

#### NEVADA STUDENT ALLIANCE (NSA) AGENDA July 13, 2018 9:00 a.m.

Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) Reno System Administration Building, Room 134 2601 Enterprise Road Reno, NV 89512

Video Conference Connection from the Meeting Site to:
Battle Mountain – GBC BM 4 (location updated 7/12/18)

Carson City – WNC BRIS Executive Conference Room (location updated 7/12/18)

Elko – GBC LCSL 122

Henderson – NSC RSC 351

Las Vegas – CSN CHEY Bldg C 2638 and NSHE System Admin 101

ROLL CALL: Mr. Christopher Roys, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, CSUN (NSA Chair)

Mr. Andrew Sierra, College of Southern Nevada, ASCSN (NSA Vice Chair)

Ms. Ahtziry Vasquez, Truckee Meadows Community College, SGA (NSA Secretary)

Ms. Hannah Jackson, University of Nevada, Reno, ASUN Mr. Sandesh Kannan, University of Nevada, Reno, GSA

Ms. Becky Linville, Great Basin College, SGA

Ms. Viridiana Miranda, Western Nevada College, ASWN

Ms. Stephanie Molina, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, GPSA

Ms. Nicola Opfer, Nevada State College, NSSA Vacant, Desert Research Institute, GRAD

#### IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT THE AGENDA AND PUBLIC MEETING

NOTE: Below is an agenda of all items scheduled to be considered. Notification is hereby provided that items on the agenda may be taken out of the order presented, two or more agenda items may be combined for consideration, and an agenda item may be removed from the agenda or discussion relating to an item on the agenda may be delayed at any time.

In accordance with NRS 241.020(6), supporting materials that are submitted to the Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) Office of Academic and Student Affairs will be made available in advance of the meeting as follows: 1) from the NSHE Office of Academic and Student Affairs by calling Renée Davis at (775) 784-3447 or emailing her at renee\_davis@nshe.nevada.edu; or, 2) by accessing the electronic version of the agenda posted on the NSA page of the NSHE website:

#### http://www.nevada.edu/studentgov/

In addition, a limited number of copies of any such supporting materials will be available at the meeting site.

Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically disabled persons attending the meeting. Please call the Academic & Student Affairs Office in advance at (775) 784-3443 or (775) 784-3447 so that arrangements may be made.

#### 1. ROLL CALL

NSA Chair Christopher Roys will take roll call of members and ask guests at each of the video sites to identify themselves so their names may be recorded in the minutes.

#### 2. PUBLIC COMMENT

**INFORMATION** 

Public comment will be taken during this agenda item. No action may be taken on a matter raised under this item until the matter is included on an agenda as an item on which action may be taken. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person. Persons making comment will be asked to begin by stating their name for the record and to spell their last name. The NSA Chair may elect to allow additional public comment on a specific agenda item when that agenda item is being considered.

In accordance with Attorney General Opinion No. 00-047, as restated in the Attorney General's Open Meeting Law Manual, the NSA Chair may prohibit comment if the content of that comment is a topic that is not relevant to, or within the authority of, the NSA, or if the content is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational or amounting to personal attacks or interfering with the rights of other speakers.

#### 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

**FOR POSSIBLE ACTION** 

Request is made for approval of the minutes for the two NSA meetings held on June 7, 2018.

#### 4. DISCUSSION WITH CHANCELLOR

**INFORMATION ONLY** 

NSA members will meet with Chancellor Thom Reilly. They will discuss aspirations and concerns for each institution and the higher education system.

#### 5. DISCUSSION ON NSHE FUNDING FORMULA

**INFORMATION ONLY** 

NSA members will meet with NSHE Chief Financial Officer Chet Burton to discuss the financial impact of the NSHE funding formula. Information discussed will be related to the implementation and distribution of funding.

# 6. DISCUSSION AND APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION ON NSHE TUITION AND FEES

**FOR POSSIBLE ACTION** 

Request is made for the discussion and approval of a resolution supporting the investigation, analysis, and implementation of predictable tuition and registration fee rates for the Nevada System of Higher Education.

# 7. DISCUSSION AND APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION ON FOSSIL FUEL DIVESTMENTS

### **FOR POSSIBLE ACTION**

Request is made for the discussion and approval of a resolution requesting the placement of an information item on divestment of NSHE resources from the use of fossil fuels for the September 6-7, 2018 Board of Regents Investment Committee meeting agenda.

#### 8. MEMBER REPORTS

**INFORMATION** 

NSA members will each be invited to provide an update on current and planned events, initiatives and other activities or actions taking place on their campuses and within their student government organizations.

#### 9. **NEW BUSINESS**

**INFORMATION** 

Items for consideration at future meetings may be suggested. Any discussion of an item under "New Business" is limited to description and clarification of the subject matter of the item, which may include the reasons for the request.

#### 10. PUBLIC COMMENT

**INFORMATION** 

Public comment will be taken during this agenda item. No action may be taken on a matter raised under this item until the matter is included on an agenda as an item on which action may be taken. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person. Persons making comment will be asked to begin by stating their name for the record and to spell their last name. The NSA Chair may elect to allow additional public comment on a specific agenda item when that agenda item is being considered.

In accordance with Attorney General Opinion No. 00-047, as restated in the Attorney General's Open Meeting Law Manual, the NSA Chair may prohibit comment if the content of that comment is a topic that is not relevant to, or within the authority of, the NSA, or if the content is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational or amounting to personal attacks or interfering with the rights of other speakers.

Posted in accordance with Nevada Open Meeting Law (NRS Ch. 241) at the following locations:

CSN, Building D, 1st Floor, 6375 W. Charleston Blvd., Las Vegas, NV 89146-1124

DRI, Maxey Building, 2215 Raggio Parkway, Reno, NV 89512-1095

DRI, Southern Nevada Science Center, 755 E. Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, NV 89119-7363

GBC, Berg Hall, 1500 College Parkway, Elko, NV 89801

NSC, 1st Floor, Rogers Student Center, 1021 Paradise Hills Drive, Henderson NV 89002

TMCC, Red Mountain Building (RDMT 200) 7000 Dandini Blvd. Reno, NV 89512

UNLV, Flora Dungan Humanities 9 (FDH), 1<sup>st</sup> and 7<sup>th</sup> Floors, 4505 Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89154-1001

UNR, Clark Administration, University of Nevada, Reno, Reno, NV 89557

WNC, Bristlecone Building Lobby, 2201 W. College Parkway, Carson City, NV 89703

System Administration, 4300 S. Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89119-7530

System Administration, 2601 Enterprise Road, Reno, NV 89512

Nevada Public Notice Website - https://notice.nv.gov



# Overview of Nevada System of Higher Education Funding Formula and Self-Supporting (non-state) Budgets

Nevada Student Alliance July 13, 2018 Chester Burton, NSHE CFO

# General Overview of the NSHE Budget Structure

- State Supported Operating Budgets: Instructional Institutions, Professional Schools, DRI, and Non-Formula Budgets
- Formula vs Non-Formula Budgets
- Budgeting of Funding Sources Other than General Fund Appropriations: Registration Fees, Non-Resident Tuition
  - State Supported Operating Budgets
  - Self-Supporting Operating Budgets
  - Grants, Contracts, Loans, Endowments

