The meeting adjourned at 4:50 P.M. and reconvened the following morning, Saturday, November 21, 1970, at 9:15 A.M.

Members present: Mr. Thomas G. Bell

Mr. James H. Bilbray

Mr. Procter Hug, Jr.

Mr. Harold Jacobsen

Mrs. Molly Knudtsen

Louis Lombardi, M. D.

Mr. Albert Seeliger

Members absent: Fred M. Anderson, M. D.

Mr. Archie C. Grant

Mr. R. J. Ronzone

Dr. Juanita White

Others present: Chancellor Neil D. Humphrey

President N. Edd Miller (UNR)

President R. J. Zorn (UNLV)

Director Charles R. Donnelly (CCD)

Director John M. Ward (DRI)

Vice President Donald H. Baepler (UNLV)

Acting Vice President James T. Anderson (UNR)

Mr. Herman Westfall, Business Manager (UNLV)

Mr. Edward Olsen, Director of Information (UNR)

Mr. Mark Hughes, Director of Information (UNLV)

Dr. Don Fowler, Faculty Senate Chairman (DRI)

Dr. Edmund Barmettler, Faculty Senate Chairman  
(UNR)

Dr. John Vergiels, Faculty Senate Chairman  
(UNLV)

Miss Frankie Sue Del Papa, ASUN President

Mr. Richard Myers, CSUN President

## 27. 1971-73 Capital Improvement Program

- A. Chancellor Humphrey recalled that the Board of Regents had authorized the Administration to appeal to the State Planning Board for reconsideration of its announced decision concerning allocation of University projects among the 3 priority groups. He noted that this appeal was made on October 27, by various Administrative Of-

ficers, faculty and Regents Hug, Jacobsen and Seeliger.

The appeal was denied. Chancellor Humphrey reported that a recommendation would be made to the Board in December concerning an alternative course of action.

B. Chancellor Humphrey also reported that the State Planning Board, following a cost analysis by a consulting firm, has increased the recommendation for State appropriation for UNLV site development. The Planning Board will recommend that this project be funded at \$2,150,000. The original estimate used by the University was \$1,123,200 and was revised by the State Planning Board to \$1,671,500 in August 1970. Mr. Humphrey pointed out that this increases the appropriation need for this project by \$478,500. He recalled that the State Planning Board had also, in adopting the 1971-73 Capital Improvement Plan, inserted \$200,000 for furnishings for the UNLV Humanities building, to which the University took no exception.

Mr. Bilbray spoke about the Physical Education Complexes and pressed concern about their position on the State Planning Board's priority list. He suggested that an organized attempt be made on these projects and suggested that a

brochure be printed which would include information concerning the scope of the projects and justifications for their inclusion on the priority list for 1971-73.

It was agreed that a brochure would be developed and could presumably be ready for distribution before the January meeting.

## 28. Tenure within the University

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that the Board requested the Administration to review the tenure policy; specifically,

(1) The manner in which tenure is allowed and to whom.

(2) How cases are handled when tenure privileges are abused.

(3) What tenure regulations are at other Universities.

(4) The legal status of tenure within the University and the nature of the contract created by awarding tenure.

Chancellor Humphrey noted the inclusion with the agenda of

a report by President Miller on behalf of UNR (Ref. R); a proposed regulation for Community College Division faculty recommended by Dr. Donnelly (Ref. S); a report by Mr. K. D. Jessup concerning tenure regulations at 10 western public Universities (Ref. T). In addition, a report from Chief Deputy Attorney General Daniel Walsh had been mailed earlier and a report by President Zorn on behalf of UNLV was distributed.

President Miller commented on the UNR report, noting that he had in the past year appointed a special personnel advisory committee to review such recommendations as tenure and, in turn, make recommendations to the Academic Vice President. Prior to this year, this level of review had been done by the Academic Council.

Mr. Seeliger asked about the procedure used to evaluate faculty members directly as to their classroom procedures, presentations and methods of teaching. He asked if a Dean or Department Chairman ever visits a classroom to observe the instructor.

President Miller stated that the degree to which Administrators visit classes varies from College to College and

from Department to Department. However, he stated, during the last 2 years because of discussions nationally and locally about tenure and promotions and criteria for evaluation, there have been an increased number of visits at UNR; more than might have been true 4 or 5 years ago.

Vice President Anderson agreed, noting that the Department Chairmen in the College of Engineering frequently visit the classes of the younger and new instructors. He also noted that the College has instituted a feedback mechanism whereby they solicit information from the alumni concerning their evaluation of the quality of instruction received while enrolled in the College. The College also encourages student evaluations, he stated.

