NEVADA STUDENT ALLIANCE (NSA)
November 9, 2015
4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.
Reno System Administration Building, Room 134
2601 Enterprise Road
Reno, NV 89512

Video Conference Connection from the Meeting Site to:
Carson City-WNC Dini 105
Elko-GBC LCSL 122
Henderson- NSC DAW 218
Las Vegas–CSN CHEY 2638
UNLV SCS 102

ROLL CALL: Mr. Caden Fabbi, University of Nevada, Reno, ASUN (NSA Chair)
Ms. Andrea Senda, Western Nevada College, ASWN (NSA Vice Chair)
Ms. Kylie Rowe, University of Nevada, Reno, GSA (NSA Secretary)
Ms. Yesenia Cuevas, Nevada State College, NSSA
Ms. Kanani Espinoza, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, CSUN
Ms. Jessica Connolly, Desert Research Institute, GRAD
Ms. Brenda Romero, College of Southern Nevada, ASCSN
Ms. DeMarynee Saili, Great Basin College, SGA
Mr. Spencer Schultz, Truckee Meadows Community College, SGA
Ms. Surbhi Sharma, University of Nevada, Las Vegas, GPSA

IMPORTANT INFORMATION ABOUT THE AGENDA AND PUBLIC MEETING

NOTE: Below is an agenda of all items scheduled to be considered. Notification is hereby provided that items on the agenda may be taken out of the order presented, two or more agenda items may be combined for consideration, and an agenda item may be removed from the agenda or discussion relating to an item on the agenda may be delayed at any time.

In accordance with NRS 241.020(6), supporting materials that are submitted to the Nevada System of Higher Education (NSHE) Office of Academic and Student Affairs will be made available in advance of the meeting as follows: 1) from the NSHE Office of Academic and Student Affairs by calling Sally Jackson at (775) 784-3443 or emailing her at Sally_Jackson@nshe.nevada.edu; or, 2) by accessing the electronic version of the agenda posted on the NSA page of the NSHE website:

http://www.nevada.edu/studentgov/

In addition, a limited number of copies of any such supporting materials will be available at the meeting site.

Reasonable efforts will be made to assist and accommodate physically disabled persons attending the meeting. Please call the Academic & Student Affairs Office in advance at (775) 784-3443 or (775)784-3447 so that arrangements may be made.
1. **ROLL CALL**

NSA Secretary Kylie Rowe will take roll call of members and ask guests at each of the video sites to identify themselves so their names may be recorded in the minutes.

2. **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Public comment will be taken during this agenda item. No action may be taken on a matter raised under this item until the matter is included on an agenda as an item on which action may be taken. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person. Persons making comment will be asked to begin by stating their name for the record and to spell their last name. The NSA Chair may elect to allow additional public comment on a specific agenda item when that agenda item is being considered.

In accordance with Attorney General Opinion No. 00-047, as restated in the Attorney General’s Open Meeting Law Manual, the NSA Chair may prohibit comment if the content of that comment is a topic that is not relevant to, or within the authority of, the NSA, or if the content is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational or amounting to personal attacks or interfering with the rights of other speakers.

3. **APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Request is made for approval of the minutes for the NSA meetings held on October 12, 2015.

4. **DISCUSSION WITH CHANCELLOR**

NSA members will meet with Chancellor Dan Klaich. They will discuss aspirations and concerns for each institution and the higher education system.

5. **IT’S ON US CAMPAIGN**

NSA members will provide a summary to the group of activities held on their campuses to date and any future plans in support of the Alliance-sponsored “It’s On Us” campaign to stop sexual assault.

6. **NSA NEWSLETTER**

NSA Chair Caden Fabbi will update the group on progress made toward publishing a Fall 2015 NSA newsletter.