# Legislatively Reviewed and Approved State Supported Operating Budgets

# **Instructional Formula Budgets**

- University of Nevada, Reno
- University of Nevada, Las Vegas
- College of Southern Nevada
- Great Basin College
- Truckee Meadows Community College
- Western Nevada College
- Nevada State College
- Performance Funding Pool

# **Professional School Budgets**


- UNR School of Medicine
- UNLV School of Medicine
- UNLV Law School
- UNLV Dental School
- Desert Research Institute

# **Non-Formula Budgets**

- System Administration
- Special Projects
- University Press
- System Computing Center
- State-Funded Perkins Loan
- Education for Dependent Children
- UNR Intercollegiate Athletics
- UNR Statewide Programs

- State Health Laboratory
- Agricultural Experiment Station
- Cooperative Extension
- Business Center North
- UNLV Intercollegiate Athletics
- UNLV Statewide Programs
- Business Center South
- Silver State Opportunity Grant Program

# Legislatively Approved 2017-2019 Biennium State Supported Operating Budget Revenue Sources



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The amount of General Fund displayed for the 2017-19 biennium does not include the \$43.1 million appropriated to the Board of Examiners for allocation to NSHE for the purpose of funding an annual 3.0 percent cost-of-living pay increase. The amount is also exclusive of any funding approved for Capital Improvement Program projects.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> By budget policy, depending upon institution type, between 65.6 percent and 76.6 percent of student registration fees are budgeted in the state supported operating budgets. 100 percent of non resident tuition is budgeted in the state supported operating budgets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> By budget policy, federal funds are generally not budgeted in the state supported operating budgets.

# Higher Education Funding Formula Purpose and Review History

- Formulas for Funding Higher Education Institutions are Generally Used to:
  - Objectively Calculate the Amount of Funding Necessary, and/or
  - Equitably Distribute Available Funding
- Funding Formulas May be Adopted to Address Public Policy Objectives: Enrollments vs Completions, Workforce Development (STEM)
- Current and Previous Funding Formulas for the NSHE Have Not Been Set in Statute, but Rather as Budget Policy Approved by the Legislature's money committees.
- Interim Studies Approved by Prior Legislatures to Review Funding Mechanisms for Institutions of Higher Education in Nevada: 1975, 1985, 1999 and 2011 Legislatures

- The NSHE Funding Formula is not set in statute, but rather as budget policy. The current methodology is based on recommendations from the 2012 Interim Committee to Study the Funding of Higher Education (S.B. 374, 2011 Legislature), and was adopted by the 2013 Legislature's money committees.
- The formula's purpose is to equitably distribute available General Fund appropriations across the seven NSHE instructional institutions based upon completed Weighted Student Credit Hours (WSCH). Thus, the Nevada Higher Education funding formula is a really a "distribution formula."
  - Nevada resident student credit hours are weighted by academic discipline and instructional level.
  - F grades for non-attendance/effort do not generate WSCH.
  - WSCH calculated value is uniform across all institutions.
  - WSCHs drive both the allocation of General Fund through the formula and to determine caseload growth.

 After accounting for any pre-formula allocations, available General Funds are distributed based on the institutions' WSCH multiplied by the calculated WSCH value. Pre-formula allocations are determined by budget policy.

# **Examples of Pre-Formula Allocations**

- Small Institution Funding for Great Basin College and Western Nevada College
- Research Operations & Maintenance Space at the University of Nevada, Reno and University of Nevada, Las Vegas
- Career and Technical Education (CTE) and Capacity Building Enhancements

- Performance Funding Pool for the 2017-19 biennium: Based on a 20 percent set-aside of total General Funds appropriated to each of the seven instructional institutions.
  - Funds are earned by each institution based on performance metrics established and approved by the Board of Regents.
  - Institutions are not competing with each other, but rather against themselves based on a year-over-year comparison.
  - Institutions have a two-year period in which to earn the annual performance funding set-aside.
- Student derived revenues do not offset General Fund appropriations based on the money committees' approved budget policy.

	I					
Calcula	teu wach va	alue Silice IIII	piementatio	ii oi tile rulit	illig Formula	

	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	FY 2017	FY 2018	FY 2019
Available General Funds <sup>1</sup>	\$ 354,693,823	\$ 364,520,930	\$ 393,198,352	\$ 395,620,381	\$ 430,988,104	\$ 423,186,674
Total WSCHs <sup>2</sup>	2,576,043	2,576,043	2,576,514	2,576,514	2,762,631	2,762,631
Calculated WSCH Value <sup>3</sup>	\$ 137.69	\$ 141.50	\$ 152.61	\$ 153.55	\$ 156.01	\$ 153.18

Calculated WCCU Value Since Implementation of the Funding Formula

Available General Funds do not include any pre-formula allocations

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> FY 2014/FY 2015 WSCH based on actual FY 2012 WSCH, FY 2016/FY 2017 WSCH based on actual FY 2014 WSCH, FY 2018/FY 2019 WSCH based on actual FY 2016 WSCH. FY 2012 WSCH included F grades for non-attendance. These were removed by policy beginning in the 2015-17 biennium.

FY 2018 and FY 2019 calculated WSCH value inclusive of work programs approved at the December 7, 2017, meeting of the IFC.

# **How General Fund Appropriations are Distributed Through the Formula**

- Total General Fund: Instructional Formula Budgets
  - Cumulative Adj Base + Maint + Enhancements = Total Formula Gen Fund Example: \$10,000,000 + \$1,000,000 + \$4,000,000 = \$15,000,000
- Available General Fund for Formula Distribution
  - Total Formula Gen Fund Pre-Formula Allocations = Available Gen Fund
     Example: \$15,000,000 \$3,000,000 = \$12,000,000
- WSCH Calculated Value
  - Available Gen Fund / Total WSCH = WSCH Calculated Value
     Example: \$12,000,000 / 80,000 WSCH = \$150 WSCH Value

# **How Formula Funds are Distributed (continued)**

- Funding Formula Distribution
  - Each Institution's WSCHs x WSCH Value = Funding Formula Distribution
     Example

```
Institution # 1: WSCH 35,000 x $150 = $5,250,000
Institution # 2: WSCH 20,000 x $150 = $3,000,000
Institution # 3: WSCH 13,000 x $150 = $1,950,000
```

Institution # 4: WSCH 12,000 x \$150 = \$1,800,000



# Desert Research Institute Funding Formula

• The DRI formula model for institutional support and research administration is a sliding scale calculation based on the level of grant activity.

Formula Funding Example for the Desert Research Institute							
l	rant & Contract runds Awarded (Increments)	% Per Step	G	en Fund			
\$	25,000,000	12.0%	\$	3,000,000			
\$	5,000,000	7.5%	\$	375,000			
\$	5,000,000	6.0%	\$	300,000			
\$	4,000,000	5.0%	\$	200,000			
\$	39,000,000		\$	3,875,000			

- Funding for the Operation and Maintenance of DRI's facilities is based on the traditional Base, Maintenance, and Enhancement methodology.
- Inflationary adjustments not otherwise accounted for were separately recommended by the Governor and approved by the 2017 Legislature (e.g. changes to retirement and insurance rates).

