

Faculty senate chairs in attendance were: Dr. Eric Wilcox, DRI; Dr. Laura Naumann, NSC; Dr. Theo Meek, SA; Ms. YeVonne Allen, TMCC; Dr. Vicki Rosser, UNLV; Dr. Amy Pason, UNR; and Dr. Robert Morin, WNC. Student body presidents in attendance were: Ms. Suman Randhawa, NSSA President, NSC; Ms. Alyssa Fromelius, SGA President, TMCC; Mr. Joshua Padilla, CSUN President, UNLV; Ms. Dominique Hall, ASUN President, UNR; and Mr. Will Carrasco, GSA President, UNR.

Chair Doubrava called the meeting to order at 1:00 p.m. with all members present except Vice Chair Del Carlo and Regent Moran. Regent Geddes led the Pledge of Allegiance.

1. Information Only – Public Comment

Public Comment from Thomas Barnes stated that the Nevada Beef Industry's future depends on an advanced education curriculum focused on agriculture and provided the Nevada Cattlemen's Association's vision. *(Ref. Barnes.Thomas09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*

Public Comment from Lori Navarrete welcomed Chancellor Melody Rose and looked forward to her leadership and communication with the Board of Regents and the Nevada Faculty Alliance Chapters. She encouraged Chancellor Rose and the Budget Reduction Response Committee to protect faculty lines in the upcoming budget cut discussions. *(Ref. Navarrete.Lori09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*

Public Comment from the following individuals who were in opposition to the appointment of Brian Sandoval as UNR President:

- Adriano Cabral *(Ref. Cabral.Adriano09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Riley Mckinney *(Ref. McKinney.Riley09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Jesse Spooner *(Ref. Spooner.Jesse09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Ryan Corrigan *(Ref. Corrigan.Ryan09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Logan Mckinney *(Ref. McKinney.Logan09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Bailey Falk *(Ref. Falk.Bailey09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Elizabeth Mason *(Ref. Mason.Elizabeth09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Hanna Walkinshaw *(Ref. Walkinshaw.Hanna09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Abby Rosen *(Ref. Rosen.Abby09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Stephen Zipkin *(Ref. Zipkin.Stephen09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Nate Hodges *(Ref. Hodges.Nate09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*

Public Comment from the following individuals who were in favor of the appointment of Brian Sandoval as UNR President:

- Deena Behnke *(Ref. Behnke.Deena09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*
- Bernard Carter *(Ref. Carter.Bernard09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*

Public Comment from Terri Rodefer stated her disappointment that Provost Kevin Carman was not a finalist. She said Dr. Jennifer Evans-Cowley is a rising star and the most qualified candidate to be UNR President. *(Ref. Rodefer.Terri09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*

1. Information Only – Public Comment – (Continued)

Public Comment from Joshua Luers voiced his disappointment in the UNR President Search Committee as its decision was not what was best for UNR students, nor was feedback taken into consideration from the undergraduate forums. He was proud to see ASUN President Dominique Hall, ASUN Speaker of the Senate Keegan Murphy, and GSA President Will Carrasco make decisions that benefit UNR students. *(Ref. Luers.Joshua09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*

Public Comment from Ryan Almazan implored the Board of Regents to heavily consider a University President that will empower faculty, staff, and students to turn UNR into a school that students want to go to and supports their future. UNR needs a President dedicated to nurturing a quality education and college experience that will ensure the University's emboldening and not leave the University at a plateau. *(Ref. Almazan.Ryan09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*

Public Comment from Julia Bledsoe corrected an error to her September 10, 2020, Public Comment. Nevada State College does have an Employee of the Year Award and has done so for 11 years. *(Ref. Bledsoe.Julia09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*

Public Comment from Kent Ervin reiterated previous comments made related to the Budget Reduction Response Committee. There must be full involvement of faculty following established shared governance for any elimination or consolidation of programs. Employee compensation should not be a means of reducing budgets. The Committee should consider progressive furloughs by lowering the salaries of highly-paid executive administrators by a higher percentage than the rank-and-file percentage. The Committee must also consider new or adapted revenue sources to fund NSHE's educational mission. *(Ref. Ervin.Kent09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.)*

2. Information Only – Chancellor's Report – Chancellor Melody Rose reported she visited the northern institutions, and it was an honor to meet with faculty, staff, and students. She planned a Cabinet retreat to set strategic initiatives.