7. **PROFESSIONALISM CAMPAIGN**

NSA Chair Caden Fabbi will continue the discussion from the September 10, 2015 meeting regarding a possible Alliance-sponsored professionalism campaign to be held during Spring 2015. Possible elements of the campaign may include providing students with professional photos and LinkedIn workshops on the various NSHE campuses, or other efforts to assist students in preparing to enter careers in the professional world after graduation.
8. **RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF H.R. 2927** FOR POSSIBLE ACTION

NSA Chair Caden Fabbi and NSSA Vice President Umram Osambela continue discussion from the September 10, 2015 meeting requesting approval of an NSA resolution to encourage members of Congress to support passage of House Resolution 2927. Congressman Joe Heck introduced H.R. 2927 on June 25, 2015, to authorize Hispanic-serving institutions receiving grants under Part A of Title V of the Higher Education Act of 1965 to use such grant amounts to assist students in entering medical schools, and for other purposes. H.R. 2927 was referred to the House Committee on Education and the Workforce. At the September meeting NSA members requested time to take the discussion back to their campuses so they could come back to the October meeting and vote on taking a position on this resolution. At the October meeting, a vote was further deferred until the November meeting.

9. **RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF H.R. 3177** FOR POSSIBLE ACTION

NSA Chair Caden Fabbi and NSSA Vice President Umram Osambela request approval of a resolution to encourage members of Congress to support passage of House Resolution 3177. Congressman Joe Heck introduced H.R. 3177 on July 23, 2015, to simplify the application used for estimation and determination of financial aid eligibility for postsecondary education. H.R. 3177 was referred to the House Committee on Education and the Workforce. This proposal would reinforce action already announced by the Obama Administration beginning with the 2017-18 FAFSA: families will use tax return information for two years prior rather than one year prior, thus allowing more widespread use of the IRS Data Retrieval Tool.

10. **STATE OF STUDENT ADVISING** INFORMATION

At the September 11, 2015 NSA meeting with Chancellor Dan Klaich and Board of Regents Chair Rick Trachok and Vice Chair Michael Wixom, Alliance members expressed concerns regarding both the quality and quantity of student advising on some of the NSHE campuses. Regent Wixom requested that the Student Body Presidents research the state of student advising on each of their campuses and to provide a factual report back to the Chancellor, Chair and Vice Chair at the December 4, 2015 meeting. NSA Chair Caden Fabbi will lead a discussion with the group on how this research will be compiled for reporting.

11. **NEW BUSINESS** INFORMATION

Items for consideration at future meetings may be suggested. Any discussion of an item under “New Business” is limited to description and clarification of the subject matter of the item, which may include the reasons for the request.

12. **PUBLIC COMMENT** INFORMATION

Public comment will be taken during this agenda item. No action may be taken on a matter raised under this item until the matter is included on an agenda as an item on which action may be taken. Comments will be limited to three minutes per person. Persons making comment will be asked to begin by stating their name for the record and to spell their last name. The NSA Chair may elect to allow additional public comment on a specific agenda item when that agenda item is being considered.
In accordance with Attorney General Opinion No. 00-047, as restated in the Attorney General’s Open Meeting Law Manual, the NSA Chair may prohibit comment if the content of that comment is a topic that is not relevant to, or within the authority of, the NSA, or if the content is willfully disruptive of the meeting by being irrelevant, repetitious, slanderous, offensive, inflammatory, irrational or amounting to personal attacks or interfering with the rights of other speakers.

Posted in accordance with Nevada Open Meeting Law (NRS Ch. 241) at the following locations:
CSN, Building D, 1st Floor, 6375 W. Charleston Blvd., Las Vegas, NV 89146-1124
DRI, Maxey Building, 2215 Raggio Parkway, Reno, NV 89512-1095
DRI, Southern Nevada Science Center, 755 E. Flamingo Road, Las Vegas, NV 89119-7363
GBC, Berg Hall, 1500 College Parkway, Elko, NV 89801
NSC, Great Hall, 1125 Nevada State Drive, Henderson, NV 89015
TMCC, Red Mountain Building (RDMT 200) 7000 Dandini Blvd. Reno, NV 89512
UNLV, Flora Dungan Humanities 9 (FDH), 1st and 7th Floors, 4505 Marlyand Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89154-1001
UNR, Clark Administration, University of Nevada, Reno, NV 89557
WNC, Bristlecone Building Lobby, 2201 W. College Parkway, Carson City, NV 89703
System Administration, 4300 S. Maryland Parkway, Las Vegas, NV 89119-7530
System Administration, 2601 Enterprise Road, Reno, NV 89512
Nevada Public Notice Website - https://notice.nv.gov
To authorize Hispanic-serving institutions receiving grants under part A of title V of the Higher Education Act of 1965 to use such grant amounts to assist students in entering medical schools, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 25, 2015

Mr. Heck of Nevada (for himself, Mr. Ruiz, and Mr. Valadao) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Education and the Workforce

A BILL

To authorize Hispanic-serving institutions receiving grants under part A of title V of the Higher Education Act of 1965 to use such grant amounts to assist students in entering medical schools, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. AUTHORIZED GRANT ACTIVITIES.