# Differences Between State Operating and Self Supporting Budgets


- State appropriations and Registration Fees: generally dedicated to a single fiscal year
  - Registration fees in excess of budgeted can be rolled forward one fiscal year
- Self Supporting Budget: balances can be balanced forward to subsequent fiscal years
  - Per Board policy, student fee accounts cannot carry forward revenue balance more than one fiscal year without Board approval
- Board of Regent policy requires approval of budgets accounts with projected expenditures exceeding \$250,000 (effective FY 2019)
- Self supporting revenue and expenditures are generally dedicated to a specific purpose, such as student support or capital projects

# Self Supporting Budget Revenue Sources

- Sales and Services (\$210 million)
- Student Fees (\$164 million)
- Indirect Cost Recovery (\$42 million)
- Investment and Endowment Income (\$4.5 million)
- Gifts (\$28 million)
- Miscellaneous (\$37 million)
- Transfers from Other Accounts (\$120 million)

Unexpended funds balance forward to future fiscal year

# Self Supporting Budget Revenue FY 2018



# Student Registration Fees include:

# **Undergrad/Graduate Fees**

- State Operating Budget
- Student Access
- General Improvement
- Capital Improvement
- Activities and Programs
- Student Association


# **Professional Schools**

- Technology Fee (Med School)
- Financial Aid Fee (Dental and Law Schools)

# Other Student Fees:

- Excess Credit Fee
- Non-Resident Tuition
- Special Course Fees
- Health Service Fee
- Mental Health Fee
- Student Health and Accident Insurance
- Residence Hall Rates

# Self Supporting Budget Expenditures FY 2018



# **Comments and Questions**





The Legislative Committee to Study the Funding of Higher Education (Chapter 375, Statutes of Nevada 2011) provided the 2013 Legislature with a recommendation for a new funding formula that fairly and equitably distributes State funding among Nevada's public higher education institutions. Taking into account comments from the interim committee, public testimony, reports of SRI International, and the National Governors' Association (NGA), the Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) believes the funding formula as approved by the 2013 and 2015 Legislatures, and continued by Governor Sandoval in the 2017-2019 Executive Budget and approved by the 2017 Legislature, fully captures the deliberations and final decisions of the interim committee, and strongly supports the funding formula that incorporates these concepts.

The new funding model is based upon the premise that state funding must be equitable to all institutions, simpler and more transparent than the previous formula, aligned with the goals of the State, and based upon national best practices in higher education financing and the commitment of NSHE to the goals of Complete College America.

The new funding model, as adopted, consists of two basic components – a base formula driven primarily by course completions, measured by Weighted Student Credit Hours (WSCH), and a performance pool driven by performance metrics that align with the goals of the State. Each is summarized below.

The Base Formula. The base formula allocates state resources (General Fund dollars) to teaching institutions based on completed courses as measured by student credit hours. Student credit hours are weighted by discipline cluster in an expanded matrix that is cost informed and independently developed by the National Center for Higher Education Management Systems (NCHEMS). As a result of Committee and Legislative deliberations, the working definition of completion evolved to exclude F grades that result from non-attendance. Because all institutions did not have complete data on F's for non-attendance, the 2013-2015 biennium budgets included all F grades. However, as directed by the 2013 Legislature and consistent with the NSHE budget request, subsequent biennial budgets, including the 2017-2019 Executive Budget, removed F grades for non-attendance from the total number of course completions for each teaching institution. As a result of the deliberations of the Committee, upper-division and graduate courses were given an additional weighting to support the research missions of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas (UNLV) and the University of Nevada, Reno (UNR).

Funding is determined by measuring completed course work, with funding set-aside to support small community colleges and the operations and maintenance of dedicated research space at UNLV and UNR. A fundamental premise of the new formula is that student fees and out of state tuition are retained by the institution and not utilized to offset state General Fund appropriations. Completions for nonresidents are, therefore, excluded from the tally of completed student credit hours and are not funded by the State.

During the 2017 Legislative Session, the Legislature approved the Regents' and the Governor's recommendation to increase the WSCH weighting for Career and Technical Education (CTE) courses within the Trades/Tech Cluster, by a factor of 1.5 and 2.0 points at the community colleges. Programs within this Cluster are significantly more expensive to provide than those in other Clusters and are similar to those found in upper division or even some graduate programs. The Trades/Tech Cluster includes the following Classification of Instruction (CIP) codes:

	Trades/Tech Cluster					
CIP 46	Construction Trades					
CIP 47	Mechanical Repair Technologies/Technicians					
CIP 48	Precision Production					
CIP 49	Transportation & Materials Moving					

The complex set of drivers from the previous formula for administrative support, institutional support, libraries, operations and maintenance and the like are compressed into the single driver of work completed, measured by WSCH. State support, when combined with student fee revenues generated by an institution, represents the total funding available to an institution in each fiscal year. Each institutional President is responsible for recommending to the Board of Regents for approval the allocation of these resources to the various functional areas (instruction, academic support, student services, etc.) within the college or university budget. Institutional Presidents have flexibility in establishing a budget plan and institutional priorities, but are held accountable for final performance outcomes as measured by student success, increased grant funding, alignment with state goals and the like.

See **Appendix A** for the weighting matrix based on the above principles.

<u>Performance Pool.</u> The adoption of the NSHE's Performance Pool came about as part of the funding formula study. The interim committee was specifically charged with considering methods for rewarding institutions for graduating students, which ultimately resulted in this performance-driven initiative.

Throughout the funding formula study, it was understood that there would be no additional state funding allocated to NSHE institutions through the Performance Pool. Therefore, the Performance Pool is based on a carve-out of state General Fund appropriations over an initial four-year implementation period. The carve-out from base state funding was 5 percent in the first year (FY2015), 10 percent in the second year, 15 percent in the third year, and 20 percent in the fourth year (FY2018). The 20 percent annual carve-out continues beyond the fourth year (FY 2019). The carve-out percentage will be set aside and, depending on an institution's performance in a defined year, each institution can "earn back" the set aside funds.

Institutions compete against themselves in separate institutional pools, and an institution's performance is measured based on seven metrics (two of which have sub-metrics for underserved populations). Many of the metrics are based on the number of students graduating, including metrics for students graduating in defined populations (underserved populations, STEM, allied health, etc.). Each institution selected one field (based on a two-digit Classification of Instructional Program (CIP) code) that supports economic development for which it may receive additional points. For Year 3 and 4 of the Performance Pool, the community colleges agreed to include skills certificates (less than 30 credits) in lieu of a specific program determined by a CIP code. These types of programs are often developed in concert with local employers and clearly align with the economic development efforts of the State and NSHE.

A factor (percent) is applied to each metric. The factors for the metrics are intended to signify importance or priority of the metrics. From the application of the factors, the Performance Pool sends a clear message that the top priority is graduating students. In addition, increasing sponsored project activity, transfer and articulation, and general efficiency are encouraged. Institutions earn the performance funds for a given fiscal year based on performance from two years preceding the fiscal year. The first year of the Performance Pool considered performance in the defined metrics in academic year 2012-13, which determined the amount of the carve-out

earned back for FY2015. During the first year all institutions achieved their point targets except Great Basin College (GBC) and Truckee Meadows Community College (TMCC), who fell short at 97.6 percent and 99.2 percent of the defined targets, respectively. The second year of the Performance Pool considered performance in academic year 2013-14. All institutions achieved their respective point targets except UNLV, who fell short at 97.8 percent. In addition, GBC and TMCC exceeded their Year 2 targets by the amount needed to earn the unearned funds from Year 1. The third year of the Performance Pool considered performance in academic year 2014-15. All institutions achieved their respective point targets. For the fourth year of Performance Pool funding (FY 2018), all institutions exceeded their respective Year 4 targets, based on academic year 2015-16 performance.