President Miller said he believed the University was as concerned as the Regents about the process of evaluation and efforts are being made to improve these procedures, not just for tenure but also for salary and promotion recommendations.

Mr. Seeliger suggested that perhaps part of the concern has arisen because it appears that denial of tenure is so rare as to be an exception.

Mr. Bilbray asked about the status of the student evaluation program. President Miller said it was his understanding that a student evaluation would be conducted at UNR during the second semester. President Zorn stated that he did not believe that CSUN had funds for such a project.

Mr. Bilbray said he would like to see a budget established to assist the students in this evaluation. Mr. Jacobsen and Mr. Hug agreed. Mr. Hug recalled that this had been discussed before and added that he believed it to be an important input and should not be left to the uncertainties of student budgeting.

Dr. Vergiels stated that he believed that evaluation of faculty by students should be for the improvement of instruction, not to provide a tool to the Regents for the evaluation of faculty. He suggested the students should handle their own evaluation program rather than have the Regents finance it. He urged the matter be left with the students.

Mrs. Knudtsen pointed out that the Regents had not wanted to get into this area and did not do so until it became

apparent that there were no funds available to the students.

Dr. Janet Travis, Secretary to the UNLV Faculty Senate, stated that she was very much in favor of having the Regents provide funding for this kind of program. She noted that despite the amount of money spent on student evaluation at UNLV, it was poorly done. She stated that only a very small percentage of the students reported and there was apparently no attempt to get a true random sample from which to draw valid conclusions. A properly done survey would be extremely valuable to the faculty, the Administration and to the Regents.

President Zorn stated that there had been considerable discussion following the publication of the student evaluation report done at UNLV. Both the strengths and weaknesses of the report have been identified and there is also greater faculty acceptance of the idea of student evaluation now. It is important, he stated, that any such program undertaken in the future be done as a collaborative effort among student, faculty and Regents and that funds be provided on that basis.

Dr. Donnelly suggested that the Community College be in-



cluded in the funding for a student evaluation program.

He stated that he was very much in favor of this kind of evaluation of instruction; however, he stated that he believed the chief purpose of student evaluation is for the improvement of instruction and it would be a mistake to attempt to use student evaluation for tenure purposes.

He pointed out that students are not qualified to make evaluation for that purpose. He agreed that student evaluations were important and useful in helping the instructor improve his ability to teach, and are also a useful tool in evaluating the instructor for other purposes but we should not go on the assumption that it is the only tool, or even a major tool, for the purposes of tenure.

President Zorn stated that it was his opinion that student evaluations would be a useful auxiliary tool but suggested that it would be twice as useful if it were brought from the grass roots forward rather than by a very pointed and specific appropriation from the Board of Regents.

Mr. Hug agreed that would probably be true but pointed out that the Regents have been talking about a student evaluation program for several years and have been waiting for such a grass roots movement from within the University

organization. He noted that there has been faculty resistance and budgetary problems. There has been only one product and even though it may have been considered deficient in some respects, at least an effort was made. Mr. Hug also suggested that this request may prove not to be as effective and useful as the Regents expect it to be; however, they believe it to be important and should be funded. He added that he believed it should be done in a professional way and should be supervised by the Administration of each Campus.

President Miller noted that a student evaluation had been conducted last year by ASUN. The results were not published but had been returned directly to the participating Departments. He also stated that a second survey was planned by ASUN during the second semester. Both the Faculty Senate and the Student Senate have gone on record as favoring the continuation of this program.

President Miller stated that he believed it was also important to recognize that there are other factors in evaluation of faculty than what goes on in the classroom.

The discussion returned to the subject of granting of

tenure and in response to statements by President Zorn and President Miller that a significant number of recommendations for tenure are rejected at various review levels, Mr. Seeliger suggested that the Regents might find it helpful to know about such faculty who are refused tenure as well as reviewing those who are advanced for tenure.

Dr. Lombardi suggested that some consideration also be given to a more adequate investigation prior to employment. Perhaps, he suggested, employment of an agency to investigate individuals who are being considered for appointment might be an area the Regents would wish to subsidize. Mr. Jacobsen agreed that this would be an important area of consideration.

Dr. Zorn suggested that funds be provided for a program of student evaluation of faculty but that it be referred to each Campus for involvement of students, faculty and Administration.

Mr. Jacobsen moved that the Presidents and the Director of Community College Division be requested to consider and to develop in cooperation with their faculties and students a plan for the implementation of a student evaluation of

faculty with the understanding that the Board would assist in funding of such a program, with the amount of funding to be determined following presentation of the proposals in March. Motion seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent.