Subsection (b) of section 503 of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1101b(b)) is amended—

(1) in paragraph (6), by inserting before the period at the end the following; “and student support programs designed to facilitate the successful ad-
vancement from four-year institutions to an allopathic or osteopathic medical school accredited by a nationally recognized accrediting agency or association recognized by the Secretary pursuant to part H of title IV’’;

(2) by redesignating paragraph (16) and paragraph (17); and

(3) by inserting after paragraph (15) the following new paragraph:

“(16) Providing counseling, mentoring, and other support services for underrepresented students studying in pre-medical or other health and science fields designed to facilitate their successful application to an allopathic or osteopathic medical school accredited by a nationally recognized accrediting agency or association recognized by the Secretary pursuant to part H of title IV’’.”
The Nevada Student Alliance is made up of the Student Body Presidents of each of the undergraduate and graduate student governments in the Nevada System of Higher Education, and

WHEREAS, The Nevada System of Higher Education represents a growing Hispanic constituency, with some colleges anticipating become Hispanic-serving institutions in the upcoming years; and

WHEREAS, The Nevada System of Higher Education has medical programs within its system; and

WHEREAS, On June 25, 2015, Congressman Joe Heck introduce H.R. 2927, to authorize Hispanic-serving institutions receiving grants under Part A of Title V of the Higher Education Act of 1965 to use such grant amounts to assist students in entering medical schools, and for other purposes; and

WHEREAS, House Resolution 2927 will leverage existing grant dollars to facilitate student advancement in NSHE’s medical programs; and

WHEREAS, If House Resolution 2927 is enacted, it will benefit the students of the Nevada System of Higher Education; and be it

RESOLVED, That We, the Students of the Nevada Student Alliance, declare our support for House Resolution 2927; and be it further

RESOLVED, The Nevada Student Alliance encourages community leaders, businesses, local and state elected officials to lobby our Congressional representatives to support the passage of House Resolution 2927; and be it further
RESOLVED, The Nevada Student Alliance strongly encourages members of Congress to support this bill’s passing; and be it further

RESOLVED, A copy of this resolution is sent to Nevada’s Congressional Delegation, the Board of Regents and the Chancellor of the Nevada System of Higher Education.

DATED & SIGNED this 9th day of November 2015

Caden Fabbi
Nevada Student Alliance Chair
H. R. 3403

To amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to protect victims of sexual violence, to improve the adjudication of allegations related to sexual violence, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JULY 29, 2015

Mr. Salmon (for himself, Mr. Sessions, and Ms. Granger) introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Education and the Workforce

A BILL

To amend the Higher Education Act of 1965 to protect victims of sexual violence, to improve the adjudication of allegations related to sexual violence, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Safe Campus Act of 2015”.
SEC. 2. INSTITUTION OF HIGHER EDUCATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PROTECTING VICTIMS OF SEXUAL VIOLENCE AND INVESTIGATING AND ADJUDICATING ALLEGATIONS OF SEXUAL VIOLENCE.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Title I of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new part:

“PART F—TREATMENT OF ALLEGATIONS OF SEXUAL VIOLENCE

“SEC. 161. APPLICATION; DEFINITION.

“(a) APPLICATION.—The requirements of this part shall apply to any institution of higher education receiving Federal financial assistance under this Act, including financial assistance provided to students under title IV, other than a foreign institution of higher education.