The following table indicates the performance year of measure and the respective fiscal year when the earned Performance Pool funds will be distributed for Year 4 and Year 5 of the Performance Pool.

	Baseline Year	Performance Year	Funding/Distribution Year (Carve-Out Percentage)
Year 4		2015-16	FY 2018 (20%)
Year 5		2016-17	FY 2019 (20%)

The performance year of measure is prior to the distribution year to ensure that institutions know in advance of the fiscal year what performance funds will be available for their budget.

Institutions that do not earn 100 percent of their performance funds in the first year of the performance cycle are given an opportunity to earn back those funds in the second year of the cycle. For example, for an institution that fails to meet its point targets in the first year, the unearned performance funds carry forward to the second year and the institution can earn those funds back if it over-performs in year two. In other words, the institution must exceed its year two target. In the event that there are performance funds that are unearned at the end of the second year of the performance cycle, unearned funds will be distributed to all institutions for need-based financial aid. Because there are many "working poor" in Nevada who do not qualify for Title IV Federal Student Aid, the institutions may determine students of need independent of Title IV guidelines. A redistribution has not occurred since the new formula was implemented.

See **Appendix B** for the Performance Pool metrics by institution and the respective targets for Year 4 and Year 5 of the Performance Pool.

<u>Formula Set-Asides.</u> The funding formula includes two areas of funding that are outside the primary WSCH calculation. First is the small institution factor. Recognizing that all institutions have certain fixed administrative costs regardless of size, the formula model includes a direct appropriation for small institutions to offset these fixed costs. The small institution factor decreases as WSCH increase between 50,000 and 100,000. When WSCH exceed 100,000, funding for the small institution factor is eliminated. Great Basin College and Western Nevada College (NC) currently receive funding through the small institution factor.

While research infrastructure is a critical component of the universities' missions and related instructional activity, it is does not directly generate WSCH in the same way traditional instruction does (which is reflected in the additional research mission weightings for university upper-division and graduate course WSCH). The second set-aside provides funding for university operation and maintenance (O&M) of research infrastructure space.

Implementation. Implementation of the new formula, effective July 1, 2013, within existing

appropriation levels necessarily triggered reallocation of resources. With the new funding model, the resource reallocation resulted in significant budget reductions to all northern institutions that could have impacted the viability of northern community colleges to continue to serve their respective service areas. As a result, the 2013 Legislature approved additional state support to hold harmless the institutions losing significant funding during the 2013-2015 biennium. These funds were made available and reflected as mitigation funding. Although no funding was recommended by the Governor for this purpose during the 2015-2017 biennium, the 2015 Legislature approved bridge funding for Great Basin College and Western Nevada College for the 2015-2017 biennium. No bridge funding was requested or approved for the 2017-2019 biennium.

See **Appendix C** for the updated distribution based on the Legislatively approved budget for the 2017-2019 biennium.

<u>Summary.</u> This funding model effectively shifts the focus of formula funding from inputs (enrollments) to outputs (course completions and performance). It is intended to motivate institutional behavior to increase degree productivity, contribute to the State's economy, and encourage and reward entrepreneurial actions. Recognizing the public and private benefits of higher education, the proposed formula assumes that the State (in the form of General Fund appropriations) and the students (in the form of tuition and fees) each assume a reasonable portion of the total funding for public higher education in Nevada.

Importantly, NSHE worked closely with the interim committee, Governor Sandoval's Office and the 2013 Legislature to achieve a formula that was equitable and simple. The funding formula as summarized in the preceding pages meets that goal.

Funding Model for the Desert Research Institute. During the interim study committee meetings, there was considerable discussion of the difference in mission and operation of Desert Research Institute (DRI) and the teaching institutions. For that reason, DRI was not included in the formula model, which dealt with the teaching institutions and was based on their teaching function. However, the interim committee did find that DRI's state supported operating budget should be funded, in part, through a funding formula. Thus, recognizing the important role that DRI plays in the economic development goals of the State and understanding that DRI leverages a portion of its budget to grow its research capacity, NSHE proposed a new formula model for the institutional support and research administration functions. The new model is a sliding scale calculation based on the level of grants and contracts activity and replaces current line item funding for these two functions.

#### Institutional and Research Administration Formula.

(based on Sponsored Projects Expenditures)

Formula Calculation	Revenues
12%	0 - \$25,000,000
7.5%	\$25,000,001 - \$30,000,000
6.0%	\$30,000,001 - \$35,000,000
5.0%	Above \$35,000,000

See **Appendix D** for the DRI funding model based on the Legislatively approved budget for the 2017-2019 biennium.

# NSHE Course Taxonomy Weights by Discipline Clusters - Universities

Discipline Clusters	Lower Division	Upper Division	Masters	Doctoral
Liberal Arts, Math, Social Science, Languages, Other	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
05. Area, Ethnic, Cultural & Gender Studies	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
09. Communication, Journalism and related programs	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
16. Foreign Languages, Literature and Linguistics	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
19. Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
23. English Language & Literature/Letters	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
24. Liberal Arts & Sciences, General Studies and Humanities	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
25. Library Science	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
27. Mathematics & Statistics	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
28. Reserve Officer Training Corps	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
29. Military Technologies	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
30. Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
38. Philosophy & Religious Studies	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
42. Psychology and Applied Psychology	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
45. Social Sciences	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
54. History	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
99. Honors Curriculum and Other	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
99. Honors Curricularii ana Other	1.0	2.2	4.4	5.5
Basic Skills Cluster	1.5			
32. Basic Skills	1.5			
Business Cluster (Business, Public Administration)	1.0	2.2	4.4	6.6
44. Public Administration & Social Service Professions	1.0	2.2	4.4	6.6
52. Business Management, Marketing & related support services	1.0	2.2	4.4	6.6
Education Cluster	1.5	2.2	2.75	5.5
13. Education	1.5	2.2	2.75	5.5
Services Cluster (Personal, Protective, Recreation)	1.5	2.2	3.3	4.4
31. Parks, Recreation, Leisure & Fitness Studies	1.5	2.2	3.3	4.4
12. Personal & Culinary Services	1.5	2.2	3.3	4.4 4.4
43. Security and Protective Services	1.5	2.2	3.3	4.4 4.4
Viewel and Barfarenian Arta Charter	4.5	0.75	<b></b>	<b>.</b>
/isual and Performing Arts Cluster 50. Visual & Performing Arts	1.5 1.5	2.75 2.75	5.5 5.5	5.5 5.5
Trades/Tech Cluster (Construction, Mechanic Tech, Precision Production)	2.0	2.75		
46. Construction Trades	2.0	2.75		
47. Mechanic Repair Technologies/Technicians	2.0	2.75		
48. Precision Production	2.0	2.75		
49. Transportation & Materials Moving	2.0	2.75		
Sciences Cluster (Agriculture, Computer, Biology, Physical)	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
01. Agricultural, Agriculture Operations & related sciences	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
03. Natural Resources & Conservation	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
11. Computer & Information Sciences & Support Services	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
26. Biological & Biomedical Sciences	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
40. Physical Sciences	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
our Cluster	2.0	- 2- 2-	4 4	
Law Cluster	2.0	2.2	4.4	4.4
22. Legal Professions and Studies	2.0	2.2	4.4	4.4
Ingineering/Architecture Cluster	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
04. Architecture	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
14. Engineering	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
15. Engineering Technologies/Technicians	2.0	3.3	5.5	8.8
Health Cluster	2.0	2.2	5.5	6.6
51. Nursing, Allied Health, Health Professions	2.0	2.2	5.5	6.6
			0.0	0.0