3. Information Only – Board Chairman's Report – Chair Doubrava withdrew Item 6 – *Handbook* Revision, Statement of Investment Objectives and Policies for the Operating Funds from the agenda.

4. Approved – Appointment, UNR President – The Board approved Brian Sandoval, J.D. to be President of the University of Nevada, Reno, along with the proposed salary and contract terms. *(Ref. BOR-4d and Supplemental Material on file in the Board Office. Other references on file in the Board Office include Refs. BOR-4a, BOR-4b, and BOR-4c.)*

Chair Doubrava disclosed he has supported and made contributions to Brian Sandoval for his reelection campaign for Governor and his Attorney General campaign. He will participate in the discussion and vote on the item.

4. Approved – Appointment, UNR President – (Continued)

Regent McAdoo disclosed each year, the Board of Regents nominates a Regent to serve as a non-voting member representative on the State Board of Education. She disclosed under NRS Chapter 281A that Governor Sandoval approved her appointment as the Regent representative to the State Board of Education. She did not receive any remuneration for holding the position, and the appointment does not and will not interfere with her ability to vote objectively and fairly. She will participate in the discussion and vote on the item.

Regent Carvalho disclosed under NRS Chapter 281A that she was reappointed by Governor Sandoval in 2013 to the Nevada Department of Education's Council to Establish Academic Standards, which she was recommended to by the Department of Education. Regent Carvalho does not have personal ties or a relationship with Governor Sandoval. She does not have a conflict of interest and will vote objectively on the matter. She will participate in the discussion and vote on the item.

Regent Trachok disclosed he has known Governor Brian Sandoval for almost 30 years in a professional capacity. He was a law partner with Governor Sandoval for approximately one year at Jones Vargas and contributed to his campaigns. Governor Sandoval's campaign disclosed the contributions to the best of his knowledge. Governor Sandoval appointed him to the 2010 transition team. In August 2011, Governor Sandoval appointed him to fill a vacancy on the Board of Regents. He does not stand to gain any pecuniary interest from Governor Sandoval's candidacy for the President position. There are no private capacity commitments regarding Governor Sandoval that would affect his judgment in deciding on his candidacy for the President position. He will participate in the discussion and vote on the item.

Chancellor Rose provided a chronological and procedural history of the search.

Regent Trachok provided a summary of the September 16, 2020, UNR President Search Committee meeting. All candidates were excellent and had an opportunity to respond to interview questions from the Committee. After the interviews concluded, all Institutional Advisory Members provided their first and second choices. Of the 27 Institutional Advisory Members, 22 listed Brian Sandoval as their first choice. After the Regents' comments, they recommended Brian Sandoval as the next President of UNR.

Regent Trachok moved approval of the appointment of Brian Sandoval, J.D. to be President of the University of Nevada, Reno. Regent Geddes seconded.

Chair Doubrava thanked the Committee and Institutional Advisory Members for investing their time and effort into the search. He was very impressed with the quality of candidates brought forward. He also thanked Chancellor Rose for her guidance and help.

4. Approved – Appointment, UNR President – (Continued)

ASUN President Hall stated she was in full support of Brian Sandoval as UNR President. She is concerned that Regents with a personal relationship with Brian Sandoval did not abstain from voting. Four Regents provided disclosures of donating to campaigns or having personal relationships with Brian Sandoval. She believed it is a conflict of interest and inappropriate to vote.

GSA President Carrasco stated it was a fantastic experience to see the full search process. Mr. Carrasco was disheartened that Brian Sandoval seemed to be the chosen candidate before the process even began. The relationships among the Regents and Brian Sandoval are troublesome for him and his constituency.

Regent Geddes thanked the Search Firm and the Chancellor for their work during the process. After the search was suspended, he had concerns about the caliber of applicants that may come forward, but this pool of candidates was as good as he has ever seen in the 27 searches he has participated in. He has full confidence that Brian Sandoval will put the best team in place to take UNR to the next level.

Chancellor Rose thanked WittKieffer for their partnership and the excellent candidate pool brought forward.