“(b) DEFINITIONS.—In this part, the following definitions shall apply:

“(1) COVERED ALLEGATION.—The term ‘covered allegation’ means, with respect to an institution of higher education, an allegation that a student of the institution committed an act of sexual violence, or that members of a student organization of the institution or the organization itself committed or were involved in creating a hostile environment resulting in an act of sexual violence.
“(2) Institutional disciplinary proceeding.—The term ‘institutional disciplinary proceeding’ means the process by which an institution of higher education investigates and adjudicates a covered allegation and imposes a sanction with respect to the allegation, in accordance with the institution’s own code of conduct or similar internal rules.

“(3) Sexual violence.—The term ‘sexual violence’ means, with respect to an institution of higher education—

“(A) aggravated sexual abuse under section 2241 of title 18, United States Code;

“(B) assault resulting in substantial bodily injury under section 113(a)(7) of title 18, United States Code;

“(C) battery, as defined under the applicable criminal law of the jurisdiction in which the institution is located;

“(D) rape, as defined under the applicable criminal law of the jurisdiction in which the institution is located;

“(E) sexual abuse under section 2242 of title 18, United States Code; and
“(F) sexual assault, as defined under the applicable criminal law of the jurisdiction in which the institution is located.

“SEC. 162. EDUCATION, REPORTING, AND STUDENT CARE STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTING SEXUAL VIOLENCE.

“(a) EDUCATION PROGRAMS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—Each institution of higher education which is subject to this part is encouraged to provide education programs designed to address sexual violence that, at a minimum, provide training for reporting covered allegations, intervening as a bystander, and fostering development of healthy relationships.

“(2) ACCESS TO PROGRAMS.—The institution is encouraged—

“(A) to provide access to the programs required under this subsection for each student during each academic year; and

“(B) to ensure new students are made aware of the programs and can access them as soon as possible after beginning the course of study at the institution.

“(b) SUPPORT SERVICES.—Each institution of higher education which is subject to this part shall devote appro-
appropriate resources for the care, support, and guidance for
students affected by sexual violence.

“(c) Role of Volunteer Advisors to Student Organizations.—An institution of higher education
which is subject to this part—

“(1) may not designate an adult volunteer advisor
to a student organization, or any employee of a
student organization who is not also an employee of
the institution, as a campus security authority under
section 485 or regulations implementing that sec-
tion; and

“(2) may not deny recognition to a student or-
ganization because an advisor or employee described
in paragraph (1) does not register or serve as a
campus security authority under section 485 or reg-
ulations implementing that section.

“(d) Training.—Each institution of higher edu-
cation which is subject to this part shall provide appro-
priate annual training to campus security personnel, cam-
pus disciplinary committee members, and other relevant
institutional personnel regarding the requirements of this
part, and shall at a minimum require each student who
serves as a resident advisor in housing facilities which are
owned or supervised by the institution to participate in
this training and demonstrate knowledge of the require-
ments of this section regarding the reporting of allegations to law enforcement agencies and the effects of the confidentiality exception under section 163(a)(2).

“SEC. 163. ROLE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES IN INVESTIGATION OF ALLEGATIONS OF SEXUAL VIOLENCE.

“(a) Referral of Allegations.—

“(1) Referral.—Except as provided in paragraph (2), if an institution of higher education which is subject to this part receives a covered allegation, along with written consent to proceed from the alleged victim, the institution shall report and refer the allegation to the law enforcement agency of the unit of local government with jurisdiction to respond to such allegations in the location of the institution immediately, but not later than 48 hours after receiving written consent from the alleged victim.

“(2) Confidentiality Exception.—

“(A) In General.—Paragraph (1) does not apply if the individual who is the alleged victim of an act of sexual violence included in the covered allegation provides a written notification to the institution that the individual does not want the allegation to be investigated by a law enforcement agency.
“(B) Effect of notification of confidentiality.—If an individual provides a notification to the institution under this paragraph with respect to an allegation, the institution may not initiate or otherwise carry out any institutional disciplinary proceeding with respect to the allegation, including imposing interim measures described in subsection (c), but only if the individual includes in the notification a statement that the individual understands the effect under this subparagraph of providing the notification.

“(b) Restrictions on institutional disciplinary proceedings during period of law enforcement investigation.—

“(1) In general.—During the period in which a law enforcement agency is investigating a covered allegation reported by an institution under subsection (a), the institution may not initiate or otherwise carry out any institutional disciplinary proceeding with respect to the allegation, except to the extent that the institution may impose interim sanctions under subsection (c).