# NSHE Course Taxonomy Weights by Discipline Clusters - Colleges

Discipline Clusters	Lower Division	Upper Division
Liberal Arts, Math, Social Science, Languages, Other	1.0	2.0
05. Area, Ethnic, Cultural & Gender Studies	1.0	2.0
09. Communication, Journalism and related programs	1.0	2.0
16. Foreign Languages, Literature and Linguistics	1.0	2.0
19. Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	1.0	2.0
23. English Language & Literature/Letters	1.0	2.0
24. Liberal Arts & Sciences, General Studies and Humanities	1.0	2.0
25. Library Science	1.0	2.0
27. Mathematics & Statistics	1.0	2.0
28. Reserve Officer Training Corps	1.0	2.0
29. Military Technologies	1.0	2.0
30. Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies	1.0	2.0
38. Philosophy & Religious Studies	1.0	2.0
42. Psychology and Applied Psychology	1.0	2.0
45. Social Sciences	1.0	2.0
54. History	1.0	2.0
99. Honors Curriculum and Other	1.0	2.0
Basic Skills Cluster	1.5	
32. Basic Skills	1.5	
Business Cluster (Business, Public Administration)	1.0	2.0
44. Public Administration & Social Service Professions	1.0	2.0
52. Business Management, Marketing & related support services	1.0	2.0
Education Cluster	1.5	2.0
13. Education	1.5	2.0
Services Cluster (Personal, Protective, Recreation)	1.5	2.0
31. Parks, Recreation, Leisure & Fitness Studies	1.5	2.0
36. Leisure and Recreational Activities	1.5	2.0
12. Personal & Culinary Services	1.5	2.0
43. Security and Protective Services	1.5	2.0
Visual and Performing Arts Cluster	1.5	2.5
50. Visual & Performing Arts	1.5	2.5
Trades/Tech Cluster (Construction, Mechanic Tech, Precision Production	4.0	4.5
46. Construction Trades	4.0	4.5
47. Mechanic Repair Technologies/Technicians	4.0	4.5
48. Precision Production	4.0	4.5
49. Transportation & Materials Moving	4.0	4.5
Sciences Cluster (Agriculture, Computer, Biology, Physical)	2.0	3.0
01. Agricultural, Agriculture Operations & related sciences	2.0	3.0
03. Natural Resources & Conservation	2.0	3.0
11. Computer & Information Sciences & Support Services	2.0	3.0
26. Biological & Biomedical Sciences	2.0	3.0
40. Physical Sciences	2.0	3.0
Law Cluster	2.0	2.0
22. Legal Professions and Studies	2.0	2.0
Engineering/Architecture Cluster	2.0	3.0
04. Architecture	2.0	3.0
14. Engineering	2.0	3.0
15. Engineering Technologies/Technicians	2.0	3.0
Health Cluster	2.0	2.0
51. Nursing, Allied Health, Health Professions	2.0	2.0

#### **NSHE PERFORMANCE POOL -- YEAR 3 AND 4 TARGETS YEAR 5 AND 6 TARGETS** FINAL AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD 12/5/14 and REVISED 12/29/14 2% Increase - All Institutions\* YEAR 3 YEAR 4 YEAR 5 YEAR 6 2012-13 Baseline 2014-15 Target 2015-16 Target 2016-17 Target 2017-18 Target Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted UNLV **Factors Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. Bachelor's Degrees 3,857 1,157.1 0.30 Minority Bachelor's Graduates (IPEDS) (1.616 x .4) 193.9 0.30 646.4 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Bachelor's Graduates (801 x .4) 0.30 320.4 96.1 Master's & Doctoral Degrees 0.10 1,166 116.6 **Doctoral Degrees** 0.0 Minority Master's and Doctoral Graduates (IPEDS) (350 x .4) 140 14.0 0.10 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Master's and Doctoral Graduates (182 x .4) 73 7.3 0.10 Sponsored/External Research Expenditures in \$100.000's 0.15 437.3 65.6 Transfer Students w/a transferable associate's degree 0.05 1,727 86.4 Efficiency - Awards per 100 FTE 0.20 27.5 5.5 Economic Development (STEM and Allied Health) Graduates 0.20 852 170.4 Economic Development (business and management) Graduates 0.20 1.587 317.4 TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - ACTUAL --2,230.3 --0.0 0.0 --0.0 --0.0 **TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - TARGET** 2,274.9 2,320.4 2,366.8 2,414.1 Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Outcomes/ UNR **Factors** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Bachelor's Degrees 0.30 2,744 823.2 Minority Bachelor's Graduates (IPEDS) (640 x .4) 256 76.8 0.30 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Bachelor's Graduates (642 x .4) 257 0.30 77.0 Master's & Doctoral Degrees 732 73.2 0.10 **Doctoral Degrees** 0.0 Minority Master's and Doctoral Graduates (IPEDS) (126 x .4) 50.4 0.10 5.0 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Master's and Doctoral Graduates (173 x .4) 69.2 0.10 6.9 Sponsored/External Research Expenditures in \$100,000's 0.15 1.017.3 152.6 Transfer Students w/a transferable associate's degree 0.05 1.234 61.7 Efficiency - Awards per 100 FTE 0.20 27.2 5.4 Economic Development (STEM and Allied Health) Graduates 0.20 1,176 235.2 Economic Development (psychology) Graduates 189 37.8 0.20 TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - ACTUAL 1,554.9 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - TARGET 1,586.0 1,617.8 1,650.1 1,683.1 Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Outcomes/ NSC Factors Pts. Pts. Pts. Pts. Pts. **Points Points Points Points Points** Bachelor's Degrees 151.5 0.50 303 Minority Bachelor's Graduates (IPEDS) (117 x .4) 0.50 47 23.4 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Bachelor's Graduates (56 x .4) 22 11.2 0.50 **Gateway Course Completers** 0.05 709 35.5 Transfer Students w/a transferable associate's degree 0.05 336 16.8 Efficiency - Awards per 100 FTE 0.20 3.1 15.4 Economic Development (STEM and Allied Health) Graduates 134 26.8 0.20 Economic Development (business and management) Graduates 35 0.20 7.0 TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - ACTUAL 275.2 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 **TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - TARGET** 286.2 297.7 303.6 309.7