In response to a question from Regent Hayes, Chief General Counsel Joe Reynolds provided the obligations a Regent has when to vote on an issue, when to abstain, and when they absolutely must vote.

Regent Hayes stated the Supreme Court offered guidance that it is an ethical violation to abstain except for when an abstention is necessary. Regent Trachok said Regent Hayes was correct. When a Regent does not have a pecuniary interest in a matter or grounds for recusal based on NRS 281A, it was the Counsel's advice in those instances that the Regent was not allowed to abstain from voting on the matter.

UNLV CSUN President Joshua Padilla stated he was perplexed about how Brian Sandoval became a finalist to be nominated as UNR President by the full Board. He has no experience in the education sector or a University. He will be leading one of two top-tier universities in the state with zero experience. It is disheartening that it seems Brian Sandoval was given the position before the process began.

Regent Levine thanked the students and the faculty for making their voices heard. Regents should be accountable to those they serve. She will support Brian Sandoval because the Search Committee recommended him. The more significant concern she was hearing goes back to the structure of how the processes work and the distrust in the Board of Regents. All the students brought up excellent points as they relate to conflicts of interest.

Regent Geddes noted that in his 27 searches, he has never gone in with a preferred candidate.

4. Approved – Appointment, UNR President – (Continued)

Regent Moran entered the meeting.

ASUN President Hall stated ASUN believes that Brian Sandoval's extensive knowledge of Nevada and his leadership will guide UNR through this tough time. After 10 hours of interviews, the Committee thought he was the best candidate for the position. The issue that remains is the conflict of interest from the four disclosures that were just heard. She believed that if she had known someone for 30 years and donated to two campaigns, she in a leadership position would have a problem voting for that person.

Regent Perkins stated she participated in the deliberations and was the sole dissenter in the Committee's recommendation. Brian Sandoval was a great Governor, but he did not just walk into that position. He was mentored and guided through the many different stages, and she believed that is the same steps that should be considered for UNR President. Today as a Regent, she will make the best decision she knows how on behalf of her constituents.

Regent Hayes stated Nevada Ethics Laws require a person to have a specified statutory reason to abstain from a vote. Having a personal relationship is not listed in the statute.

Motion carried via a roll call vote. Chair Doubrava and Regents Carter, Carvalho, Geddes, Hayes, Levine, McAdoo, McMichael, Moran, Page, Perkins, and Trachok voted yes. Vice Chair Del Carlo was absent.

Chancellor Rose presented the contract terms.

In response to a question from Regent Trachok, Chancellor Rose stated these were the same contract terms as were used for the new UNLV President.

Regent Trachok moved approval of the proposed salary and contract terms for Brian Sandoval, J.D. Regent Page seconded. Motion carried via a roll call vote. Chair Doubrava and Regents Carter, Carvalho, Geddes, Hayes, Levine, McAdoo, McMichael, Moran, Page, Perkins, and Trachok voted yes. Vice Chair Del Carlo was absent.

UNR President-select Brian Sandoval thanked the Board, Chancellor Rose, and the UNR Search Committee members for their support. It was a rigorous process, and he looks forward to working with each member. UNR President-select Sandoval complimented WittKieffer for a professional process and attention to detail. He believed the campus forums were a compliment to the process. UNR President-select Sandoval thanked Dr. Marc Johnson for his service and dedication to the University.

4. Approved – Appointment, UNR President – (Continued)

UNR President-select Sandoval stated the Board's decision humbled him. He assured those that had made public comment that he will work hard to build a team to take the University to even greater heights. It is an honor of a lifetime to be the next UNR President.

UNR Faculty Senate Chair Dr. Amy Pason welcomed UNR President-select Sandoval to the Wolf Pack family. It is uncharted territory to have someone as President that has not gone through the academic ranks. However, he has taken the time to do his homework and talk to the different University constituencies. As faculty and educators, they are open to learning and understanding new things and hope that UNR President-select Sandoval will continue to learn from them. She has confidence that everyone working together will continue the successful public education mission.

The meeting recessed at 2:19 p.m. and reconvened at 2:35 p.m. with all members present except Vice Chair Del Carlo and Regent Moran.