Mr. Seeliger commented that since the problem often starts with the hiring procedures, perhaps consideration should be given to appointment of a personnel officer who is skilled in screening and selection of personnel. Dr. Lombardi agreed but suggested the matter be referred back to the Administration.

Chancellor Humphrey noted that it should be understood that this (screening and selection of professional personnel) is a primary responsibility of the Department Chairmen, Dean, Academic Vice President and that although clerical or technical assistance might be provided these people, their authority should not be changed.

Presidents Zorn and Miller agreed and suggested some way be found to relieve the work load of these people to allow them to devote more time to the screening and selection process.

Dr. Donnelly commented on Ref. S and recommended that affirmative action be taken in December to adopt the proposed regulations for Community College Division faculty.

The matter of tenure within the University and further recommendations will be made at the December meeting.

#### 29. Request for Recognition of System Faculty Organization

Chancellor Humphrey noted that professional staff of UNR, UNLV and DRI are represented by their respective Faculty Senates and it is assumed that the Community College Division will eventually organize a similar Senate structure.

He reported that professional staff not represented by these Faculty Senates (Computing Center, University Press and Chancellor's Office) have formally organized under the tentative designation of System Faculty and have requested recognition by the Board of Regents. (Request for recognition and by-laws adopted November 3, 1970 are filed with permanent minutes.)

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the Board officially

recognize the System Faculty organization and accept the proposed by-laws subject to later consideration of this, or a revised statement, when the University Code revisions are considered.

Mr. Bilbray suggested another name for this organization be developed, pointing out that the name System Faculty might appear to indicate a faculty group composed of representatives of all the Divisions. Mr. Humphrey concurred and it was agreed that the name would be changed.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the organization be recognized and its proposed by-laws approved subject to later consideration at the time the University Code is revised.

30. Report of Investment Committee Meeting of October 9, 1970

Dr. Lombardi presented the minutes of the meeting of the Investment Committee held on October 9, 1970, as follows:

INVESTMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF REGENTS

Minutes of meeting October 9, 1970

The Committee met at 12 Noon in the Hardy Room of the Jot  
Travis Student Union, University of Nevada, Reno.

Present were: Committee members Dr. Louis Lombardi, Chair-  
man, Mrs. Molly Knudtsen, Mr. Albert Seeliger, Dr. Fred  
Anderson and Mr. Proctor Hug, Jr.; Public members, Mr.  
Julius Bergen, and Mr. Arthur M. Smith, Jr.; Bank repre-  
sentatives, Mr. Thayer Bigelow and Mr. Walter Bradley.  
Also present were Dean Robert C. Weems, Consultant, and  
Chancellor Neil D. Humphrey.

The Bank distributed and explained a review of the Invest-  
ment Portfolio as of October 2, 1970.

It was moved by Mrs. Knudtsen, seconded by Mr. Seeliger,  
and unanimously approved, that the following sales and  
purchases within the portfolio be approved as recommended  
by the Trust Department.

#### Sales

10,000 Treasury Bills due 11-27-70	\$ 9,842
------------------------------------	----------

23,500 Shares General Motors Acceptance	
---	--

Corporation, due 10-15-70	23,500
---------------------------	--------

17,000 U. S. Treasury Notes, 5 1/4%		
due 5-1-71	16,850	
12,000 U. S. Treasury Notes, 4%		
due 8-15-72	11,400	
20,000 FNMA, 5 1/8%, due 2-10-72		19,500
166 Loews Warrants	1,900	
200 Shares Phelps Dodge	8,900	
196 Shares Pacific Gas & Electric		
(leaves 1000)	5,200	
200 Shares Oklahoma Gas & Electric		
(leaves 1000)	4,300	
		\$101,392

Purchases

100,000 Shares Nevada Power Co., 9 3/8%		
due 10-1-95	\$100,000	

It was moved by Mr. Seeliger, seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, and unanimously approved that the Committee meet every other month in Reno, preferably in conjunction with a meeting of the Board of Regents.

It was moved by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen,



and unanimously approved that the Board of Directors of the Clay Peters Corporation be requested to elect Mr. Thayer Bigelow as a member of the Board of Directors in order that the University's 1/4 interest in the corporation might be represented.

A proposal from Mr. James B. Ross, President of Cornerstone Financial Services, Inc., of Boston, Massachusetts, was considered. This proposal was originated through the local offices of Keystone Custodian Funds, Inc., Mr. Douglas Harvey, Reno representative. It was the consensus of the Committee that the proposal for the use of financial services of this firm should not be accepted. Mr. Humphrey was requested to so notify Mr. Harvey and Mr. Ross.