#### **NSHE PERFORMANCE POOL -- YEAR 3 AND 4 TARGETS YEAR 5 AND 6 TARGETS** FINAL AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD 12/5/14 and REVISED 12/29/14 2% Increase - All Institutions\* YEAR 3 YEAR 4 YEAR 5 YEAR 6 2012-13 Baseline 2014-15 Target 2015-16 Target 2016-17 Target 2017-18 Target Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Weighted Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Outcomes/ **CSN** Factors **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. 1 to 2 Year Certificate 235 23.5 0.10 Minority Certificate Recipients (IPEDS) (111 x .4) 44.4 4.4 0.10 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Certificate Recipients (61 x .4) 0.10 24.4 2.4 Associate's and Bachelor's Degrees 2,506 0.30 751.8 Minority Associate's and Bachelor's Graduates (IPEDS) (1170 x .4) 468 140.4 0.30 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Associate's and Bachelor's Graduates (625 x .4) 250 75.0 0.30 Transfer Students 0.10 3.254 325.4 Efficiency - Awards per 100 FTE 0.20 24.4 4.9 **Gateway Course Completers** 12.604 1.260.4 0.10 Economic Development (STEM and Allied Health) Graduates 2.380 476.0 0.20 **Economic Development: Skills Certificates** 1,489 297.8 0.20 TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - ACTUAL 3,362.1 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 **TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - TARGET** 3,497.9 3.429.3 3,567.8 3,639.2 Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted **GBC Factors Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. Points Pts. **Points** Pts. 1 to 2 Year Certificate 0.10 135 13.5 Minority Certificate Recipients (IPEDS) (36 x .4) 14 1.4 0.10 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Certificate Recipients (27 x .4) 11 1.1 0.10 Associate's and Bachelor's Degrees 0.30 285 85.5 Minority Associate's and Bachelor's Graduates (IPEDS) (53 x .4) 21 6.4 0.30 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Associate's and Bachelor's Graduates (82 x .4) 33 9.8 0.30 **Transfer Students** 0.10 63 6.3 Efficiency - Awards per 100 FTE 0.20 39.6 7.9 0.10 **Gateway Course Completers** 1,215 121.5 Economic Development (STEM and Allied Health) Graduates 400 80.0 0.20 Economic Development: Skills Certificates 171 0.20 34.2 TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - ACTUAL ---367.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 **TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - TARGET** 382.5 375.0 390.1 397.9 Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted **TMCC Factors Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. 7.0 1 to 2 Year Certificate 70 0.10 Minority Certificate Recipients (IPEDS) (20 x .4) 8 0.8 0.10 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Certificate Recipients (25 x .4) 10 1.0 0.10 Associate's Degrees 0.30 950 285.0 Minority Associate's Graduates (IPEDS) (265 x .4) 106 31.8 0.30 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Associate's Graduates (331 x .4) 0.30 132 39.7 **Transfer Students** 0.10 1.281 128.1 Efficiency - Awards per 100 FTE\* 0.20 27.7 5.5 **Gateway Course Completers** 0.10 4,350 435.0 Economic Development (STEM and Allied Health) Graduates 174.2 0.20 871 Economic Development: Skills Certificates 0.20 534 106.8

1,215.0

0.0

1,264.0

0.0

1,289.3

0.0

1,315.1

0.0

1.239.3

TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - ACTUAL

TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - TARGET

0.0

582.5

0.0

571.1

#### **NSHE PERFORMANCE POOL -- YEAR 3 AND 4 TARGETS YEAR 5 AND 6 TARGETS** FINAL AS APPROVED BY THE BOARD 12/5/14 and REVISED 12/29/14 2% Increase - All Institutions\* YEAR 3 YEAR 4 YEAR 5 YEAR 6 2016-17 Target 2012-13 Baseline 2014-15 Target 2015-16 Target 2017-18 Target Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted Outcomes/ Weighted WNC Factors **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. **Points** Pts. 1 to 2 Year Certificate 20 2.0 0.10 Minority Certificate Recipients (IPEDS) (4 x .4) 0.2 0.10 2 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Certificate Recipients (6 x .4) 2 0.2 0.10 Associate's and Bachelor's Degrees 0.30 502 150.6 Minority Associate's and Bachelor's Graduates (IPEDS) (103 x .4) 0.30 41 12.4 Pell-Eligible (non-Minority) Associate's and Bachelor's Graduates (182 x .4) 73 21.8 0.30 **Transfer Students** 0.10 354 35.4 Efficiency - Awards per 100 FTE 0.20 38.7 7.7 **Gateway Course Completers** 0.10 1,684 168.4 Economic Development (STEM and Allied Health) Graduates 0.20 404 80.8 Economic Development: Skills Certificates 293 58.6 0.20

538.1

0.0

548.9

0.0

559.9

--

TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - ACTUAL

TOTAL WEIGHTED POINTS - TARGET

<sup>\*</sup>A two percent increase has been applied to the prior year target for 2016-17 and 2017-18 targets for all institutions. Year 5 and 6 targets approved by the Board December 2015.

## **Performance Pool Outcomes - Data Definitions**

Outcome	Definitions
1 to 2 year Certificate	The total number of certificates requiring 30 or more credit hours granted during an academic year. Students earning multiple certificates in an academic year will have each earned certificate count as a separate outcome. General Studies certificates are excluded. (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting)
Associate's Degrees	The total number of associate's degrees conferred during an academic year. Students earning multiple degrees in an academic year will have each earned degree count as a separate outcome. (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting)
Bachelor's Degrees	The total number of bachelor's degrees conferred during an academic year. Students earning multiple degrees in an academic year will have each earned degree count as a separate outcome. (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting)
Master's Degrees	The total number of master's degrees conferred during an academic year. Students earning multiple degrees in an academic year will have each earned degree count as a separate outcome. (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting)
Doctoral Degrees	The total number of doctoral degrees conferred during an academic year. First-professional degrees (medical, dental, law) are not included. Students earning multiple degrees in an academic year will have each earned degree count as a separate outcome. (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting)
Awards to Minority Students	An additional weight of .4 is applied for each degree or certificate awarded to a minority student. Minority categories include all categories EXCEPT white, unknown, and non-resident alien. General Studies certificates excluded. (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting)
Awards to Pell-Eligible Students	An additional weight of .4 is applied for each degree or certificate awarded to non-minority Pell eligible student (minority and Pell-eligible awards are mutually exclusive and awards to minority students are captured in the minority awards so are excluded from the Pell-eligible awards). General Studies certificates excluded. (Source: Data submitted by institutions identifying students who were included in the awards reported to IPEDS [preliminary reports] and were Pell-eligible at any point during their academic career)
Transfer Students w/a Transferable Associate's Degree	Total number of students transferred to a 4-year institution with a transferable associate's degree from an NSHE community college. (Source: NSHE Data Warehouse)
Transfer Students w/24 credits or Associate's Degree	The total number of students who enrolled at a four -year institution during the fall or spring semester of a given reporting year who had earned at least 24 credits or a transferable associate's degree at a community college prior to the reporting year. Students are excluded if they are co-enrolled at a 4-year institution and a 2-year institution during the term in which they otherwise would have been included as a transfer student. (Excludes courses from the 24 credit count if the grades are AU, AD, NR, ND, X, I, F, U, W.) (Source: NSHE Data Warehouse)
Efficiency - Awards per 100 FTE	The number of bachelor's, master's and doctoral awards per 100 FTE (for degree-seeking students only) at 4-year institutions and the number of certificates (including skills certificates), associate's and bachelor's (where applicable) per 100 FTE (for degree-seeking students only) at the 2-year institutions. (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting and Official FTE [less non-degree seeking students])
Sponsored/External Research Expenditures	The total amount expended on sponsored programs/projects of research and other scholarly activities for the fiscal year. This amount includes federal, federal pass-through, State of Nevada, other state and local government, private for-profit, private non-profit. Other scholarly activity includes the instructional, public service, student services, and "other" functional grant categories, including workforce development. The figures exclude the scholarship/fellowship category. (Source: NSHE Sponsored Programs Office)
Gateway Course Completers	The total number of students (unduplicated) who successfully completed a college-level English or mathematics course (grade C- and above) in the reporting year (fall and spring only). (Source: NSHE Data Warehouse)
Economic Development - STEM and Allied Health Graduates	Total number of certificates (including skills certificates), associate's, bachelor's, master's, or doctoral degrees awarded (first professional awards are excluded) in an academic year based on CIP codes for STEM and health professionals as identified by NCHEMS for the NGA metrics. (CIPs: 4 - architecture and related services; 11 - computer and information sciences and support services; 14 - engineering; 15 - engineering technologies/technicians; 26 - biological and biomedical sciences; 27 - mathematics and statistics; 40 - physical sciences; 41 - science technologies/technicians; 51 - health professions and related clinical sciences; 46 - construction trades; 47 - mechanic repair technologies/technicians; 48 - precision production; and 49 - transportation and materials moving) (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting)
Economic Development - Institution Selected Discipline (4-Year Institutions only)	Total number of bachelor's, master's, or doctoral degrees awarded (first professional awards are excluded) in an academic year based on CIP code selected by the institution which aligns with the state's economic development plan. (UNLV- 52 Business, Management, and Related Support Services; UNR- 42 Psychology; NSC- 52 Business, Management, and Related Support Services) (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting)
Econonic Development - Skills Certificates (Community Colleges only)	Certificates of 9 to 29 credits that that provide preparation necessary to take state, national and/or industry recognized certification or licensing examinations. (Source: preliminary IPEDS reporting)