5. Approved – Code Amendments to Authorize Furloughs in Response to COVID-19 Emergency Budget Shortfall – The Board approved amendments to the NSHE Code (Title 2, Chapter 1, Section 1.1(i); Title 2, Chapter 5, Section 5.4.4; and Title 2, Chapter 5, new section 5.4.12). The amendments were unanimously adopted on a temporary and emergency basis by the Board at its May 29, 2020, special meeting, and will expire 120 days from that date. (Ref. BOR-5 on file in the Board Office.)

Chief General Counsel Reynolds presented the Code amendments.

Regent Trachok moved approval of amendments to the NSHE Code (Title 2, Chapter 1, Section 1.1(i); Title 2, Chapter 5, Section 5.4.4; and Title 2, Chapter 5, new section 5.4.12). Regent McMichael seconded. Motion carried via a roll call vote. Chair Doubrava and Regents Carter, Carvalho, Geddes, Hayes, Levine, McAdoo, McMichael, Page, Perkins, and Trachok voted yes. Vice Chair Del Carlo and Regent Moran were absent.

6. Withdrawn – Handbook Revision, Statement of Investment Objectives and Policies for the Operating Funds – The item was withdrawn.

7. Approved – Mission Statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee and Ad Hoc Advisory Committee – The Board approved an amended Mission Statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee and Ad Hoc Advisory Committee to include the following amendment to the second paragraph: "The Committee will consider the input and recommendations of key student, faculty, staff, and community stakeholders, including those of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee, and any strategies that generate additional revenue or reduce expenditures and *may* include the following areas:...". (Ref. BOR-7 on file in the Board Office.)

7. Approved – Mission Statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee and Ad Hoc Advisory Committee – (Continued)

Chair Doubrava presented a draft/preliminary mission statement.

Regent Page moved approval of a Mission Statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee and Ad Hoc Advisory Committee. Regent Trachok seconded.

Regent Carter suggested the Committee members review the Committee's name at its first meeting.

Regent Levine asked after overwhelming public opinion at the last meeting why the five items listed in the mission statement had not been elaborated. Chair Doubrava believed it was easier to keep it broad as the Regents Committee and the ad hoc Advisory Committee were appointed. At the first meeting, the Committee may make changes as it sees fit.

Regent Levine stated review of employee compensation was a very charged discussion at the last meeting. There must be a better way to word the item. There was also mention of a more progressive model so that those making less feel less of the pay reduction. Chair Doubrava stated he did not mean for it to be interpreted as going after employee compensation, just that it was a topic of discussion.

NSC Faculty Senate Chair Dr. Laura Naumann acknowledged that Chair Doubrava and the Board of Regents had heard the faculty, staff, and students' comments to have representation on the Committee. Dr. Naumann requested the following phrase be added, "The Committee will consider the input and recommendations of key student, faculty, staff and community stakeholders including those of the ad hoc Advisory Committee." The mission statement has no other changes and lists the consideration of "possible mergers, consolidations, and/or closures" and "elimination of certain programs at any of the NSHE institutions," which are decisions that directly impact faculty and students and are the types of decisions that should happen at the institution level following the principles of shared governance. Much of the meeting has centered around transparency and perceptions of the Board. She reiterated a strong perception that the mission statement as written gives the Board considerable leeway and authority to make recommendations in a top-down fashion, only requiring minimum consultation of the ad hoc Advisory Committee. She reiterated the Council of Faculty Senate Chair's preference was for committee members that included the relevant stakeholders as seated, voting members alongside the Regents as used on the NSHE Task Force on Performance Pay Administration and Support. For this Committee, she would also include representation from the Classified Employee Council and System Computing Services.

Regent Trachok left the meeting.

7. Approved – Mission Statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee and Ad Hoc Advisory Committee – (Continued)

Regent Carvalho requested a friendly amendment to say, "The Committee will consider the input and recommendations of key student, faculty, staff, and community stakeholders, including those of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee, and any strategies that generate additional revenue or reduce expenditures and *may* include the following areas:..."

Regent Page agreed with the friendly amendment.

Chair Doubrava believed it was a reasonable edit.

Chief General Counsel Reynolds stated that since Regent Trachok is not available, a new second could be made.

Regent McAdoo seconded the motion and friendly amendment.