“(2) Period of law enforcement investigation described.—For purposes of this sub-
section and subsection (c), the period in which a law
enforcement agency is investigating an allegation re-
ported under subsection (a) shall be considered—

“(A) the 30-day period beginning on the
date on which the institution reported the alle-
gation to the agency, together with

“(B) any subsequent 30-day period for
which the agency notifies the institution that it is continuing to investigate the allegation and
that the public interest is best served by pre-
venting the institution from beginning its own
investigation and disciplinary proceeding.

“(3) TOLLING.—For purposes of satisfying any
federally prescribed time period for an institution to
complete an adjudication of an allegation to which
this subsection applies, the time period shall be
deemed to begin upon the expiration of the period in
which the law enforcement agency is investigating
the allegation, in accordance with this subsection.

“(4) PERMITTING INVOLVEMENT OF ACCRED-
ITED CAMPUSS PUBLIC SAFETY DEPARTMENTS.—Not-
withstanding paragraph (1), if an institution of
higher education operates an accredited public safety
department that employs sworn officers, such de-
partment may carry out investigative functions with
respect to an allegation provided to a law enforce-
ment agency under subsection (a) if authorized to do
so by the law enforcement agency.

“(c) PERMITTING INSTITUTION TO IMPOSE INTERIM
SANCTIONS.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—During the period in which
a law enforcement agency is investigating a covered
allegation reported by an institution under sub-
section (a), the institution may impose interim sanc-
tions against the subject of the allegation with re-
spect to the allegation (including temporary suspen-
sions, no contact orders, adjustments of class sched-
ules, or changes in housing assignments) and carry
out investigations and adjudications with respect to
the imposition of such sanctions, but only if the in-
stitution determines that the imposition of such a
sanction is a reasonable measure to promote campus
safety and student well-being.

“(2) SPECIAL RULES FOR DURATION OF PERI-
ODS OF TEMPORARY SUSPENSIONS.—

“(A) STUDENTS.—Subject to paragraph
(3), if the subject of an allegation is a student,
an institution may impose a temporary suspen-
sion for a period of not more than 15 days as
an interim sanction under this subsection, and
may extend the suspension for additional peri-
ods of not more than 30 days per period if, pur-
suant to a hearing held in accordance with the
requirements of section 164 for each such addi-
tional period, the institution finds that exten-
sion is necessary because the student poses an
immediate threat to campus safety and student
well-being.

“(B) STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS.—If the
subject of an allegation is a student organiza-
tion, an institution may impose a temporary
suspension for a period of not more than 10
days on the operations of the organization as
an interim sanction under this subsection, but
only if the institution determines that the orga-
nization has engaged in activity that presents a
significant risk to the health and physical safety
of campus community members, and that the
imposition of the suspension is not done merely
for punitive purposes.

“(3) PERIOD IN WHICH INTERIM SANCTION IS
IN EFFECT.—An interim sanction imposed under
this subsection with respect to an allegation shall
terminate upon the expiration of the period in which
a law enforcement agency is investigating the allega-
tion (as described in subsection (b)), except that if an indictment has been issued with respect to the allegation and the subject of the allegation is a student, the institution may continue the sanction, including a temporary suspension the duration of which would otherwise be limited under paragraph (2)(A), until the completion of the case or the completion of any sentence imposed.

“(4) Prohibiting imposition of interim sanctions upon joint request of alleged victim and law enforcement.—In addition to the period described in subsection (b)(2), an institution may not impose an interim sanction under this subsection with respect to a covered allegation during any period for which the alleged victim and the law enforcement agency which is investigating the allegation submit a joint request to the institution to not impose such an interim sanction.

“(d) Safe Harbors.—

“(1) Institutions.—No institution of higher education which is subject to this part shall be considered to have violated any provision of title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681 et seq.) or any policy or regulation implementing any such provision on the grounds that the
institution did not investigate or adjudicate a covered allegation, or did not impose any sanction with respect to a covered allegation, to the extent that the institution was prohibited under this section from initiating or carrying out any institutional disciplinary proceeding with respect to the allegation.