The statements of receipts and disbursements of Clay Peters Corporation for the period May through August 1970 was reviewed by the Committee. A question was raised concerning the Nevada Industrial Commission insurance. (Note: It was later determined by Mr. Bigelow that the company management had paid NIC premiums for the entire year.)

The meeting adjourned at 1:10 P.M.

/s/ Neil D. Humphrey

Submitted by Neil D. Humphrey

Chancellor

Secretary, Investment Advisory

Committee

Chancellor Humphrey recommended confirmation of the actions of the Investment Advisory Committee.

Motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mr. Bell, carried without dissent that the actions of the Committee be confirmed as recommended.

### 31. Proposed Meeting Dates for 1971

Chancellor Humphrey submitted the following proposed calendar for Board meetings for 1971:

Friday/Saturday	January 8-9	UNLV
Friday/Saturday	February 5-6	UNR
Friday/Saturday	March 12-13	UNLV
Friday/Saturday	April 9-10	UNR
Friday/Saturday	May 7-8	Elko
Friday/Saturday	June 11-12	UNLV

Friday/Saturday July 16-17 UNR

NO MEETING SCHEDULED FOR AUGUST

Friday/Saturday September 10-11 UNLV

Friday/Saturday October 8-9 UNR

Friday/Saturday November 12-13 UNLV

Friday/Saturday December 10-11 UNR

Motion by Mrs. Knudtsen, seconded by Mr. Bell, carried without dissent that the above proposed calendar be adopted for 1971.

Mrs. Knudtsen requested that a card be printed and distributed showing meeting dates as has been the practice. It was agreed that this would again be done.

### 32. Interview Expense Fund

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that special funds of \$5000 each be established within the 4 Divisions, by transfer from the Board of Regents Special Projects Fund, for the purposes of paying expenses of interviewing and recruiting new professional staff members. He further recommended that a detailed report be made by each Division at the end of the recruiting period for 1971-73 con-

cerning how the funds were used. Chancellor Humphrey also noted that UNR and UNLV may require supplemental amounts before the recruiting period is complete.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

33. Distinguished Nevadan Award to Mr. John Dressler

Mrs. Knudtsen reported on the Distinguished Nevadan Award which had been made to Mr. John Dressler, just prior to his death in October. She pointed out that previously such awards had been made at Commencement, but she believed that this would be an appropriate time to separate these awards from that ceremony. It was agreed that a meeting of the Committee on Honorary Degrees would be called for January at which time the Distinguished Nevadan Awards would be discussed along with Honorary Degrees and Commencement speakers.

34. Approval of Purchases in Excess of \$5000

A. Director Ward requested authorization to purchase an electronic calculator for use by the Center for Water

Resources Research from Wang Laboratories for a total cost of \$6498.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Bell, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

B. Director Ward requested authorization to purchase an atomic absorption system to be used by the Center for Water Resources Research and reported the following bids:

Van Waters & Rogers	Phillips SP90B	\$ 6,650
Varian Aerograph	Varian AA-5	8,400
Perkin-Elmer	Perkin-Elmer 403	19,375

Dr. Ward recommended the bid of Varian Aerograph be accepted based on a judgement that the instrument offered is more reliable than that offered by Van Waters and Rogers.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Bell, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

### 35. Transfer of Funds

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval of the following transfers from the Contingency Reserve, Elko Community College:

#116 \$5,400 to Administration-Wages, to provide clerical assistance to the Office of the Registrar.

#117 \$10,000 to Instruction-Operating. The 1970-71 Work Program was built on the premise that ECC would have 150 FTE students. During the Fall, 1970 semester, 182 FTE students enrolled. These additional students have generated the need for additional funding for operating and instructional equipment.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

### 36. Site for Elko Community College

Dr. Donnelly reported that at its regular meeting of November 10, 1970, the Board of Supervisors unanimously agreed to exchange the 58 acres known as the old Elko golf site in Elko for the 100 acres of University property northwest of the City.

He noted that the donors of the 100 acres to the University are in agreement with this transfer of property. The Board of Supervisors in Elko authorized City Manager John Sutherland to do the necessary paper work to complete the exchange.

Chancellor Humphrey reported that Deputy Attorney General Daniel Walsh has advised that NRS 277.050, concerning exchange of land between governmental subdivisions is applicable to this situation. He notes however that the statute requires an appraisal of the concerned property.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that Dr. Donnelly be authorized to proceed with the exchange of land following the procedure outlined in NRS 277.050.

Motion by Mr. Seeliger, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried  
without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

The meeting adjourned at 10:50 A.M.

(Mrs.) Bonnie M. Smotony

Secretary to the Board

11-20-1970