#### Nevada System of Higher Education Legislatively Approved State Support by Institution

	Fiscal Year 2018 Legislatively Approved General Fund Appropriation							
<b>Funding Component</b>	<u>UNLV</u>	<u>UNR</u>	NSC	CSN	TMCC	<u>WNC</u>	GBC	<u>Total</u>
FY 2016 Resident Weighted Student								
Credit Hours (WSCH) (1)	1,009,083	721,836	101,857	580,102	204,816	75,616	69,321	2,762,631
Times amount approved per WSCH	<u>\$156.01</u>	<u>\$156.01</u>	<u>\$156.01</u>	<u>\$156.01</u>	<u>\$156.01</u>	<u>\$156.01</u>	<u>\$156.01</u>	<u>\$156.01</u>
State Support via WSCH (2)	\$157,423,402	\$112,611,032	\$15,890,343	\$90,499,622	\$31,952,606	\$11,796,580	\$10,814,519	\$430,988,104
Funding Formula Amounts Approved								
Outside WSCH								
Small Institution Factor (3)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$734,520	\$920,370	\$1,654,890
Research O&M (4)	\$5,621,935	\$3,831,987	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,453,922
Career and Technical Education (5)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$4,575,464	\$1,898,675	\$978,113	\$1,731,494	\$9,183,746
COLA (3%) (6)	\$4,565,294	\$3,400,865	\$302,200	\$2,029,170	\$842,550	\$265,034	\$282,994	\$11,688,107
Total State Support (7)	\$167,610,631	\$119,843,884	\$16,192,543	\$97,104,256	\$34,693,831	\$13,774,247	\$13,749,377	\$462,968,769
Total State Support per WSCH	\$166.10	\$166.03	\$158.97	\$167.39	\$169.39	\$182.16	\$198.34	\$167.58

- (1) Resident weighted student credit hours do not include F grades for non-attendance; figures represent FY 2016 actual data.
- (2) State support via WSCH at UNLV and UNR represents funding provided to each of the university's main instructional budget accounts. Total does not include other budget accounts administered by each of the universities that do not utilize the higher education funding formula to distribute state support.
- (3) To account for increased costs due to economies of scale, the funding formula provides additional funding to community colleges that generate less than 100,000 weighted student credit hours.
- (4) Funding formula provides research universities Operation and Maintenance (O&M) funding for space allocated exclusively for research purposes that do not directly produce weighted student credit hours.
- (5) Funding based on increased weighting for CTE courses by a factor of 1.5 points in FY 2018.
- (6) 80% of salary adjustment funds are appropriated to the Board of Examiners and are available to NSHE as needed to fund actual salary adjustment costs.
- (7) Amounts include state support that was transferred to the Performance Pool (20% in FY 2018) and must be earned by achieving the number of targeted points as outlined for each institution.

In addition to state support, the funding formula provides that each institution retains 100% of the student tuition and fee revenues generated by that institution with no General Fund offset.

	Fiscal Year 2019 Legislatively Approved General Fund Appropriation							
<b>Funding Component</b>	<u>UNLV</u>	<u>UNR</u>	<u>NSC</u>	<u>CSN</u>	<b>TMCC</b>	<u>WNC</u>	<u>GBC</u>	<u>Total</u>
FY 2016 Resident Weighted Student								
Credit Hours (WSCH) (1)	1,009,083	721,836	101,857	580,102	204,816	75,616	69,321	2,762,631
Times amount approved per WSCH	<u>\$153.18</u>	<u>\$153.18</u>	<u>\$153.18</u>	<u>\$153.18</u>	<u>\$153.18</u>	<u>\$153.18</u>	<u>\$153.18</u>	<u>\$153.18</u>
State Support via WSCH (2)	\$154,573,839	\$110,572,630	\$15,602,708	\$88,861,464	\$31,374,223	\$11,583,047	\$10,618,763	\$423,186,674
Funding Formula Amounts Approved								
Outside WSCH								
Small Institution Factor (3)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$734,520	\$920,370	\$1,654,890
Research O&M (4)	\$5,621,935	\$3,831,987	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$9,453,922
Career and Technical Education (5)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$6,100,619	\$2,531,567	\$1,304,150	\$2,308,659	\$12,244,995
Increasing Capacity Systemwide (6)	\$2,900,000	\$2,200,000	\$399,999	\$2,600,000	\$1,000,000	\$393,189	\$300,000	\$9,793,188
COLA (3%) (7)	\$9,248,858	\$6,889,894	\$611,795	\$4,113,430	\$1,705,662	\$537,264	\$568,168	\$23,675,071
Total State Support (8)	\$172,344,632	\$123,494,511	\$16,614,502	\$101,675,513	\$36,611,452	\$14,552,170	\$14,715,960	\$480,008,740
Total State Support per WSCH	\$170.79	\$171.08	\$163.12	\$175.27	\$178.75	\$192.45	\$212.29	\$173.75

- (1) Resident weighted student credit hours do not include F grades for non-attendance; figures represent FY 2016 actual data.
- (2) State support via WSCH at UNLV and UNR represents funding provided to each of the university's main instructional budget accounts. Total does not include other budget accounts administered by each of the universities that do not utilize the higher education funding formula to distribute state support.
- (3) To account for increased costs due to economies of scale, the funding formula provides additional funding to community colleges that generate less than 100,000 weighted student credit hours.
- (4) Funding formula provides research universities Operation and Maintenance (O&M) funding for space allocated exclusively for research purposes that do not directly produce weighted student credit hours.
- (5) Funding based on increased weighting for CTE courses by a factor of 2.0 points in FY 2019.
- (6) One-time funding to provide start-up costs for the purpose of increasing capacity at institutions
- (7) 80% of salary adjustment funds are appropriated to the Board of Examiners and are available to NSHE as needed to fund actual salary adjustment costs.
- (8) Amounts include state support that was transferred to the Performance Pool (20% in FY 2019) and must be earned by achieving the number of targeted points as outlined for each institution.