“(2) STUDENTS.—An institution of higher education which is subject to this part may not impose a sanction on a student who is a victim of, or a bystander witness to, an act of sexual violence on the grounds that the student engaged in conduct prohibited under the institution’s code of conduct (other than violent conduct) if the institution learned that the student engaged in such conduct as part of a report of a covered allegation which was made in good faith by the student to an agent of the institution.

“(e) PRIVACY.—It shall not be a violation of section 444 of the General Education Provisions Act (commonly known as the ‘Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974’) (20 U.S.C. 1232g) for an institution of higher education to report an allegation to a law enforcement agency under subsection (a).

“(f) NO EFFECT ON CIVIL REMEDIES.—Nothing in this section may be construed to limit the authority of any
person to seek a civil remedy in a court of competent juris-
diction with respect to any covered allegation.

“SEC. 164. DUE PROCESS REQUIREMENTS FOR INSTITU-
TIONAL DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS.

“(a) DUE PROCESS RIGHTS.—Each institution of
higher education which is subject to this part may not im-
pose any sanction on any person, including a student orga-
nization, in response to a covered allegation unless the
sanction is imposed under a formal hearing or similar ad-
judicatory proceeding, in accordance with institutional dis-
ciplinary proceedings that meet each of the following re-
quirements:

“(1) The institution shall provide all parties to
the proceeding with adequate written notice of the
allegation not later than 2 weeks prior to the start
of any formal hearing or similar adjudicatory pro-
ceeding, and shall include in such notice a descrip-
tion of all rights and responsibilities under the pro-
ceeding, a statement of all relevant details of the al-
legation, and a specific statement of the sanctions
which may be imposed.

“(2) The institution shall provide each person
against whom the allegation is made with a mean-
ingful opportunity to admit or contest the allegation.
“(3) The institution shall ensure that all parties to the proceeding have access to all material evidence, including both inculpatory and exculpatory evidence, not later than one week prior to the start of any formal hearing or similar adjudicatory proceeding. Such evidence may include but is not limited to complainant statements, third-party witness statements, electronically stored information, written communications, social media posts, and demonstrative evidence.

“(4) The institution shall permit each party to the proceeding to be represented, at the sole expense of the party, by an attorney or other advocate for the duration of the proceeding, including during the investigation of the allegation and other preliminary stages prior to a formal hearing or similar adjudicatory proceeding, and shall permit the attorney or other advocate to ask questions in the proceeding, file relevant papers, examine evidence, and examine witnesses (subject to paragraph (5)).

“(5) The institution shall permit each party to the proceeding to safely confront witnesses, including the complainant, in an appropriate manner, including by submitting written questions to be asked by the person serving as the adjudicator in any for-
mal hearing or similar adjudicatory proceeding, except that it shall be presumptively improper for any person to make any inquiry about the sexual history of the individual reporting the covered allegation (other than an inquiry made by the individual against whom the allegation is made, or such individual’s counsel or advocate, about the sexual history between such individual and the individual reporting the covered allegation).

“(6) The institution shall ensure that the proceeding is carried out free from conflicts of interest by ensuring that there is no commingling of administrative or adjudicative roles. For purposes of this paragraph, an institution shall be considered to commingle such roles if any individual carries out more than one of the following roles with respect to the proceeding:

“(A) Victim counselor and victim advocate.
“(B) Investigator.
“(C) Prosecutor.
“(D) Adjudicator.
“(E) Appellate adjudicator.

“(b) STANDARD OF PROOF.—An institution of higher education may establish and apply such standard of proof as it considers appropriate for purposes of any adjudica-
tion carried out as part of an institutional disciplinary pro-
ceeding under this section.

“(c) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—

“(1) PRIVATE RIGHT OF ACTION.—Any indi-
vidual who is aggrieved by a decision to impose a
sanction under an institutional disciplinary pro-
ceeding under this section may bring a civil action
in an appropriate district court of the United States,
but only if the action is brought not later than 1
year after the date on which the individual received
final notice of the sanction imposed on the individual
under the proceeding.

“(2) STANDARD FOR REVIEW.—In any action
brought under this subsection, the court may find
for the plaintiff only if the court finds that the im-
position of the sanction was arbitrary, capricious, or
contrary to law.