Regent Levine asked Chief General Counsel Reynolds about Dr. Naumann's recommendations related to the Task Force on Performance Pay Administration and Support's structure and how the Board could move forward with restructuring the membership of the Budget Reduction Response Committee.

Chief General Counsel Reynolds stated this item is to approve a preliminary mission statement for the Committee. The Board can return with a new agenda item at the next meeting to discuss membership, or the Board could edit the mission statement to include additional direction.

In response to a question from Regent Levine, Chief General Counsel Reynolds stated the Board could clarify who the specific individuals would be to serve on the Committee. Chief General Counsel Reynolds said the Regents could add to the language but advised that the Regents do not go outside the agenda item's parameters.

Chair Doubrava clarified that it still needed to be a special committee and not a task force. Regent Levine clarified she was requesting specific representation be included in the language.

In response to a question from Chair Doubrava related to whether Advisory Committee voting power would be a special committee or a task force, Chief General Counsel Reynolds stated it would not be a significant distinction. At the last meeting, the Board created a Committee and a separate Advisory Committee to provide advice. The Board can add additional language to the mission statement to clarify its direction.

Chair Doubrava asked Regent Levine if she was prepared to craft an amendment.

7. Approved – Mission Statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee and Ad Hoc Advisory Committee – (Continued)

Dr. Naumann provided the following language, "The Committee will include, in addition to the Regents appointed by the Board Chair, members selected by the Chancellor in consultation with the respective constituency groups and the Board Chair."

Regent Carter felt more comfortable taking the amendment as a secondary motion.

Regent Carter called the question.

Regent Doubrava asked Regent Page if he concurred with Regent Carter to vote on the original motion first. If it passes or fails, the Board will then consider a secondary motion with amended language from Regent Levine provided by Dr. Naumann.

Regent McAdoo asked for clarification as to the original motion.

The motion would approve the Mission Statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee and Ad Hoc Advisory Committee with the following amended language, "The Committee will consider the input and recommendations of key student, faculty, staff, and community stakeholders, including those of the Ad Hoc Advisory Committee, and any strategies that generate additional revenue or reduce expenditures and *may* include the following areas:"

Chief General Counsel Reynolds agreed there was a first and second to make the above specific amendment.

Motion carried via a roll call vote. Chair Doubrava and Regents Carter, Carvalho, Geddes, Hayes, McAdoo, McMichael, Page, and Perkins voted yes. Regent Levine voted no. Vice Chair Del Carlo and Regents Moran and Trachok were absent.

Chair Doubrava asked Regent Levine for a secondary motion to describe the membership of the ad hoc Advisory Committee included in the mission statement.

Dr. Naumann felt what was important is that the faculty are in support of membership on the Committee, with or without voting rights, the more input, the better. Dr. Naumann asked for language to acknowledge that the Committee's membership will include the relevant constituencies and stakeholders. She indicated that at each meeting in which this has been on the agenda, she has asked that faculty have a seated vote on the Committee.

7. Approved – Mission Statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee and Ad Hoc Advisory Committee – (Continued)

Dr. Naumann provided the following sentence to be added before the second paragraph, "In addition to Regents appointed by the Board Chair, the Committee will include members selected by the Chancellor in consultation with the respective constituency groups and the Board Chair."

Regent Levine moved to amend the approved mission statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee, adding the following new sentence before the second paragraph, "In addition to Regents appointed by the Board Chair, the Committee will include members selected by the Chancellor in consultation with the respective constituency groups and the Board Chair." Regent McMichael seconded.

Regent Geddes clarified that no matter how structured, the Committee can only recommend to the full Board for consideration. Chief General Counsel Reynolds agreed with the analysis. If a Committee has members that are non-regents, those members will have an advisory function.

Chancellor Rose asked Dr. Naumann to clarify the stakeholder groups. Dr. Naumann noted the list was from the Performance Pay Administration and Support Task Force and highlighted the following: three student body members representing each institutional sector (University, state college, and community colleges); and three faculty senate chairs representing each institutional sector (University, state college, and community colleges). To keep the mission statement relatively broad, she used the language included in the motion, with the understanding that a new agenda item will come forward to approve the exact membership.