In addition to state support, the funding formula provides that each institution retains 100% of the student tuition and fee revenues generated by that institution with no General Fund offset.

# Desert Research Institute Legislatively Approved General Fund Appropriation 2017-2019 Biennium

FY 2018							
Gr	rant and Contract						
١	Funds Awarded	%					
	(increments)	per step	G	eneral Fund			
\$	25,000,000	12.0%	\$	3,000,000			
\$	5,000,000	7.5%	\$	375,000			
\$	5,000,000	6.0%	\$	55,815			
\$	868,929	5.0%	\$	-			
\$	35,868,929		\$	3,430,815			
		O&M	\$	3,365,986			
	I	\$	195,326				
	co	\$	126,043				
		\$	7,118,170				

FY 2019				
Grant and Contract				
Funds Awarded		%		
(increments)		per step	General Fund	
\$	25,000,000	12.0%	\$	3,000,000
\$	5,000,000	7.5%	\$	375,000
\$	5,000,000	6.0%	\$	55,815
\$	868,929	5.0%	\$	-
\$	35,868,929		\$	3,430,815
O&M			\$	3,395,322
Inflation1			\$	198,149
COLA (3%)2			\$	255,852
Total			\$	7,280,138

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Inflationary increases not otherwise specifically accounted for in DRI formula; future inflationary adjustments will be requested as separate maintenance decision units outside the DRI formula budget

Total does not include General Funds, totaling \$683,656, appropriated to IFC Contingency Fund for Cloud Seeding Program.

 $<sup>^2</sup>$  80% of salary adjustment funds are appropriated to the Board of Examiners and are available to NSHE as needed to fund actual salary adjustment costs.



### NEVADA STUDENT ALLIANCE NEVADA SYSTEM OFHIGHER EDUCATION

### A RESOLUTION REQUESTING THE STUDY OF AFFORDABLE AND PREDICTABLE TUITION AND REGISTRATION FEES 2018-19

**WHEREAS,** the Nevada Student Alliance represents all 105,000+ students in the Nevada System of Higher Education; and

**WHEREAS,** the Nevada Student Alliance is comprised of elected representatives from all NSHE institutions, whose numerous responsibilities include lobbying and voicing student concerns to their elected officials at the local, state, and federal levels; and

**WHEREAS,** Board of Regents policy sets forth guiding principles for establishing tuition and fee rates that include "...maintaining affordability by ensuring that all students can enroll at a NSHE institution by virtue of the cost of attendance and an appropriate financial aid package;" and

**WHEREAS**, the guiding principles also provide that "...increases in tuition and fees shall be predictable and managed so that the quality of education is not compromised to the extent this is feasible"; and

**WHEREAS,** for more than two decades Board-approved tuition and registration fee rates for students at NSHE institutions have routinely increased; and

**WHEREAS,** the Nevada Student Alliance representatives assert that affordable and predictable tuition and registration fee rates should be the right of the students; and

**WHEREAS**, on June 8, 2018 the Board of Regents approved a 4.0% increase of tuition and registration fee rates for 2019-20 and 2020-21, despite campus forums organized around an expected increase of 1.8%;


#### **THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** the Nevada Student Alliance, on behalf of the students of NSHE:

- Requests the Board of Regents, Chancellor and Institutional Presidents to study options for establishing affordable and predictable tuition and registration fee rates; and
- Urges members of the Nevada Student Alliance to actively engage with their institutional leadership to collaboratively craft solutions centered around the student experience; and
- Urges NSHE institutional leadership to actively engage with the Board of Regents and Chancellor to determine solutions supporting affordability and predictability of tuition and registration fee rates; and

• Urges the Nevada Board of Regents and NSHE Chancellor to include student leaders in the work to identify solutions and policies to ensure affordability and predictability in tuition and registration fee rates across the Nevada System of Higher Education.

**ADOPTED** by the Nevada Student Alliance on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of July 2018.

# Attest:





### NEVADA STUDENT ALLIANCE NEVADA SYSTEM OFHIGHER EDUCATION

# A RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF THE NEVADA SYSTEM OF HIGHER EDUCATION TO INVESTIGATE THE PROCESS OF DIVESTING FROM FOSSIL FUELS AND ITS FINANCIAL IMPACT (2018-2019)

**WHEREAS,** the Nevada Student Alliance represents all 105,000+ students in the Nevada System of Higher Education; and

**WHEREAS**, the Nevada Student Alliance is comprised of elected representatives from all NSHE institutions, whose numerous responsibilities include lobbying and voicing student concerns to their elected officials at the local, state, and federal levels; and

**WHEREAS,** during public comment at the June 7<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup>, 2018 Nevada Board of Regents meeting, students and community members urged the Board to divest from fossil fuels; and

**WHEREAS,** divestment is defined as the process of pulling investments from fossil fuel companies or other companies with significant investments in fossil fuel companies in order to cease profiting from the destruction of the planet; and

**WHEREAS**, the Board of Regents Investment Committee is responsible for formulating and recommending "to the Board appropriate investment policies to govern the investment program of the NSHE"; and

**WHEREAS,** the Investment Committee is also responsible for making "such recommendations deemed appropriate concerning investment and reinvestment transactions consistent with the investment policies approved by the Board and with agreements, if any, with the investment managers of the NSHE"; and

**WHEREAS,** Investment Committee Chair Rick Trachok requested a review of divestment policy and the impact of current investments from Cambridge Associates during the June 7<sup>th</sup> committee meeting;


**NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** that the Nevada Student Alliance, on behalf of the students of NSHE:

- Urges elected student representatives of the Nevada System of Higher Education to actively pursue feedback from student groups and organizations in regards to divestment; and
- Urges elected student representatives of the Nevada System of Higher Education to actively pursue resolutions from their institutions in regard to student feedback on divestment; and

- Urges members of the Nevada Student Alliance to actively investigate divestment impacts to
  ensure the well-being and financial security of the students of the Nevada System of Higher
  Education; and
- Urges the Nevada Board of Regents to place an information item on the September 6, 2018 Investment Committee agenda to review divestment impacts; and
- Urges the Investment Committee to place an action item regarding divestment from fossil fuels on the November 29, 2018 committee agenda, based on findings from the September meeting.

**ADOPTED** by the Nevada Student Alliance on this 13<sup>th</sup> day of July 2018.

## Attest:



Christopher Roys, NSA Chair

Andrew Sierra, NSA Vice-Chair

Ahtziry Vasquez, NSA Secretary