“(3) RECORDS.—As soon as practicable after a
civil action is filed under this subsection, the institu-
tion of higher education involved shall forward the
administrative record of the institutional disciplinary
proceeding to the court.

“(4) DAMAGES AND PREVAILING PARTY
FEES.—In any civil action under this subsection, the
court may award the prevailing party (other than
the institution of higher education) compensatory damages, reasonable court costs, attorney fees, including expert fees, and any other relief in equity or law that the court deems appropriate.

“(d) Publication in Student Handbook.—Each institution of higher education which is subject to this part shall publish annually in the institution’s Student Handbook (or equivalent publication) a statement of the procedures applicable to institutional disciplinary proceedings under this section, and shall publish such statement in the form of a contract between the institution and its students and student organizations.

“(e) No Right to Paid Advocate.—Nothing in this section shall be construed to create a right for any individual to be represented by an attorney or other advocate at an institution of higher education’s expense.

“SEC. 165. PRESERVATION OF SINGLE-SEX EXEMPTION FOR STUDENT ORGANIZATIONS.

“(a) Restatement of Congressional Position on Title IX and Single-Sex Organizations.—Congress finds as follows:

“(1) The enactment of title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (commonly known as ‘title IX’) continues to be a vital element of ensuring all Americans have equal access to higher education.
“(2) The exemption under title IX that allows single-sex organizations to continue to flourish at institutions of higher education is still essential to developing a wide range of enrichment opportunities for students to learn and grow.

“(3) While title IX has done much to provide opportunities for women and men alike, the single-sex exemption is a part of that tapestry of opportunities, and institutions of higher education may not take actions that undermine this single-sex exemption.

“(b) Prohibiting Institutions From Requiring Single-Sex Student Organizations To Waive Title IX Protections.—An institution of higher education which is subject to this part may not—

“(1) require a student organization which is authorized under section 901(a)(6)(A) of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681(a)(6)(A)) to limit its membership to individuals of one sex to admit individuals as members who do not meet the organization’s membership requirements;

“(2) compel a student organization or the governing body of a student organization that is itself comprised of single-sex organizations to accept orga-
nizations or individuals that do not meet the organization’s or governing body’s membership qualifications; or

“(3) require an organization which is covered by section 901(a)(6)(A) of the Education Amendments of 1972 (20 U.S.C. 1681(a)(6)(A)) to waive its coverage under such section as a disciplinary or punitive measure.”.

(b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by this Act shall apply with respect to allegations made on or after the expiration of the 1-year period that begins on the date of the enactment of this Act.
A Resolution Supporting House Resolution 3177 of the 114th Congress, The Simplifying the Application for Student Aid Act

The Nevada Student Alliance is made up of the Student Body Presidents of each of the undergraduate and graduate student governments in the Nevada System of Higher Education, and

WHEREAS, The Nevada Student Alliance represents a growing constituency that requires financial aid assistance; and

WHEREAS, The total unmet need for students at all Nevada System of Higher Education institutions in 2012-2013 was $435.5 million; and

WHEREAS, On July 23, 2015, Congressman Joe Heck introduced House Resolution 3177 to amend Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 to require the Department of Education to provide for the use of tax information from the second preceding tax year to determine a student’s financial aid eligibility; and

WHEREAS, Enactment of House Resolution 3177 would benefit the students in the Nevada System of Higher Education by providing better financial aid opportunities; and be it

RESOLVED, That We, the Students of the Nevada Student Alliance, declare our support for House Resolution 3177; and be it further

RESOLVED, The Nevada Student Alliance encourages community leaders, businesses, local and state elected officials to lobby our Congressional representatives to support the passage of House Resolution 3177; and be it further

RESOLVED, The Nevada Student Alliance commends the efforts of Congressman Joe Heck in introducing this resolution and requests that the 114th Congress pass this bill and that President Barack Obama enact this bill; and be it further
RESOLVED, A copy of this resolution is sent to Nevada’s Congressional Delegation, the Board of Regents and the Chancellor of the Nevada System of Higher Education.

DATED & SIGNED this 9th day of November 2015

_________________________________
Caden Fabbi
Nevada Student Alliance Chair