Regent Levine stated the whole intent is to ensure the Committee is not secretive by giving all stakeholders a voice.

Regent Carvalho stated the Nevada Open Meeting Law would govern the Committee. She noted Regents always take the input from the membership very seriously. She did want to see all the stakeholder groups voice their expertise.

Regent Carter stated he was not prepared to provide a list of specific membership in today's meeting.

Dr. Naumann clarified the spirit of the language presented is to ensure relevant constituencies are included on the Committee.

7. Approved – Mission Statement for the Budget Reduction Response Committee and Ad Hoc Advisory Committee – (Continued)

Chief General Counsel Reynolds stated concerns raised are related to the need for language that clarifies who the key stakeholders will be on the Committee. Doing this can change the nature of what the Committee essentially is, whether it is a Committee comprised solely of Regents or an entity that looks more like the Performance Pay Administration and Support Task Force. There is flexibility at this point since the Board has not clarified the Committee's membership.

Regent Page understood that this was a Regents' Committee and not a task force. Chief General Counsel Reynolds stated that had not been clearly defined, but the Board has the authority to do so.

Chair Doubrava stated that he was clear that this would be a Regents' Special Committee at the prior meetings according to the Bylaws related to creating committees.

Regent Levine clarified that it did not specify what type of Committee when this item was last voted on. Therefore, the Regents still have the opportunity to clarify. Chief General Counsel Reynolds stated that was his understanding.

Motion failed via a roll call vote. Regents Hayes, Levine, McMichael, and Perkins voted yes. Chair Doubrava and Regents Carter, Carvalho, Geddes, McAdoo, and Page voted no. Vice Chair Del Carlo and Regents Moran and Trachok were absent.

8. Information Only – me3 Nevada Demonstration – Vice Chancellor for Community Colleges Nate Mackinnon presented a new me3 Nevada career and major exploration tool developed in partnership with EdPlus at Arizona State University. A demonstration of the Arizona version of me3 was presented to the Board at its March 5-6, 2020, meeting. This demonstration showed the Nevada version of the tool that directs students to degrees and certificates at NSHE institutions. This work was possible thanks to a generous gift from The Rogers Foundation.

Ms. Lisa Flesher, Arizona State University, stated it had been a pleasure to partner with Nevada to create this customized version of me3. The Nevada team has been a pleasure to work with.

Vice Chancellor Mackinnon thanked the Rogers Foundation and the institutions.

Chair Doubrava also thanked the Rogers Foundation for its assistance and help.

Regent Page stated the Rogers Foundation was gracious to provide the donation to the project. He wanted to make sure the Foundation was involved in the marketing plan. Vice Chancellor Mackinnon stated he and Chancellor Rose were in conversations about how the announcement will be presented to high school students and others.

8. Information Only – me3 Nevada Demonstration – (Continued)

Chancellor Rose thanked Vice Chancellor Mackinnon for his effort and hard work. She is scheduled to speak with Mr. Rory Reid to discuss the collaborative announcement to draw attention to this good work, make sure the community knows its availability, and revisit the marketing plan to reflect the donor intent and changed circumstances due to the pandemic.

WNC President Vincent R. Solis stated WNC is looking forward to this very impressive tool. WNC is hopeful that students will take less time to figure out their college experience by using the tool.

In response to a question from Regent McMichael related to a version for visually impaired students, Ms. Flesher responded that all imagery is tagged and responsive for visually impaired students.

9. Information Only – New Business – Regent Levine requested that the *Handbook* Revision, Statement of Investment Objectives and Policies for the Operating Funds be heard at the next meeting.

Chair Doubrava thanked Board Staff and SCS for all their work putting together the meeting.

10. Information Only – Public Comment

Public Comment from Mr. Brendan Mullenix was in opposition to Brian Sandoval's appointment as UNR President. (*Ref. Mullenix.Brendan09.17.20 on file in the Board Office.*)

The meeting adjourned at 4:03 p.m.

Prepared by:

Angela R. Palmer
Special Assistant and Coordinator
to the Board of Regents

Submitted for approval by:

Dean J. Gould
Chief of Staff and Special Counsel
to the Board of Regents

Approved by the Board of Regents at its January 15, 2021, meeting.